

Speaker Blair: "Good, the House will come to order. The prayer by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "Heavenly Father, during the days of this Session of the 78th General Assembly, we have envoked Your blessings often upon the Members of this House. We pray because You promise to hear us, and now in the midst of our deliberations under the pressures that attend these final hours amid whatever frustrations, disappointments, sharp disagreements, but also joys and satisfactions and good will, we have experienced in this Chamber and among our colleagues. We now pause to thank and praise Your name because You have been with us. We thank You for the strength of body and mind with which You have endowed the men and women who serve in this House. We thank You for their labours and ask that You be with them individually as they return to their homes following this Session. We commend them and all the people of our state to Your continuing goodness asking that Your benediction rest upon the work of their hand; and now, O Lord, bless them and keep them. Lord, make . . . shine upon them and be gracious to them. Lord, lift up Your countenance upon them and give them Your peace both now and forever more. Amen . . . very well, have a good day."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Walsh: "I wonder if you'd ask Doctor Johnson to stay while we read a Resolution?"

Speaker Telcser: "Doctor, Doctor, will you stay for a moment while we read Resolutions? All right, we did Roll Call for attendance first . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Where is . . . you got it there . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Agreed Resolution. Okay, M . . . the Resolution to Doctor Johnson, will the Members please be in their seats?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 479, . . . ah . . . Hudson et al, whereas, the founding father of the Republic recognize that we are endowed by our Creator with certain unalienable rights; and, whereas, throughout our national history we have recognized the power of the Almighty in our civic and political affairs; and, whereas, we are . . . we as Members of this General Assembly are thankful for the blessings of



liberty and granted by our Creator and constitutionally secured by the Republic to which we pledge our allegiance as one nation under God; and, whereas, the Reverend, Doctor John F. Johnson has served as Chaplain to the House of Representatives in the 77th and 78th General Assembly; and, whereas, Doctor Johnson has performed this service with singular effectiveness; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we express our deep appreciation to the Reverend Doctor John F. Johnson for his spiritual dedication, devotion to duties and his articulate daily reminds us that we are indeed endowed by our Creator with manifold blessings and that it behooves us to recognize the Creator's hand in the order and direction of our lives; and that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Reverend Doctor John F. Johnson, Chaplain of the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois."

Doctor Johnson: "Thank you very much. I should have worn my collar this morning, I know that. I'll thank you for this . . . ah . . . before we vote on it, assuming that you will. May blessings be with you, it's been a real pleasure and . . . and joy . . . ah . . . to serve you in this way. I really mean it sincerely. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just ask leave of the House to include all the Members on the Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, well, leave has been granted. All the Members of the House will be . . . ah . . . Cosponsors of the Resolution; and now the . . . ah . . . Gentleman from . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh . . . and the Gentleman from Union, Representative from Union, Representative Choate, both move for the adoption of . . . ah . . . House Resolution 479. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and the Resolution is adopted. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred the House pass the Bill of the following title,



House Bill 4140, 3531, 966, 967, 1273, 12 . . . 1929, 1944, 1989; passed the Senate June 29, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives the passage of the Bills of the following title, House Bill 208, House Bill 311, House Bill 9 . . . 698, House Bill 840, House Bill 876, House Bill 873, House Bill 878, House Bill 1045, House Bill 1211, House Bill 1542, House Bill 1585, House Bill 1748, House Bill 1832, House Bill 1889, . . . ah . . . together with the following Amendments in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate as amended June 29, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. What are we doing about this, are we making a Supplemental Calendar or anything? Ah . . . Committee Reports."

Unknown: "Huh?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Reports."

Speaker Telcser: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Collins from Executive to which Senate Bill 777 was referred, recommend the Bill be assigned to Interim Study Calendar pursuant to Rule 23. That's it, Arthur, now what . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Hi, Bob . . . okay, until more Members arrive this morning and the . . . ah . . . Leadership on both sides of the aisle are on the floor, . . . ah . . . perhaps we can . . . can take a few matters on the Speaker's table . . . in the Resolutions . . . to adopt Resolutions, all right? Ah . . . Representative Hirschfeld here, nope, oh, wait, John, do you want that 289 called on the Speaker's table? All right, on the Speaker's table appears House Resolution 289, for which purpose . . . the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld, is recognized."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't believe this is a controversial Resolution. In light of the spending . . . ah . . . misspending of funds by . . . ah . . . several universities, at least . . . this Resolution just called for an investigation by the Legislative Investigating Commission of I.S.U., Eastern and Western in order to determine whether or not they have followed the legislative mandate . . . ah . . . I don't know of any



opposition to the . . . ah . . . Bill, the Resolution rather, . . . ah . . . the Audit Commission is hopeful that this will pass also because it will assist in the looking into the other universities involved; and I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? There's no money being spent here, is there, John?"

Hirschfeld: "There's no funds."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved the House do adopt House Resolution 289. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Resolution is adopted. On the order of Resolutions appears . . . ah . . . House Resolution 321 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy, is recognized."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 321 requests the Legislative Investigating Commission to look into a situation which has become a . . . ah . . . a serious point in many of the older neighborhoods in Chicago and that is the inability of residents in those neighborhoods to obtain . . . ah . . . financing for home improvements . . . ah . . . home improvements and repairs. These . . . ah . . . loans are absolutely essential if our neighborhoods, old or urban neighborhoods, are to avoid deterioration and decay and it distorts a great many concerns to many Chicago area residents that . . . ah . . . the loans don't seem to be forthcoming even though they are depositors in those financial institutions. I've checked this with the Senior Republican and Democratic Members of the Legislative Investigating Commission, as well as with the Executive Director, and they are all . . . ah . . . in agreement that the investigation should go forward. I ask a favorable vote. Mr. Speaker, I don't believe this involves any additional expenditures . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "But it directs the . . . ah . . . Investigation Commission to do some . . . Committee to do something . . . to get that Roll Call on there. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved that the House do adopt House Resolution 321. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 109 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and . . . ah . . . the House adopts House Resolution 321. On the . . . ah . . . Speaker's



table, House Resolution 393 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. M. Houlihan, is recognized. What . . . what about it? Representative Chapman, do you seek recognition?"

Chapman: "No, I . . ."

Houlihan: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I'm not sure that the Speaker for this, . . . but there is an Amendment to this Resolution which is agreed upon by Art and myself . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for adopt . . ."

Houlihan: ". . . no, Arthur, there's an Amendment that . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, . . ."

Houlihan: ". . . Art Berman has."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, Art has the Amendment or is it on the . . ."

Houlihan: "He has . . . he has this on the table, but offered . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, . . . ah . . . all right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Resolution 393. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'."

Houlihan: "It sets a School Commission that makes it nonpartisan, 4 on 4."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait a second . . ."

Houlihan: "Do you want me to explain it, Art'?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, would you explain it, Sir?"

Houlihan: "This . . . ah . . . this Resolution establishes a Commission or a House Commission to discuss . . . ah . . . education, urban education, due to the lack of funding institutions . . . the funds in the urban areas, and the Amendment was a traditional seven-Member Amendment. In the Committee, Art' Berman suggested that we make that a eight-Member nonpartisan Commission, for Members from each side of the aisle, and after discussions with him and other Members . . . ah . . . I agreed that that would probably be a very good idea so there would not be politics involved, and so I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "All those in favor say 'aye', all those . . . the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I believe this is a special Committee of the House, so we'd better get a Roll Call vote for 89 in case he wants to



dip into the Speaker's contingency fund for House Committee."

Speaker Shea: "I assure you the Speaker will give him every opportunity to get 89 votes under their Roll Call. Ah . . . We are . . . the Gentleman from Cook to close on his Resolution."

Houlihan: "No, no, we adopted the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The Amendment has been adopted and we are on the Resolution."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, this Resolution was . . . a result of the meeting that the Board of Education had. Ah . . . I think you all recall where there was a question about the needs for funding and other urban areas talked about the problems. I've discussed this with . . . ah . . . Bradley Glass in the Senate and Gerry Bradley about the Urban Study Commission, and I believe it's not in conflict with any . . . ah . . . standing Committees or Commissions; and I would move for the adoption of this Resolution so we can have this House Committee so that we can look into this serious problem of education, and not only in Chicago, but in other urban areas."

Speaker Shea: "Since there is the spending of money involved, it's the ruling of the Chair that this will take 89 affirmative votes; and the question is, shall House Resolution . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman . . . that's behind Mr. Hyde there."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative, will you specify what the scope of study will be of this Committee, and more particularly distinguish it from the scope of study of the Urban Education Study Commission?"

Houlihan: "Ah . . . Gene, when I talked to Brad Glass, who's . . . ah . . . Cha . . . Senate Chairman of the Urban Study Commission, I asked him if this would be in conflict. He said it would not, but the Urban Study Commission at this time is only going to be monitoring some experiments in urban education which they have started and that they are in fact gearing down and he felt there'd be no conflict. The scope of this was to examine the question of financing, question of . . . ah . . . additional funds for . . . ah . . . the urban areas in light of some of the federal problems and also school attendance and ways that the urban areas might be assisted here in the General Assembly."



Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall House Resolution 383 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. It takes 89 votes. Shea 'aye'. Capuzi 'no' . . . oh, 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. This Resolution having received 119 'aye' votes, 2 'nay' votes is, hereby, declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . If this is with effect to that motion, I've been asked to hold it . . . ah . . . for a few minutes until the . . . the Minority Leader returns to the floor."

Speaker Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Getty on the floor? No. Capparelli, well? On the order of . . . ah . . . on the Speaker's table House Resolution 398 . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Resolution 398 was brought to my attention during the gas shortage. This Resolution asks all governmental bodies and bodies to issue newcomers for gas stations to call a moratorium at the present time and not issue any new permits for new gas stations; and at the same time, request all oil companies doing business in the State of Illinois to stop building gas stations until the shortage has been completed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Resolution . . . ah . . . 398. It is essentially different from 394 in that it goes far beyond . . . ah . . . 394 in requesting the . . . ah . . . the gasoline companies from not . . . ah . . . building or opening any new gas stations within certain distances of others. This Resolution requests governmental . . . ah . . . bodies to withhold permits for the construction of new gas stations. Ah . . . In my opinion, we are re . . . in the position of requesting municipal corporations and zoning authorities to break the law. If a man has property which is zoned consistent with the use of a gasoline station, then a municipality has no business to refusing him a permit if his property is properly zoned. He has the right to use his property consistent with the Zoning Code's reasonable regulations . . . ah . . . in his highest and best use. I think the House of



Representatives ought not to be in a position to urge a municipality to violate the law, no matter how noble its motives may be. Therefore, I would ask that this Resolution be defeated and pass the Resolution 398, which is directed at the oil company seeking to have them voluntarily to limit their . . . ah . . . their activities."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the House to adopt House Resolution 394. All in favor signify . . . well, if we're going to take the bait on this thing, I'm going to take it out of the Record 'cause we have too much to do. I have to go off this order of business anyway. All right, . . . all right, let's take this out of the Record. Okay, let's get some things done that must be done. I've got to go off this order of business, Dan, I only took it because the Leaders were not on the floor, we've got Concurrences we must handle so the Conference Committees can be appointed. All right, where is . . . is Representative Shea and Choate on the floor so we can go to Concurrences? Okay. Gerry, we got to get those Concurrences . . . yes, I'll get to you. Okay, on the order of Concurrences appears . . . ah . . . Representative Merlo on the floor? Nope. Is Representative Merlo on the floor? Okay, on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 18 for which the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde, is recognized. Henry, concurrence on House Bill 18."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I move that the House do concur in the Senate Amendment on House Bill 18. What they did was to add an appellate procedure . . . ah . . . following a . . . finding by the three-judge jury that the death penalty was appropriate . . . ah . . . there is one more intervening procedure which the Senate added where the Appellate Court must make specific findings that no discrimination has occurred . . . ah . . . in the imposition of the Senate . . . of the sentence. They also added a category, murder, . . . ah . . . requiring a mandatory death penalty where someone was to be a witness in a . . . ah . . . in a criminal case or before a Grand Jury and they are murder . . . I think these Amendments improve the Bill and I do move that the House concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 18."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Repre-



sentative Mann."

Mann: "Henry, would you yield to a question?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir."

Mann: "Henry, could you take a minute to now tell us in the final form the Bill is in?"

Hyde: "Well, the final form of the Bill . . . ah . . . is a death penalty Bill providing for mandatory . . . ah . . . death sentence . . . ah . . . following a conviction of murder . . . ah . . . and there are several categories wherein the death sentence would be mandated . . . ah . . . the jury would make the finding of guilty, then a three-judge court is impaneled to hear evidence in the nature of aggravation on mitigation. If the three-judge court finds the facts to be beyond a reasonable doubt that . . . ah . . . the murder sits within one of the categories listed in the Bill, then . . . ah . . . they would have no choice but to mandate . . . ah . . . the death penalty. The Senate Amendment has added an appellate procedure in addition to the normal procedure where . . . ah . . . upon conviction of a . . . murder and a sentence of death the Supreme Court automatically has an appeal; and they, of course, have the power to remand, they have the power to reduce the sentence, they have . . . the Senate has added the Appellate Court in there where they must review the matter to see that there were no reversible errors in the trial and that the death sentence was not discriminatory, which, as you know, was the . . . ah . . . vice in capital punishment procedures that the United States Supreme Court used as a basis for declaring the Georgia and Texas statutes unconstitutional in 'Berman versus Georgia'. So . . . ah . . . the reason, of course, we have the mandatory death penalty is because the . . . the United States Supreme Court that . . . ah . . . that the application of the death penalty where discretion is used is subject to abuse and that oppressed minorities are more likely to get the death penalty than others; and, therefore, we have devised the mandatory death penalty for certain crimes. Ah . . . The reason for this is we wish to emphasize the nature of the crime and the victim, rather than the criminal, and it is our feeling . . . ah . . . and the feeling of the draftsmen of this which included James Agel, the Chief of the



Criminal Justice Division of the Attorney General's office that this approach does meet the objections of the United States Supreme Court."

Mann: "Now, but as I understand it, the Senate amended . . . added the appellate level above . . . ah . . . the three-course ruling?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir."

Mann: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Wolfe: "Ah . . . Henry, I'm still a little confused about this change in procedure which complies with the United States Supreme Court decision and which eliminates the discriminatory aspect of the . . . the death penalty. Now, as . . . as a lawyer, I see it that when a jury convicts and that conviction stands and the penalty for the conviction is the death penalty that is mandatory; but when three judges review additional facts, they can make a decision and then their decision can be reviewed and can be reversed in the Appellate division of the court, then I think you are back again to a discriminatory application of the death penalty. Now, is that right, wrong or in between?"

Hyde: "Ah . . . With great respect, Mr. Wolfe, you're incorrect. The jury has nothing to do with sentencing, the jury merely finds a guilt or innocence in the particular case. Ah . . ."

Wolfe: "As I said . . . you didn't listen, I said the jury would find on the fact a guilty verdict, right, . . ."

Hyde: "Yes, but then you said . . . ah . . ."

Wolfe: ". . . and when you're guilty, Henry, listen, and when you're guilty, a sentence is imposed under our criminal jurisprudence, right?"

Hyde: "No, no, no, Mr. Wolfe, if you would listen. Ah . . . Under Senate . . . under House Bill 18 following the jury's finding of guilty there is no sentence yet imposed. Ah . . . The sentencing then becomes the function of a special three-judge court which must then review the case and . . . ah . . . hear any additional evidence in the nature of . . ."

Wolfe: "Stop right there, stop right there. When a jury under our system finds a defendant guilty, the charge in the indictment or in the . . . whatever it may be is murder or . . . is that right, under this Section



. . . and if they find that the defendant is guilty of murder. What I am saying is only one sentence can be imposed and three judges cannot discriminatorily undertake to look into facts that change the findings of facts found by the jury. Murder is murder and no three-judge court is going to say, 'Well, in this case it is not murder'."

Hyde: "Ah . . . Mr. Wolfe, you have not read the Bill. The jury has nothing to do with sentencing. Upon a finding of guilty . . . ah . . . under the . . . Criminal Code . . . ah . . . 14 years is entirely possible . . . ah . . . other sentences up to death. The sentence is imposed by a three-judge court based on, #1, the finding of guilty by the jury. Now, there may be a fact question as to whether or not the victim was a policeman in the course of his official duty. If the three-judge court finds that he was a policeman in the course of his official duty, then death sentence is mandatory; but that is a fact going to the sentence . . . ah . . . going to the penalty and not going to the guilt or innocence of the crime of murder. We have separated the sentence from the finding of guilty on the crime of murder, and the only tryers of the sentence, if you will, are the three-judge courts. Now, if they find the facts to be that the victim was not a policeman in the course of his employment or a prison guard, then, of course, they would sentence him, not to mandatory death penalty, but to 14 years. Now, the Senate has taken the reason for this bifurcated procedure is to avoid the consequences of Witherspoon, which involves qualifying a jury for the death penalty. Under this . . . this procedure we no longer have to qualify a jury for the death penalty, they have nothing to do with the penalty. The three-judge court will have the say on whether or not and . . . the death penalty will be imposed; and their decision is limited to a finding as to whether the crime set within the categories listed in the Bill. So there is a mandatory death penalty that certain fact situations exist."

Wolfe: "The three-judge court, is that the same court that hears the case in the first instances or is there a trial judge that sits and hears the case in the first instance and then a new panel is impaneled to determine the . . . ah . . ."

Hyde: "The Bill . . . the Bill says that the trial judge upon a finding of



guilty shall immediately notify the Chief Judge and cause to be impaneled a three-judge court . . . ah . . . one of whom should be the trail judge if available. Now, if he's not available then . . . then he's not available, but is . . . was the feeling of the drafter of this Bill that three judges should hear the evidence that would go towards the sentencing, one of whom should be the trail judge; but in the trail, there's only one judge, as is the normal procedure."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 18. All in favor of Concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I suppose if I were a technician I would have to admire . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . the structure of this Bill; and I certainly don't doubt . . . ah . . . the Sponsor's sincerity, and he knows that, but capital punishment is still cruel and unusual punishment and . . . ah . . . you know, it's still an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth, it's still the state . . . it's still the state involved in the business of killing; and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 36 'nays'; the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 18. In order of Concurrences is House Bill 17 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1 provides additional language which in my opinion straightens the Bill whereby a permanently, physically incapacitated voter may vote by absentee ballot without requiring a physicians affidavit for each election; and I move, Mr. Speaker, that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 17. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 108 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 17. You come to the Clerk if you want to get on these Roll Calls 'cause, man, I'm going to start to move. On



ther order of Concurrences appears House Bill 133 for which purpose the Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill . . . ah . . . reduced in the Senate to 75 percent of the amount of the State's Attorneys salary and their event counties go together and elect the same ones. Ah . . . It's . . . ah . . . better than nothing. I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 133. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 130 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 133. On the order of Concurrences . . . Representative Barry on the floor? Bluthardt? He's on the floor." Special Request.



S.P. Reg. -

6-30-73

Telcser: "On the order of concurrence is House Bill 169. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Well, ah.. House Bill 169 ah.. the Senate Amendment ah.. clarifies ah.. the question of when the local prosecutor may prosecute under the Illinois Vehicle Code. It provides that only at the ah.. written request of the municipality and the written approval of the State's Attorney may the local prosecutor prosecute Illinois Vehicle Code ah.. violations. I move concurrence in the Amendment."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 164. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "That was 169, was it not?"

Telcser: "Yes, House Bill 169. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are.... J.J. Wolf, 'aye'. On this question there are 130 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House concurs to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 169."



Speaker Telcser: "On the order of Concurrences is House Bill 171. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Amendment #1 in the Senate . . . ah . . . eliminated the . . . ah . . . municipal and county official . . . under the provisions of this Act which dilute the Act somewhat, but I guess every journey must start with a first step. So I move in Concurrence to the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, will the Sponsor yield to a question . . . of an Act . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "He . . . he indicates he will."

Lechowicz: ". . . to not concur or do concur?"

Kempiners: "Do . . . do concur. It still . . . it still starts, you know, it just takes care of a problem the way it is, although, I think it should be broader."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 171. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I'm wondering if . . . before you announce this Roll Call something was brought to my attention . . . it effects downstate and not Cook, and I'm wondering if we might discuss this one."

Speaker Telcser: "Fine, you mean, on the floor, Ger'?"

Shea: "Yeah, right now."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, sure, go ahead. Do you have a question you want to ask me, Ger'?"

Shea: "My . . . my question is, and this is a comment on this . . . ah . . . does this have anything to do with denying the county other insuring rights? In . . . in other words, . . . ah . . . because you've done this, have you precluded them from doing other things in this area with regards to insurance?"

Kempiners: "No, this does not prohibit any unit of government from insuring or anybody from participating. It's just the amount that the governmental unit can contribute to an individual."



Shea: "Well, that's my question. Let's assume some county would want to go further than health and accident insurance and go into a . . . ah . . . a life insurance area similar to our state."

Kempiners: "No, this would . . . this would not prohibit them from doing that at all. All it's meant to do is to put a limit on for what the unit of local government can contribute in the way of . . . ah . . . a premium."

Shea: "All right, . . ."

Kempiners: "It doesn't prohibit it."

Shea: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question 134 'ayes', 1 'nay'; the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 171. Rayson . . . is Rayson here?"

Unknown: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "On the order of Concurrences is House Bill 244. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Senate Amendment to House Bill 244 which is a Bill to allow . . . ah . . . a non-home rule municipality to have certain kinds of limited retirement benefits to . . . ah . . . volunteer firemen and policemen . . . ah . . . this Amendment in the Senate was to clarify what it applies to a municipality . . . ah . . . that's non-home rule; and I would . . . ah . . . ask and move that the . . . ah . . . House do now concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 244."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House do not . . . do not . . ."

Rayson: "Concur."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . concur. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves . . ."

Rayson: "Did I say do not concur?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 244. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by . . . it says #1 on the Calendar, Chuck, . . . signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take the Record, Fred. Mr. Speaker, 'aye'.



On this question there are 129 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 244. On the order of Concurrences is House Bill 351. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster's."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have . . . ah . . . heard that there might be some problems with this Bill, and I think they are unnecessary if a person reads the Bill. This is a Bill in which the synopsis says that all of the county board members shall be elected in 1982 and every 10 years thereafter, which is true as far as it goes; but if a person reads the Bill, and believe me I'm sure you all are intelligent enough to read the Bill, it reads this way, 'Under the various Acts approved thereof October 2, 1969', et cetera, 'all county board members shall be elected on the first' . . . ah . . . wait a minute, 'the county board members shall be elected on the first Tuesday in April of 1972. One half of the county board members shall be elected every two years thereafter, except that in 1982 and every 10 years thereafter following each decennial federal census all county board member shall be elected'. This is merely to straighten out the fact that while half of the county board members are going to be elected every two years, following the decennial census, you must elect all of the county board members as it is . . . the same is true in the Illinois Senate. In 1982, you must elect all of the Senators; and if there is an objection to this Bill, I will nonconcur and go to Conference Committee; but personally I feel that the Bill is adequate the way it is."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Mad . . . Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

McMaster: "Ah . . . Certainly, Mike."

McClain: "Ah . . . Tom, are you saying then that . . . ah . . . this Bill holds true that a county board members elected for a 10-year term as of 1982?"

McMaster: "No, Mike, no, they're not elected for a 10-year term. I just read the Bill to you. You weren't listening. In 1982, you must elect all of the county board members, half of them will be for a two-year term and half of them for a four-year term. As it is true now;



. . . ah . . . but in the event that there is a population change in the counties following the census then, of course, you must redistrict the counties, and then you must, of course, to electing all of the county board members at one time, which I think you're going to have to anyhow in 1982. It's . . . I think if you would understand the Bill, the situation, you would understand what's going on; but this is something that has to be done just as you must elect all of the Senators in 1982 and every 10 years thereafter. This doesn't mean they're being elected for a 10-year term. Too many people have read the synopsis and not the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I support this Bill. I think if this Bill passes, it will just save us having one elect . . . and will cost the state probably about \$3,000,000 or so. I think it's a good Bill and . . . just put the . . . get the . . . license running with the highway commissioners."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 351. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Yeah, Tom, I thought that you were going to nonconcur in this Amendment because of the question of the 10 years answer to dicennial . . ."

Fennessey: "Gerry, I'm willing to nonconcur if this is what you want. It's no problem to me."

Shea: "It doesn't have any difference to me. It doesn't effect me. I just . . ."

Fennessey: "No, I know . . ."

Shea: ". . . I just wondered where the game plan was going."

Fennessey: ". . . I'll nonconcur if this would make you happier, but . . . ah . . . I feel that everything is in there, Gerry, I think the idea of nonconcurring and try to put more confusing language in this is idiotic. Damn it, we're . . ."

Shea: "Tom, it doesn't effect me. If it's all right with you, go."



Fennessey: "It's all right with me, Gerry."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Chairman, on . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask what the Amendment does. I never did catch that. I understand the Bill, now, but I didn't understand the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Does Representative McMaster's wish to respond?"

McMaster: "The Amendment is the part that I read except that a 1982 and every 10 years thereafter, Tom, now comes the Amendment. Following each dicennial federal census. This is a Senate Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, he's says all right."

Dunn: "That's right, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record . . . question 123 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 251. House Bill 447, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . 447 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Legislative Reference Bureau . . . one . . . one Amendment is \$20,000 for combi . . . compiling the existing Illinois law relating to labor and employment . . . ah . . . as directed by the Labor Law Study Commission. Mr. Schoeberlein and Mr. Kennedy; and the other one is a 3.9 percent cost-of-living increase for the commission employees. I would move for the concurrences with Senate Am . . . with Senate Amendments #1 and #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "He said that . . . ah . . . Amendment #1 was for a \$20,000 increase or a codification of the Labor Laws Commission. Don't we have that now?"

Shea: "What do you mean do we have this now?"

Hanahan: "The . . . ah . . . an appropriation . . . an existing appropriation \$75,000 for . . . ah . . . the Labor Laws Study Commission to codify the labor laws. Who's going to do it, the Labor Laws Study Commission or the Reference Bureau?"

Shea: "Well, it was my understanding last year that \$75,000 went to the Reference Buureau and was not expended . . . ah . . . and it will be



elapsed this year."

Hanahan: "Well . . . well, wasn't . . ."

Shea: "Again, we're . . . ah . . . Tom, let me read it to you. The sum of \$20,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated to the Legislative Reference Bureau for expenses connected with the drafting of proposed legislation com . . . compiling existing Illinois law relating to labor and employment as directed by the Labor Laws Commission and Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Re . . . Representative Kennedy explained that . . . ah . . . that they didn't spend any money, they didn't get around to it yet."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 447. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record on both Amendments 1 and 2. On this question there are 136 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 447. On the order of Concurrence is House Bill 449. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan. Tom, 449."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . House Bill 449 is a pension Bill. This is agreed with the Pension Laws Commission to reduce the . . . ah . . . the extended Amendment added the age . . . a higher age was agreed upon between the Senate and the House re . . . ah . . . the Pension Laws Study Commission for . . . guaranteeing the state police a reduction in the retirement age. It was a compromise . . . ah . . . age of 53 instead of 50. I move for the concurrence to Senate . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 449."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 449. All in favor vote . . . signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Telcser 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question there are 130 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 449. On the order of Concurrences is House Bill 458. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 458, I would move to non-concur. They left off a line so that the law would . . . effect the . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 458. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' . . . the opposed 'no'. The House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 458. House Bill 459. House Bill 495. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I would move that we would concur. Your Senate Amendment merely puts the Bill in the same order as the Department of Transportation budget in the usual procedure on . . . ah . . . funding this . . . ah . . . activity."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know of no reason why we need this Bill now. Ah . . . The department has funded this true on appropriation to D.O.T., and it has a companion Bill."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, they did not fund for this particular bus company. They funded only a partial one because the bus company was supposed to have been bought up by a mass-transit district. It was not."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Shea: "Art', can I finish here? Is this . . . is this only for one bus company the way this is amend . . . ah . . . drafted? It's like special interest legislation . . . united . . ."

Juckett: "No, no, it . . . ah . . . is not for a specific company, but it's based on the amount of claims that the Department of Transportation would expect from the private bus companies."

Shea: "I think this is a very bad Amendment."

Juckett: "If the \$220,000 . . . if the \$220,000 is all that's appropriated in the budget, then each of the private bus companies will be short on all of their claims."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he . . ."

Berman: "Ah . . . Bob, as I look at this Amendment, what the Amendment in the Senate has done is to take this and . . . ah . . . and specify that it's to come from the Road Fund, is that correct?"

Juckett: "Yes, that's exactly what we did last year."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may address myself to the . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Berman: ". . . the Amendment, I would oppose a concurrence motion. Ah . . . As this passed out, the wording of the statute indicating it was not coming from the Road Fund, and I would call the attention for some of my downstate friends who are particularly concerned as to where we're taking mass transit aid, and this Amendment takes it from the Road fund particularly. In addition, I would point out . . . ah . . . that this Bill . . . ah . . . is . . . ah . . . a special interest Bill. It takes care of one particular bus . . . ah . . . bus line; and I think that . . . ah . . . if we're going to address ourselves to mass transit, especially in suburban Cook County, I think that we ought to address ourselves to the total picture and not take care of one at a time. I would urge a 'no' vote on the concurrence of . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is nothing but special interest, and I'd recommend it's nonconcurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, to close."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, . . . ah . . . the arguments from the other side are absolutely spurious. The . . . ah . . . there is nowhere in this Bill the mention of the name of any bus company whatsoever, and what I'm telling you is that for all of you who do have private bus companies, if you do not pass this Bill, your bus company will not be funded properly because the one bus company that was left out will make its claim and under the existing law all of the claims will then be pro rata adjusted; and it will not fulfill the purpose for which the Bill was intended. As far as where the money comes from, this was the procedure last year and this is what both sides of the . . . ah . . . on the Senate side, both Republican and . . . ah . . . Democrat, insisted that we continue with the . . . ah . . . same practice that we have done in the past; and I would urge a concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 495. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. John, John . . . the Gentleman from



Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, just to explain my 'no' vote, I think this is a piece of special interest legislation. The Senate either ought to take the Amendment off or go to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted . . . have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think it's a special class legislation. I think it's very much needed, particularly in our areas. I would suggest a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question 38 'ayes', 55 'nays'; and the House refuses to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 495. House Bill 534, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 534. Ah . . . The language has changed over into . . . to the Senate to . . . ah . . . match up with the other Bills relative to a subject like this in various of the State of Illinois; and I move we concur."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 534. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 14 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 534. House Bill 603. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I move that we do not concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 . . . ah . . . on House Bill #603. Senate Bill #1 is an irresponsible cut. The Appropriation's Committee spent long hours in the House and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 603. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House refuses to concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3. On the order of Concurrences is House Bill 605 for which purpose the Gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's two Amendments on . . . ah . . . House Bill 605. Ah . . . Amendment #2 and



#3, #1 was tabled, and #2 and 3 both take care of the cost-of-living increase and I move that the House concur with Amendment #2 and 3 to House Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 605. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 and 3 to House Bill 605. House Bill 609, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move we do concur on . . . on . . . ah . . . House Bill 609 as the budget for Pollution Control Board. It increases the appropriation."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 609. All in favor vote 'aye', the opposed vote 'no'. They look okay, Bill? Okay? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Nothing is agreed around here, on this question there are 141 'ayes', 3 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 609. House Bill 610, Representative Pierce on Choate's microphone."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to split the motion here, first there's two Senate Amendments . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1, I would like to move to concur . . . that's the cost-of-living Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 610. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. 'Kazuntiek'. On this question there are 135 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 610. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, in regards to Senate Amendment #2."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 610. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House . . . one moment, I'm sorry, now, let's let Representative Pierce explain his



nonconcurrency, I . . . I was trying to move along, Dan, didn't mean to cut you off."

Pierce: "I've had some problems with . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment . . . ah . . . #2 and so I'd like to move to nonconcur at this time to see if we can work them out."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm advised that those technical corrections that this Amendment is . . . ah . . . correct, that there are . . . ah . . . no technical . . . ah . . . fault in it; and . . . ah . . . what are they . . . ah . . . Dan, that they have been . . . ah . . ."

Pierce: "I haven't got the . . . ah . . . the Department . . . the Bureau of the Budget here, as a . . ."

Washburn: "As I understand it . . ."

Pierce: ". . . as a courtesy, it's not final action . . . ah . . . Mr. Chairman, if you'd just . . . voice vote on a nonconcurrency and . . . ah . . . I think we can . . . ah . . . we can work this thing out; and I've been instructed to . . . ah . . . nonconcur on it. That's not final action, and I think we can . . . ah . . . we can work the thing out."

Washburn: ". . . I understand that this was their own Amendment and . . . ah . . . even at this late date this morning they . . . ah . . . agreed to it."

Pierce: "Well, I'm the Sponsor of the Bill, I'd appreciate the courtesy of a nonconcurrency."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 610. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House with . . . does not concur with Senate Amendment #2. House Bill 610. House Bill 614, Representative Calvo. Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Which one?"

Speaker Telcser: "614, Horace, the Concurrence motion."

Calvo: "Ah . . . That's an appropriation Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Appropriation for Institute of Environmental Quality."



Calvo: "Oh . . . Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, what . . ."

Calvo: ". . . ah . . . in connection with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 614, I would like to move that the House nonconcur in this Amendment . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 614. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 614. House Bill 615, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in the Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 615. Amendment #1 merely puts into conformance municipal public utility into the I.M.R.F., it's more or less clarifying language. They should have been in to the sen . . . Amendment #2 is a slight cost-of-living increase to the municipal employees who have served over 15 years and received their pension, and there are 5 to those 15 or 20 years. It has the approval of the I.M.R.F., the Municipal Association, and the Municipal Employees; and I ask for your approval."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. . . . oh, I'm sorry, Clyde. Representative Cho . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to either move that that motion lie upon the table or else make a substitute motion that we do not concur with Senate Amendment . . . ah . . . #2 at least to this Bill. Now, this Bill originally received only a qualified approval from the Pension Laws Commission and, of course, then . . . Amendment #2 is a very bad Amendment for two reasons. Number 1, . . . ah . . . well, first of all, the . . . ah . . . I.M.R.F. received a pretty increase in benefits in the last year so it would amount to about a 20 percent increase . . . ah . . . the increased benefit rate goes from 1 2/3 to 2 percent for employees with over 15 years of service. This was presented to me earlier that the Pension Laws Commission had to prove that it has not and we have also checked with the actuary to make sure that he did not give certain approval . . . ah . . . but even more so . . . ah . . . what's happening



is we allow partici . . . participation by the Urban County's Council of Illinois which has one . . . ah . . . employee at this time whose sole bunk is to lobby for that group, and there isn't a reason in the world why he has to be under the Pension Code to come down here and lobby for the County's Association. So I would hope that this would . . . the House would not concur. We'll send this back to a Conference Committee and get this Bill straightened out."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman . . . ah . . . from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan, to close."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is true that one person came under . . . got himself under this without my knowledge of it, but there's noth . . . no reason why he shouldn't be under there; and for that reason, I see no reason to jeopardize thousands and thousands of municipal employees, county employees, park district employees who are interested in this Bill, and I would, therefore, ask for your concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 615. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "In case some of the Members weren't listening, what . . . what's looked on over the Sponsor . . . this Bill had no knowledge, they slipped it on to put where one person whose sole function is to lobby for an organization to go under the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. That is an addition to an increase in benefits which was not approved by the Pension Laws Commission and the Pension Laws Commission has an understanding with the Governor, he's going to veto any Bill not approved by that Commission. So if you want to endanger the whole Bill, just go ahead and vote green. If not, I plead with you to vote 'no' so we can get a Conference Committee to straighten out this Bill and put it in shape that the Governor will sign."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "The Pension Commission has neither approved nor disapproved of the Bill. They haven't met on the Bill yet. The Municipal League and all the municipal employees are in approval with the Senate Amend-



ment. There's three different classifications of employees which should help. The only increase is a very slight increase for those who have been employees over 15 years. It amounts to nothing more than a mere cost of increase. The total pension in most cases would be \$30. Since the Municipal League and employees both approved it, I ask that you . . . ah . . . go along with this Bill. Now, if the Governor sees fit, he can veto parts of it and the rest will remain. For these reasons, I ask your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take the Record. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 57 'nays'; and the House does not concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 615. Ah . . . House Bill 620, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip, moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2. In favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and the House does not concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 620. House Bill 647, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 647. This . . . ah . . . is a technical Amendment that makes a correction by adding the word 'who' before the word 'reside' and . . . and corrects a typographical error. I move the concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would ask a . . . ah . . . question of the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Lauer: "Representative Stone, are you aware that . . . ah . . . in this Bill, which is supposedly a merely Bill, that the . . . ah . . . that limitations is taken off of the Public Junior College?"

Stone: "I think you're on . . . you're on a wrong Bill. If you'll wait until . . . ah . . . the correct Bill comes up, I'll move to non-concur."

Lauer: "This is . . . this is . . ."

Stone: "This is not the Bill you're talking about. No, Sir."

Lauer: "647."

Stone: "This is 647, you're talking about 846."

Lauer: "I . . . I have the Bill in my hand, and I would refer you to . . ."



on page 53, line 11."

Stone: "Mr. Lauer, this Amendment amends House Bill 647 in the Senate on page 3 by inserting a period at the end of line 8 and on line 26 . . . on page 26, line 12, by inserting the word 'who' before the word 'reside', and on page 45, line 18, by striking a typographical error 'for community' and inserting in lieu, thereof, 'for unity'. That's all this Bill does. The part you're talking about, if you're reading it, has already been passed by the House. This Amendment only makes the corrections I have just now referred to; and that's all that's before the House at this moment."

Lauer: "Okay, I'll ask . . . I'll ask you this question, Mr. Stone. Ah . . . Would it not be a good idea to send this to Conference Committee for their . . . this . . . ah . . . removal of that limit could be . . ."

Stone: "No, Sir."

Lauer: ". . . ah . . . ironed out? I strongly suggest to the House that they nonconcur. There has been a substantive change in . . . in a Bill that was presented as technical cleaning up of language."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Lauer, . . . ah . . . I believe that some information has come to light . . . ah . . . since . . . ah . . . your objection was noted . . . ah . . . both staffs of the House and Senate . . . ah . . . together with . . . ah . . . you know, the junior college people and . . . and I have done a lot of research on this because the question was raised was the fact . . . renewed. Ah . . . We have found that when you look in the transitional schedule of the new Constitution and its application to the School . . . School Code, it is very clear that the statutory debt limit for junior colleges is the same as for other schools which is 5 percent. It's very clear, it's been agreed by both sides that this indeed . . . that was a false alarm that was raised. I'm sorry the question was raised, but I think it has been cleared up, and I would urge a 'yes' vote on concurrence. I can show you the documentation."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 647. All in



favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 647. House Bill 661, Repr. . . . oh, I'm sorry, Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, last night in the very closing hours . . . ah . . . Representative . . . ah . . . Palmer moved that . . . ah . . . we concur in the amendatory . . . or adopt the motion for amendatory veto on House Bill 726. Ah . . . Shortly thereafter there was a question that came up regarding the propriety of the motion, and I filed a motion to reconsider that vote; but we checked it out and it's something to be now in proper order and I would ask for leave to withdraw my motion regarding the amendatory veto on House Bill 72 . . . 726."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked to withdraw his written motion. House Bill 661, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: ". . . concurrence . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to move concurrence on the three Senate Amendments to House Bill 661. The first Amendment puts the . . . ah . . . Bill back in the original form in which it was introduced which eliminates the conflict of interest . . . ah . . . of Members serving on the State Board who also work for local boards of education or institutions of higher learning or on local school boards. Ah . . . They, of course, could leave those positions and serve on the board. The second Amendment . . . ah . . . provides that there may be a majority vote of the board . . . ah . . . to prove any action. Ah . . . This is generally agreed and as a . . . no problem. The third Amendment provides that in the first district there will . . . ah . . . be guaranteed in the City of Chicago four members of the State Board and the same thing is true for . . . out . . . outlying part of . . . ah . . . District 1 . . . ah . . . and I move concurrence to these Amendments to House Bill 661."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, first of all, Mr. Speaker, I would . . . I would . . . ah . . . ask that the question be divided."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a division of the question. Representative Hoffman, do you wish to consider . . . do you wish to divide your question? Take each Amendment separately, I think is what he's driving at."

Hoffman: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay?"

Hoffman: "Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, with him, Art'. Now, on Senate Amendment #1 are there any problems? Any problems on #1? The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate # . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 actually . . . ah . . . if I could give you a little history, House Bill 661 . . . ah . . . in its original form came out excluding the possibility for teachers, school board members, school superintendents, et cetera from serving on the State Board of Education. We present an Amendment which would knock out that exclusion. We discussed it on the floor, it passed something like 74 to 39, that Amendment. In other words, it is your Amendment. It got over to the Senate, and the Senate knocked out all the Amendment, put in the original language, and I have talked to both sides of the aisle, Representative Polk and others, and I would urge you to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . that . . . the Gentle . . . the Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would like to speak in favor of a motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 661. Ah . . . I voted with Representative McClain here on the floor to remove this particular Amendment; but it really isn't that important. I believe what we need now is to concur . . . to get House Bill 661 on its way to the Governor. This is a Bill that will never come out of Conference Committee alive if we permit it to go to Conference Committee. Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I couldn't disagree more with Representative Chapman. Ah . . . I think by eliminating



these people we are eliminating those people who maybe who have the most expertise in this area, and I don't . . . I don't . . . certainly don't think that they should be discriminated against, I don't think they should be second-class citizens; and I would agree with Representative McClain, we should not concur with this . . . with this proposal."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I would agree very much with Representative Brinkmeier, but I would like to add one other thought; and that is if you look at school boards throughout the State of Illinois today, they are people who do not have expertise in education. They are policy makers but they rely almost 100 percent and solely upon the advice of the superintendent. If you want that type of State Board of Education that does not have expertise at all in this subject that will rely upon other advisors and not actually be the ones determining what the education process is, then you would vote 'no' on this Amendment; but if you want a State Board that is a viable branch that understands education and will help the State of Illinois even improve its educational system, I believe you would vote not to concur."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman, to close."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there's has been enough discussion on this issue. I think the important thing is that the basic concept the . . . appointed State Board gets to the Governor's desk. This is the wish of both Houses in my judgment, and I happen to be a teacher myself by profession that this is a technical Amendment and . . . ah . . . should not . . . enough substance to make an issue out of it, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 661. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh, to explain his vote."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when we're dealing with one of the most important pieces of legislation that we're going to



deal with in this Session. There seems to be very little interest or attention being paid to it. Now that we're talking now about the concurrences to the Senate Amendment to the Bill establishing the State Board of Education. The original Bill provided the general terms of no teacher, no school board member, nor anyone employed in the public school systems of the state were eligible to be appointed to that board. Now, that is following the custom of these inclusions and Bills for 20 or 25 years. The Illinois Education Association for years has proposed . . . ah . . . Bills to establish the State School Board and they always included in that Bill this prohibition. Now, I'm quite sure that the Illinois Education Association over those years was not an anti-teacher organization. The reason that they put it in there was because they thought it would have more appeal to the people if there was this division so that we would not have a State Board of Education control by the op . . . the apparatus of education in the state. Whether they were right or whether they were wrong in that position, I think personally that they felt and I know I feel that the biggest objection that I hear to a State Board of Education is they say, 'Well, the class trap of teachers and the educational organizations are going to control it'. Now, I think if we want to have a State Board of Education that is going to be generally accepted by the people of this state, that we don't want any hint or any chance of that situation coming through. This Amendment that we're voting on today is to keep the Bill in the shape it was. The . . . we took it out here on a vote that was hardly representative 70 to 30 or 40. Now, the Senate put the Bill back the way it came from our Committee, and I think that this is a good . . . ah . . . Amendment, and I urge your to vote 'aye' in concurrence in this Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman. We'll let him talk."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to call the attention of the House to what's being done here or at least attempting to be done here. This is a very important Bill. It's talking about the State Board of Education. That Bill went to the House Education Committee,



chaired by a very distinguished Member of this House. It's a very fine Committee, and I think we had some very good debate on this Amendment. The Committee adopted this Amendment and we, on the floor, concurred in this Amendment and we passed this Bill out with that on there. While we . . . we goes . . . it goes over to the Senate and the Senate takes it off with a blatant disregard and we are being asked today to dump . . . to dump our input, the House's input to . . . important pieces . . . pieces of legislation that will be before us today; and I say to you do not concur on this Amendment otherwise this was a Bill that is going to be molded, drafted and compared, not by the General Assembly, but by the Senate; and I don't want to allow that to be done. This Bill will come out of a Conference Committee, but I think that we, as an equal Body of the General Assembly, should have our input in this Bill; and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, in looking up there on the board, I am very much surprised to see a lot of green lights from people who traditionally in the Illinois House have been opposed to discrimination in all its shapes and forms. Ah . . . What this Bill does is discriminate against teachers. It's discrimination in the highest order. We're just . . . we're being asked to concur in the Senate Amendment to discriminate against the very people who have the expertise to be on this school board, and I, for one, am gettin' darn sick and tired of sittin' here in the Illinois House and being asked to be a rubber stamp for the Senate and being threatened that if we don't do this or if we don't do that, the Senate is going to kill it, and that's going to be the end of the legislation for this term. I think that our Constitution provides that we are an equal Body and if the Senate is unwilling to sit down and discuss with us the important matters effecting the people of the State of Illinois, and particularly in this instance the school children of Illinois, and if they are asking us to discriminate against teachers and against the people who know more about school children than probably any of us in the Legislature, well, I think it's a sorry day, and I would urge you to vote with Mike McClain and vote 'no' to nonconcur in this Amendment."



Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Bluthardt.

On this question, 102 'ayes', 60 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 661. Representative Hoffman with Senate Amendment #2."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #2 is a . . . ah . . . suggestion that . . . ah . . . which was made actually by a Member of the staff on the other side and it provides that a majority of those to vote is required to prove any action. If it left the House, it provided that 9 was a quorum and that a majority of that quorum . . . ah . . . could act, which meant that 5 out of the 17 could've taken action. I think it's a good improvement, and I would encourage your 'aye' vote on Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move . . . the Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 661. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 126 'ayes', 136 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 661. Representative Hoffman with Amendment #3."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Amendment #3 . . . ah . . . guarantees . . . ah . . . in the first district that there will be 4 members of this board from . . . ah . . . inside the limits of the City of Chicago and 4 members of the board from outside the City of Chicago in the . . . ah . . . first district. Ah . . . This guarantees representation to . . . ah . . . both the city and the suburban areas, something which we did not do . . . ah . . . in the original Bill which provided that 8 were to come out of there and they all could've come from the suburbs or they all could've come from the city as the case may be. I don't know what the argument is going to be on the other side, however, I would say that . . . ah . . . this does guarantee . . . ah . . . representation from both areas . . . ah . . . I think it's a reasonable Amendment, . . . ah . . . certainly not one that would be significant enough to . . . ah . . . cause a problem with this Bill and . . . ah . . . I would urge that we concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 661."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."



Berman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Berman: "Ah . . . Gene, . . . ah . . . is . . . this Bill provides for the state to be divided into how many districts for selection of . . . ah . . . State Board members?"

Hoffman: "Provides that we use the judicial circuits."

Berman: "That's 5, is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Pardon me?"

Berman: ". . . ah . . ."

Hoffman: "8 . . . 8 from the first circuit and 2 from each of the other 4."

Berman: "All right, now, this Amendment only deals with Circuit #1, is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Yes. Yes."

Berman: "Is there a division set forth for any of the other districts throughout the state?"

Hoffman: "Pardon me, I'm sorry, I didn't get that question."

Berman: "Is there a division within a circuit provided for any of the other circuits throughout the state?"

Hoffman: "No."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may address myself to this Amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Representative Hoffman to close."

Berman: "No, Mr. Speaker, I said I want to address myself to this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, proceed, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I'm not mistaken, if my memory serves me correctly, an Amendment similar to this was offered to this Bill when it was still in the House, and that Amendment sought to provide the first circuit which is Cook County in its selection by the Governor of members for the State Board of Election . . . of . . . ah . . . of Education, and that Amendment which sought to divide Cook County was rejected by this House. Now, the Sponsor of this . . . ah . . . motion to concur is artful in his discription of this Amendment because it says it guarantees 4 members from the City of Chicago. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would suggest to you that



this encumbers the free choice of the Governor as far as picking the best people out of the entire first circuit, and in fact what it does is to play the old game of pitting suburban Cook County against the City of Chicago. One of things that was argued in favor of passage of House Bill 661 is that the appointment procedures beats politics out of the State Board of Education, and I would submit to you that Amendment #3 puts politics back into the State Board of Education in the selection of be . . . members of that State Board from the County of Cook because there . . . you will get a great impetus to pick Democrats from Chicago and to pick Republicans from Cook; and I say to you we should reject this Amendment, allow the Governor to have the full scope of his prerogative in choosing a . . . the . . . the most qualified people without limitation as to whether they live on one side of the boundary lines of Chicago or the oth . . . another side of the boundary line so we can get the both qualified to serve on this State Board of Education. This . . . is a . . . an Amendment which is highly discriminatory I believe to both Cook County outside the city and within the city. I would urge a 'no' vote on this motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady . . . the Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I speak in favor of a 'yes' vote to concur with Amendment #3 to House Bill 661. All the State Constitution went into effect July 1, 1971. That's when we should have had a State Board of Education. We're two years late already. If you do not vote 'yes', you are throwing away our chance to implement the State Constitution right now in regards to a State Board of Education. This is much needed if we want this board to begin to prepare for January 1975 when they will take over their full powers. If there is some small detail that any of you don't like in House Bill 661, I suggest you come back in with a Bill to amend it in some future Session. There is no measure of this kind that we can ever enact that is going to be completely perfect and completely suit everyone. What we do is we vote for the Bill as a whole and if you vote for this measure as a whole, your vote will be 'aye' on the motion to concur."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

6/30/73

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Gene, the Governor does the appointing, is that correct?"

Hoffman: "Yes, that is correct."

Jaffe: "So that would mean that a Democrat from Cook County could finally be on a board, is that right?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Jaffe: "So I think it's a good Amendment, I'm going to vote for it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in reaction to the comments were . . . which were made, it seems to me that as we come to this last day of the Session when we . . . when the question is raised that we are in covering the Governor, what we're really doing is what we've been doing through this entire Session and that is giving guidance to the Governor and giving direction in his choices. He has those . . . the free choice there and he can make it. We already provide in the Bill that there can be no more than 9 of 1 political party . . . ah . . . on the board, and it's a guaranteed equitable representation . . . ah . . . from a political spectrum, and this means that the . . . the Governor is going to be given the guidance to give reasonable and equitable representation in Cook County. I think this is a good Amendment, and I encourage your concurrence in it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 661. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no' Roll Call, it's going to be what it's going to be, so let's do it and get it over with. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Put Geo-Karis on, will somebody, so we can save time. She wants 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 109 'ayes', 42 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 661. On order of Concurrence is House Bill 678, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an attempt to . . . tape was spliced and there is no record of his remarks . . ."

Walsh, R.: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 707 is the . . . ah . . . Bill to re-codify the real estate brokers and licensing law. Ah . . . The Senate adopted two Amendment, and I was going to move for



adoption of both. I'll explain both at the same time if I may. Ah . . . Amendment #1 has a technical Amendment . . . it changes the word 'chapter' to 'Act' in one portion of the Bill. It also provides that the maximum liability . . . ah . . . is \$10,000. That is that only \$10,000 can be recovered from the recovery fund from one incident so that if there were two contract purchases . . . ah . . . each of whom lost \$10,000, they would have to share . . . ah . . . in the \$10,000 recovery. Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . rewrites the Section indicating that using . . . ah . . . considerations, money, things of values in order to induce people to deal with brokers. Ah . . . That section is rewritten making it broader and I urge adoption of . . . of . . . I urge that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 707."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 707. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Would your record Telcser as voting 'present'. On this question 109 'aye', 13 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 707. 716, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1. It's an agreed Amendment from Senator Mitchler, and myself and the Members of the Commission."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Jack, do you want only 1 or do you want 1 and 2?"

Williams: "Well, 1 and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "We'll take 1 . . ."

Williams: ". . . I can handle them both at the same time."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Jack, Jack, are those the addition of the membership to the Commission and also the increase from \$10 to \$20,000?"

Williams: "Ah . . . Yes, that would be the two . . . it's an agreed Amendment. Actually rather than have the two Commissions, we agreed to combine the two into one and . . . ah . . . they're going to use 716 as a vehicle Bill and bring up the Membership."

Schneider: "Well, . . . extent about three Members of each party then, 6



total, and three Democrats, three Republicans the same?"

Williams: "That's right."

Schneider: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. Ger', do you want to . . . no? . . . Representative Williams."

Williams: "Ah . . . May I take this out of the Record momentarily?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, 732, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 732 makes the Department of Mines and Minerals, which as you know has one of the most hazardous conditions in employment there is, safer. What it does as you remember we put an Amendment on it that the . . . that has to be conservative for the varying practice . . . serve as coal mining in the State of Illinois. I talked this over with the . . . ah . . . Majority Leader and I know of no objections; and I'd appreciate your . . . I do move that we concur with Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 732. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 141 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 732. 736, Representative Williams. Jack, 736. Representative Williams. Jack."

Williams: "Yes, I move to concur with . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 736."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Jack, they want to know what it does."

Williams: "Pardon?"

Speaker Telcser: "They want to know what Senate Amendment #1 does."

Williams: "What does . . . ah . . . the original Bill said that . . . ah . . . you needed 2 percent of the registered voters . . . ah . . . in the annexation and this annexation and what this does in the event that there should be a small profit of people left that on the petition there should be a 50 percent of the voters from that little area that is to be annexed or disannexed to a park district, and this . . . ah . . . I think is a fair Amendment on their . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amend-



ment #1 to House Bill 736. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 146 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 736. Okay, put the Speaker on the last Roll Call. 739, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we . . . ah . . . I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 739. Let me say that I feel that this Bill has already been through Conference Committee. The Senate Amendment, the Bill from the way we sent it out of the House to a way that was unsatisfactory, I objected to it, proceeded in getting them to take off Amendment #1 and table it. Amendment #2 has been put from Gerry Shea from your . . . the other side of the aisle over there. It has been put from me, been put from the Senate, and I think it's a good Amendment. It more or less puts the Bill back in it's original form and allows an Amendment that Gerry wanted to let the township chair and beautification program along highways or in a senior village that is coterminous with a township. It also permits that a township to share with . . . a fire district in regard to revenue sharing funds. I think it's a good Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion?"

McMaster: "I move to adopt."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House . . . okay, Representative Juckett.. Well, I . . . wait a second, Bob, I cut off Tom, I thought there'd be no dis . . . are you going to support McMaster's?"

Juckett: "I can't . . . I can't hear you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Juckett has a question."

Juckett: "Ah . . . When a township and a village are coterminous, who would make the expenditure for this beautification of planting trees and shrubs, etcetera?"

McMaster: "Well, I think . . . ah . . . Bob, that . . . ah . . . in case of a coterminous city or village in townships where there is still a township government, certainly the township would have to agree to share if it is a city program. I mean it would be up to the township to decide whether they waste or did not waste."



Juckett: "You know, for instance, in the . . . like the villages of Oak Park and River Forest and where they are coterminous with their townships, would this mean that the programs that have been entirely financed by vill . . . the villages in the past, now would they then get a break in reducing their financial obligation and then the township would have to pick or is this entirely voluntary with the township?"

McMaster: "Well, Bob, I would say it's voluntary with the township. I don't think the city has any way of directing township to expenditures. I think the township has to agree to it."

Juckett: "And would this . . . ah . . . what . . . ah . . . suppose the township is not coterminous, would the township have to extend funds for say the beautification of one, or two or three of the villages within the township?"

McMaster: "No, I believe, Bob, that where the . . . ah . . . township is not coterminous, I think, would involve roads or highways in the unincorporated area and I would assume that if they so desired and again this would have to be voluntary on the part of the township that they probably could share with a city or village."

Juckett: "Well, you . . . I'm sure you're well aware, Tom, that . . . ah . . . that when a township levies a road tax that that road tax approximately half of it or so goes back into the villages."

McMaster: "Yes, based upon, of course, the amount collected from the city."

Juckett: "Right, and would this also mean that if they raised funds for beautification that a proportionate share would go back to the city and village?"

McMaster: "Well, Bob, you understand this is federal revenue sharing funds . . ."

Juckett: "Yeah."

McMaster: ". . . which the town board has complete control of, it's not a case of an assessment that has been paid by the city or village."

Juckett: "Okay. Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Tom, I don't want to, you know, get into too much of this, but does this get positive . . . powers to go into new fields, is that



what you're saying?"

McMaster: "Well, Gerry, pardon me, Aaron, I think . . . ah . . . we have a problem with some of the townships in regard to revenue sharing funds. We want them to be able to spend the revenue sharing funds under the guidelines layed down by the Federal Assistance Act. I think in order to do this we sometimes have to give the townships a little bit more leeway which we are trying to do in this Bill."

Jaffe: "Well, well, Tom, the only question I'm asking is what new powers are we giving the township? We've giving them an awful lot, what new powers are we not giving them?"

McMaster: "Well, specifically, Aaron, I would say that we have given them the right to enter into the beautification thing and also to enter into the sharing with the fire district. I mean this is a permissive thing. You know as well as I do I'm sure, Aaron, that the townships are restricted to what the General Assembly authorizes them to do."

Jaffe: "Well, I know that, Tom, and I know the sky has been the limit, and I don't mind the fire district, but I do object to the beautification."

McMaster: "Well, I certainly feel this is a responsibility of the township. I think Mr. Yourell has something to say over there too."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "I thank . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker. Tom, I . . . I note that . . . ah . . . in the language contained in Section 20 on page 2 you indicate the word 'individual'. Now, I'm a little concerned about that word 'individual', does that . . . we could very possibly put the township collector back into business again by providing a salary through the use of federal revenue sharing funds?"

McMaster: "No, I think, . . . ah . . . Bud, you are well . . . as aware as I am that I don't think that the federal revenue sharing funds can go to the expenditure or payment of salaries of township officials. I think that if you . . ."

Yourell: "Well . . ."

McMaster: ". . . are concerned about the township collector, you will find that another piece of legislation is floating around the General Assembly."

Yourell: "Yeah, well, I'm checking them off, Tom, . . ."

McMaster: "Yeah."



Yourell: ". . . and I just want to be sure this isn't one of them. Tell me why the word 'individual' is in there. What are we talking about when we mention individuals?"

McMaster: "Well, Gerry, I'm sorry, Bud, this is the way the Bill was presented to us originally and I think that in some . . . and I think that it is something that is required as long as the federal revenue sharing funds follow the federal guidelines which is what we are doing."

Yourell: "Well, one more question, Tom. In my township, for example, I had came to my attention recently that . . . ah . . . when I made an attempt as you know to eliminate township government in my township, the officials of that government who had to sign promised a small fire protection district \$3,500, would the get behind the effort to defeat the . . . ah . . . elimination of township government should it ever come to pass on a referendum vote. Now, the question I . . . ah . . . I know that was an illegal act and I will take action on that at the proper time because they have no authority to give township funds to . . . ah . . . fire protection districts. Under this Act and this Amendment they will have that authority; but they did promise . . . ah . . . and attempt to give that money to a fire protection district in my township. Now, the question I want to ask is simply this, if they're going to use federal revenue sharing funds under the provisions of this Act, . . . ah . . . they're going to be able to subsidize fire protection districts. Now, we all know for example that in some of the larger townships that have large municipal corporations in them that . . . ah . . . the municipal corporations are not going to get any subsidies through the federal revenue sharing and yet they provide the bulk of the money. The township is going to use those funds to dilotify the positions in the unincorporated areas with the small fire protection districts. So I think it's highly unfair that . . . ah . . . a fire protection district in the unincorporated areas get thousands and thousands of dollars and that the municipal corporations, the fire departments in there are going to get absolutely nothing. Ah . . . Now, can you comment on that?"



McMaster: "Well, Bud, I would say that the township would be . . . ah . . . have the right to share with the municipalities under this legislation. There's nothing to prevent it, and . . . ah . . . good heavens, Bud, I can't come up and run your township and I certainly don't agree with any town board of auditors that offers money in the manner you tal . . . said that they have, and I . . . you know that this is not the way I operate and is not something that I would ever condone, Bud."

Yourell: "Well, then would you . . ."

McMaster: "But this, I think, is a problem in your own area and I don't think it's something that is . . . ah . . . in . . . ah . . . controversy with this Bill."

Yourell: "Well, what I'm saying, Tom, is that just to assure you that if it's a problem in my area, this Bill will . . . will add to the problem concerning . . . there are a lot of suburban townships, but I . . . suggest to you that when we come back I would like to amend this Act to . . . ah . . . have this revenue sharing fund . . . ah . . . on a per capita basis throughout the townships."

McMaster: "Well, Bud, I don't mind that when we come into the Fall Session; . . ."

Yourell: "All right."

McMaster: ". . . in fact, that's something that might . . . we might perhaps work on later this summer in our Committee and I appreciate any input from you and you know it."

Yourell: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 739. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 113 'ayes', 10 'nays'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 739. 757, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to move to concur in all four Senate Amendments to this Bill. Ah . . . Amendment #1 extends the . . . ah . . . type of legal entity that would be required to disclose under the provisions of this Act, and it also clarifies . . . ah . . . condemnation proceedings and disclosures. Amendments #2 and



3 deal with the recent opinion by the Attorney General with regard to ownership of . . . ah . . . stock in a business and the prohibition of . . . ah . . . an individual serving in a local unit of government if he does hold stock; and Amendment #4 deals with the possibility of not finding . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we've discovered a conflict between Amendment 1 and 4, do you want to take it out of the Record for a moment and we'll take a look at it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the Record. 759, Representative Aisup."

Aisup: "This is the appropriation for the Department of Revenue. The Senate tried to change it. If you will remember the new director was just brand new when we heard this in the House. I checked the Senate Amendments with . . . Chairman Washburn and Ted Lechowicz and they approved them and so I move to adopt Senate Amendment #1 and 2."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves to adopt Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 759. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. Well, the number up there won't make any difference. It will then change it, the number up on the board. Will you . . . Fred, got to push the button, you got to push the button up there, I understand that makes some kind of a difference. Duff and Campbell 'aye'. On this question there are 123 'ayes', 1 'nay'. The House concurs with Sen . . . well, Sam, I told the Clerk here . . . George says the only one who's allowed to push those buttons is Fred. Okay, so . . . don't get all . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "I'm a good button pusher."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . you know, the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 789. Concurrence is 856, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, when this Bill . . . ah . . . when House Bill 856 got to the Senate, the Senate Amendment syndrome took over. They made an Amendment that is entirely unacceptable, they, in effect, say that . . . that the Junior College School Board can have . . . can give tuition waivers to anyone they want. I move that the House



nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 856."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 856. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 856. House Bill 889, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 was placed on the Senate by Senator Roe and Rock. Ah . . . It requires that a certified . . . ah . . . notice be sent by certified mail return receipt requested in the case of a revocation of a credit card. I move that the Sen . . . the House do adopt . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 889."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 889. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Ah . . . Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 2 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 889. 918, Representative Beaupre. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are three Amendments to this . . . ah . . . they are indeed agreed Amendments. I would . . . ah . . . move to concur with Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to concur with all of them, Jack? Are you going to concur with all of them?"

Beaupre: "If I may, yes."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 918. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. What's the matter? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Berman, was going to . . . oppose this particular concurrence motion and he's off the floor just now . . . ah . . . if you'll give me about 25 seconds I think he'll be at this mic'."

Speaker Telcser: "Who, Berman?"

Barry: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's go, Art'. Well, are you going to speak to the . . . ah . . . Representative Berman? Have all voted who wish? Take



the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 918. 963, Representative Matijevidch."

Matijevidch: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 963 the Senate Amendment is #1 . . . ah . . . deletes language that would have been repetitious with language already within the law that was . . . ah . . . worked out and agreed by the Department of Mental Health and the Illinois Association for Mental Health. I move that . . . that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 963."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah . . . John, I just have a notation on this. It . . . ah . . . as far as the Mental Health, they forgot to amend Title A in Section 1, do you know about this?"

Matijevidch: "No, I don't. No."

McPartlin: "Well, could you take it out of the Record so we could . . . ah . . ."

Matijevidch: "Yeah, sure will."

Speaker Telcser: "1052, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wish to concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1052. What it . . . it leaves the Bill practically the same as it was, but we added a section to the Vehicle Code, and they . . . the Department of Transportation thought this should be amended in the present . . . under the present Code, rather than create a new section."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman is absolutely right as I'm informed on the effect of the Amendment, however, the fact that it was amended gives us another opportunity to examine this Bill; and those of us who . . . ah . . . are inclined to think that they . . . pavements that are on the highways ought not get larger but maybe ought to get smaller ought to consider voting against and . . . ah . . . maybe defeating the concurrence and, thereby, defeating the Bill. This Bill provides that



trucks that are now 12 foot wide, and they have a 12 foot-wide limitation, can go to 14 feet. Now, those of us who have had the experience of passing these trucks know that this is difficult. We're making it more difficult with this Bill; and I suggest to you that we defeat this concurrence and maybe get this Bill so mixed that it will not pass, and . . . ah . . . I think we'd be doing a lot for our constituents and we'd be doing a great deal for highway safety."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig, to close."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, this . . . this passed in this House and almost every other state around us is permitting 14 foot, and I want to make this explicitly plain, it is not trucks, it's mobile homes that we . . . so we can move in inside the state . . . as well as all the factories have already practically left the State of Illinois excepting one; and mobile home people cannot move a mobile within the boundaries of Illinois under the present existing laws and I urge concurrence of Senate Amendment to this Bill and I think it's very badly needed since all the states around us have this . . . ah . . . law now. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1052. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I quite candidly stated on the floor of this House when the Bill comes up for passage that I hadn't consistently voted against legislation of this type in the past, but if you will re . . . read the Amendment on this Bill, you'll find that it does nothing except give the Department of Transportation more authority and more power than they even have at times today as far as safety regulations are concerned. I quite candidly stated that the reason that this legislation is needed is because that Illinois is one state that is lacking in liberalizing its laws as far as the movement of these pieces of equipment are concerned. What we are doing in the State of Illinois today are becoming competitive with other states that completely surrounds our



state. As Representative Craig stated, there's in the manufacture of mobile homes only one plant left in the State of Illinois and it's going to go if the laws . . . if the laws are not made more liberal. Illinois used to be the hub of this important industry, and I have a letter which I stated from the second largest manufacturing of these types of homes in the world champion who has stated that they anticipate bringing at least 10 plants into Illinois for the manufacture of these homes employing hundreds and literally thousands of people if we liberalize our law and become competitive with our sister states. I don't know. I don't know why there has been so much to do made about this legislation. Minnesota . . . Minnesota, Kentucky, Indiana, all of these states have a safety record as far as the transportation of these are concerned, and they clearly indicate that their safety is as good transporting 14 foot-wide homes and the State of Illinois is with 12 foot-wide homes. Now, if you really want to improve the economy of Illinois, if you want Illinois to become more competitive in the industrial field, then you're going to vote 'aye' in the concurrence in this Amendment, and I urge a favorable vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a good piece of legislation. The Senate Amendment doesn't hurt the Bill any. I would hope that we concur on this as Representative Choate has brought out the economy of the State of Illinois has been hurt by Illinois being isolated almost . . . ah . . . well like a fence around us . . . all the surrounding states, as I recall it, Ohio, Iowa, Indiana, Missouri, group of these appeared to be moved. In the last four years we have lost 20 manufacturers of mobile homes because they can't move them after they built them. We had one in Macomb that just went out of business just in the last year, and to my knowledge there's only one left and if we don't pass this legislation that . . . that one will go out of business. This hurts the state economy and this Bill is badly needed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."



It's got enough votes, Roscoe."

Cunningham: "Are you sure, let's see the totalizer board . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, 90 some votes."

Cunningham: "Well, I want to say loud and clear that I'm proud to stand with Representative Choate and Neff and the other 94 people who believe that we need to do something from the southern Illinois besides stick it in the T. The Walsh brothers yesterday almost turned into a nature study when they attempted to change the growth of junior colleges, and you haven't even . . . if you have such a chalang disregard for our economic existence, I hope it rises up and pledge you. We need these industries in southern Illinois and we need them desperately. If you don't let us have industry, you'll have the responsibility of carrying us on relief roads. Give us a chance to get off of our bottoms and up and go and like the rest of Illinois. Vote 'aye'!"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the Legislature has to balance out the economic interest of a few against the traffic . . . traffic safety of all of the persons who ride the highways of this street . . . of this state. Now, the last few years our traffic safety has increased or accidents have decreased; and I should like to say that one of the reasons for this is not allowing 14 foot-wide trailers on the highways. Now, we've got a 12-foot lane that we use and two feet more certainly creates a traffic hazard. The trailers are light with a highway of any sort as anyone coming down 66 knows is enough to really cause some big collisions and I've seen them personally on the . . . on the . . . ah . . . 66. I think it's a bad Bill. I think it's bad Bill for the people of this state and for the other people who ride the highways of this state."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 46 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1052. 1074, Representative Hanahan. Tom Hanahan on the floor? Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"



Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm sure Representative Hanahan must be on the floor, he's voting in favor of that last measure."

Speaker Telcser: "1095, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I move that House Bill 1095 be tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that House Bill 1095 be tabled. Hearing no objections, 1095 will be tabled. 1099, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate Amendment merely keeps the age for taking the high school equivalency test at . . . ah . . . 19 where it is at the present time. I think our Bill was better, but I think this is an improvement, and I would move for concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Yeah, Aaron, I don't know why . . . why do they delete the 19 in here?"

Palmer: "I think in the . . . in their wisdom what they wanted to do was make sure that no one who was high school age take the test. They wanted to make sure that they were out of high school and . . . ah . . . as I say, Senator Daley handled this for me in the Senate and this was the best that he can do and I . . . I accept it."

Speaker Telcser: "Jaffe and Daley."

Palmer: "That's a combination, isn't it . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Man, it sure is. The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1099. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 113 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1099. 1121, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move the House concur in the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1121. All this Amendment does is put an effective date of July 1st on the Bill or whenever the Governor signs it into law. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1121. All in favor



signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 100 . . . Mann 'no' . . . 108 'ayes', 22 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1121. House Bill 1133, Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1133. All in favor of the Gentleman's . . . the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to direct your attention to Amendment #2. It seems to me that state chartered institutions savings and loans ought to be on the same footing that federally chartered. I think this is going to do a great disservice to our state chartered institutions and I'd like it . . . fully department to consider them both separately so we may . . . ah . . . talk to the merits."

Speaker Telcser: "What did he ask for . . . a division of the . . . ah . . . Amendments? Joe, do you want to . . . ah . . . take each Ammdment separately?"

Sevcik: "No, Mr. Speaker, the reason for the nonconcurrence is that we . . . the Senate will refuse to recede and then we're going to have a Conference Committee appointed to put all these Amemdments together or add additional Amendments into one Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise?"

Maragos: "Will the Sponsor of the Bill . . . ah . . . respond to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Maragos: "Joe, the . . . ah . . . each of the Amendments stand separately and don't . . . they don't touch the same issues, do they?"

Sevcik: "No, they don't."

Maragos: "Therefore, they are divisible in substance, are they not?"

Sevcik: "Yes, they are."

Maragos: "All right, if the . . . the state concurred in one Amendment and not concur in the other, you still would have your Conference Committee . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "That's right."

Maragos: ". . . would you not?"



Sevcik: "I can't hear you . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, yes, he would, Sam."

Maragos: "Ah . . . He will. Joe, I'm trying to say . . . why can't we take each one Amendment . . . if we do not concur, then you can still have your Conference Committee if one of your Amendments is satisfactory?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, but are you aware . . . I'm sure the Members are aware, not to be reminded, once there is a Conference Committee, they can change anything they wish."

Maragos: "Yes, but the . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "And the fact that you adopt an Amendment, Amendment #1 and not #2, will not insure that Amendment #1 will remain on the Bill. I'm just trying to save some time."

Maragos: "Yes, I know, but the . . ."

Sevcik: "Well, that is the reason, Mr. Speaker, that I want to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3; and I mentioned before . . . ah . . . the Senate will refuse to recede and a Conference Committee will be appointed and then we will come back with our Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that . . ."

Sevcik: "I ask for a . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I often speak to the Sponsor of the Bill, but I hope he is extrenuous and insisting that we get Amendment #2 in Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1133. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and the House does not concur with Senate Amendments to House Bill 1133. 1152, Representative Washington. Harold. We're on 1152 right now, we just finished 1133."

Washington: "Yes, I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 115 . . . 52. It was just a cost-of-living increase, which we put on all these Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the



House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1152. All in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. I understand. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 5 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1152. 1165, Representative McGah."

McGah: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House . . . ah . . . concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1165. All this Amendment does it . . . is clarify the fact that when the . . . ah . . . city's contribution to the automatic increase reserve is deposited that the . . . there has to be a . . . ah . . . not a physical separation of funds, but merely a bookkeeping separation."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "I wonder if the Sponsor will tell us the need for the legislation, Bill."

McGah: "Ah . . . The legislation just started out with clarifying legislation . . . ah . . . and this Amendment further clarifies the clarification."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1165. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Laurino 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question, 103 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1165. 1172, Representative Schlickman . . . DiPrima 'aye' . . . Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1172. This Bill when it was passed by the House was represented as being a Bill that would exempt units of local governments from paying court costs. However, the Bill as it had been drafted limited the exemption only to those cases in which units of local governments were plaintiff. The Senate wisely I believe has added those situations where units of local government are defendant which was the original intention of the Bill, and as I say as I had represented to the House. I do



now, Mr. and . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . move that we do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1172."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1172. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 121 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1172. 1223, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Spe . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1223 were worked out by myself along with the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and what it does it clarifies and simplifies the role of that office in the . . . ah . . . administration of this Act; and I now move that the House do concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1223."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1223. In favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to 1223. 1282, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1282."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1282. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1282. 1293, Representative Mann. Representative Mann, Bob."

Mann: "It says 83 up there, Mr. Speaker, is it 93?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes. 1293."

Mann: "Oh, all right, Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves . . ."

Mann: ". . . go ahead."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House



concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 5 'nays'; House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293. 1321, Representative Brandt. Okay, let's see if we . . . Representative Brandt moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1321. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Ah . . . Representative Brandt, Representative J. J. Wolf would like to know what the Amendment does."

Brandt: "It changes the word from 'auditor' to 'comptroller'."

Speaker Telcser: "John, do you want to explain to Wolf what this Amendment does? Did . . . did he? Talk into the microphone, it'll help."

Brandt: "It changes from the word 'auditor' to 'comptroller'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. I know. On this question 111 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1321. 1324, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to House Bill 1324 which is the so-called Amtrak Bill. All this does is strike lines 7 through 9 . . . ah . . . on page 1 and then renumbers a section. This was an error in this particular paragraph, and an act to remove this one paragraph and make this coincide with the Bill. I have to thank Senator Partee's staff for finding this over there and . . . ah . . . this corrects the Bill, so the Bill is corrected now."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Representative Neff, does this in any way have anything to do with the routing or the substance of the Bill itself?"

Neff: "Ah . . . No, it does not. The Bill stands just like we passed it out . . . ah . . . with . . . ah . . . no changes whatsoever and all this . . . and was recommended by Sen . . . Senator Partee's staff that this be removed. Actually what . . . in this paragraph we forgot to change it. We did change the Bill, as you know, the appropriation to \$1,959,000 and . . . and . . . this paragraph shows that \$1,879,000, which didn't coincide; and, therefore, we removed that paragraph."

Maragos: "Now, . . . doesn't have to do anything with routing or the sub-



stance or the appropriation for additional trains or routes."

Neff: "Ah . . . It's got all the trains in it as we passed it out here . . . ah . . . and we could go through that if you wish."

Maragos: "No, that's all right, I just wanted to know if it doesn't change it. I would know what it did when it left here."

Neff: "No changes whatsoever, Sam."

Maragos: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1324. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 109 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1324. 1330, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I move . . . ah . . . concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1330. What the Amendment does, and it was suggested by the Illinois Department of Agriculture, is to help finance . . . ah . . . regulation of . . . ah . . . pet shops, dog and cat and animal pet shops by raising the renewal fee for an annual license from \$10 to \$25. The initial fee has always been \$25, and this would put the renewal fee at \$25. It is presently \$10. It'll help them have the funds to administer additional responsibilities created by House Bill 1330 in regulating and prohibiting cruelty to animals in these pet stores and pet shops. I move . . . ah . . . concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1330. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'."

Unknown: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . get his vote. All right, Dyer and Telcser 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 123 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1330. 1359, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1359 started out as an Amendment to the Municipal Code, then Amendments 1 and 2. It creates a new Act so as to include counties. I would move for concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and 2."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Ed, when we heard this . . . ah . . . 1359 in Cities and Villages, wasn't a referendum . . . ah . . . in the area effected to be part of the Bill?"

Bluthardt: "No, . . . ah . . . is the question, does either the two Amendments of the Senate effect the provisions for a referendum?"

Yourell: "No, . . . ah . . . Senate . . . Amendment #2 does not call for . . . ah . . . and Amendm . . . a referendum to my knowledge, and I was concerned that I thought that's what you had in mind when we discussed the Bill in Cities and Villages."

Bluthardt: "No, in neither instance did the Bill include a referendum, unless you want to consider the . . . ah . . . the petitioning after a hearing by a majority, 51 percent of those effected, to be a back-door referendum. Ah . . . That was the same provision that was in the House Bill that went over to the Senate. The reason that . . . ah . . . they used that Amendment . . . if it changes the time . . . ah . . . by which the petition must be filed, it provides now that it shall be filed after the final hearing. Before it was after the first hearing."

Yourell: "In other words, you're convinced as I would like to be convinced that . . . ah . . . after the public hearing's held and effecting the area and the property involved in the special service area, that should . . . ah . . . 51 percent of the property owners, is this property owners or is this . . . ah . . ."

Bluthardt: "Both."

Yourell: ". . . both, then . . . ah . . . referendum would be held, is that correct?"

Bluthardt: "Not a referendum, . . . the project shall be abandoned."

Yourell: "They could . . . they could object to it and that would . . . that would be the referendum."

Bluthardt: "That's correct."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Yourell: "Now, how many, . . . ah . . . one other question, how many



votes does that take? Just a simple majority . . . ah . . . 50 . . .
if there's a 100 property owners, say then . . . ah . . ."

Bluthardt: "Yeah, one more than . . . well, 51 percent."

Yourell: "One more than . . . thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is final action and there has been much discussion on this Bill previously. Ah . . . I would ask of the Sponsor of the Bill if he would yield, if he would specifically tell what is in Senate Amendment #2?"

Bluthardt: "Well, I don't have the Amendment before me, the one I just spoke on with . . . ah . . . Bud Yourell was one of the Amendments, and that . . . ah . . . changed the time for the filing of objections. Ah . . . The other Amendment includes basically all the provisions of . . . ah . . . the House Bill 1359. They're only minor changes in the provisions. It . . . it provides for the hearings . . . ah . . . for the creation of the districts. It provides for notice and hearings . . . ah . . . on the . . . ah . . . establishment of the tax levy . . . the tax rate and for the issuance of bonds if any, and for any changes there are Amendments to the annexation or changes in the district boundaries that provides for notices and hearings. So that the basic provisions of the House Bill as it went out here are contained in the Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Yourell: "Par . . . parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Yourell: "Ah . . . In Amendment #2 it . . . ah . . . it indicates that this is an ACT to provide . . . ah . . . a manner of levying or imposing taxes and so forth to . . . to areas within the boundaries of home rule units, and I would inquire how many votes does this take to con . . . con . . . concur."

Speaker Telcser: "Would the Gentlemen find my Parliamentarian."

Yourell: "Well, we should have passed that Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Ed, do you know how many votes this took to pass this with the Roll Call . . . in the Senate . . . was there a question on



this when it passed on Third Reading?"

Bluthardt: "No, it was 89 votes in the House. Incidentally, I I said 1 and 2, 1 was tabled, it's 2 and 3, but the substance of what I said is still the same. I . . . I would move to concur in Amendments 2 and 3."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Ah . . . Would the Sponsor yield to a question please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, . . . yeah, he'll . . . let him ask his question so . . . while I try and figure out . . . well, if Ed wants to take it out of the Record, it's up to him."

Bluthardt: "No, I haven't heard any objection, what is the objection?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I just looked at the Amendment, Bud, and it looks just to me as though there's no preemption of any home rule powers so it . . . takes a constitutional majority."

Bluthardt: "Well, this . . . this is additional powers to local governments, it doesn't preempt any powers at all that grant them as provided by the Constitution. The Constitution says . . . ah . . . states that . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . Legislature shall enact laws to provide for the creation of such a district, special service district . . . ah . . . this is not taking away, this is granting additional powers to municipalities and counties; and, therefore, it was my opinion it would only take 89 votes, and that was the decision of the Chair when this Bill was first before the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Well, I . . . I don't want to delay . . . delay this . . . ah . . . Ed, I just don't think that you should be able to make a ruling from the floor on a parliamentary inquiry as to how many votes this . . . ah . . . this will take for passage and I did inquire of the Chair, and the Chair is the only one that can make a ruling on this; and I don't care what the ruling is, I just want to have a ruling. I'm willing to vote to concur, . . . ah . . . but that's all I want."

Speaker Telcser: "What did you say, Bud? His . . ."

Yourell: "I want the Chair to rule, I don't want . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "I said rule."

Yourell: "Okay . . . Art', you rule."

Speaker Telcser: "I rule it takes a constitutional majority, 89 votes."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves that
the . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I never did get to ask my question."

Speaker Telcser: "Would you ask it now, Sir?"

Duff: "Ah . . . I'd like to ask the Sponsor . . . this is . . . the way
defines . . . this indicates special services within the powers of
muni . . . municipalities and there's no limitation on it except that,
is that correct?"

Bluthardt: "Would you restate the question?"

Duff: "Special . . . special services means all folks of services
pertaining to the governing affairs of the municipality or county
including . . ."

Bluthardt: "That's spelled out in the Bill if you'd read it, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Right, now, then, does . . . does that include transportation and
transit?"

Bluthardt: "No. Well, I . . . I can't see how it could. I heard that
. . . ah . . . this question raised before, but . . . ah . . . there's
no intent and I don't see . . . in this Bill where there is."

Duff: "Now, I understand . . . I understand that, Representative
Bluthardt, related to this Bill alone, but I'm trying to read it
in conjunction with some other Bills that were put in subsequently
. . . ah . . . that we discussed a little bit last night. Ah . . .
We do have the one Bill now . . . ah . . . which has passed through
both Houses which does indicate that . . . ah . . . local transit
districts can be established and another Bill which was supposed
. . . which would allow . . . ah . . . Cook County to have an
entire contiguous and inclusive townships under the C.T.A. . . .
ah . . . and this Bill would allow Cook County as I see it in con-
junction with that other Bill to . . . ah . . . levy a tax for
special service which include transit in Cook County and that would
be county-wide. Would you agree with that?"



Bluthardt: "Well, I think this is a far stretch of the imagination. I can't see where it would be applicable. No, I would not agree with that."

Duff: "You wou . . . you would not agree that that's possible . . ."

Bluthardt: "No."

Duff: ". . . under this Bill?"

Bluthardt: "No."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . I don't . . . I don't agree with the Sponsor's interpretation of those words, I think they're pretty all inclusive and . . . ah . . . I think that since it's so completely related to other subjects of . . . which have been of maximum importance in this re . . . in these recent days, that . . . ah . . . we should consider seriously on this concurrence that we can be talking about . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Totten, for what purpose do you arise?"

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . the . . . the Gentleman, the Sponsor of this, has already closed and it has moved before this House. I don't think that he should be speaking against the Bill . . . or the concurrence at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I hope Representative Duff concludes very shortly."

Duff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I didn't realize that he had closed. I had risen to ask a question and you had recognized me so I responded. Ah . . . I have asked the questions, I would just simply say that I think that we should consider the impact that this Bill will have in terms of levying taxes county-wide . . . ah . . . in the hom . . . in Cook County which is the only home rule county and I do believe it would include some of these special services."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 1359. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to question my vote on support of this Bill. I should like to point out to the Members of the House that this is a constitutional limitation Bill and that if you would refer to Article 7, Section 6, Subsection L, and also Subsection, or excuse me, Section 7, you would find that municipalities and



counties do have the constitutional right to establish special service districts. The only thing the Legislature can do is provide the manner by which the special service . . . service district to establish and special services provided. All this Bill does is to establish the manner by which these Sections of the Constitution are implemented. It was agonized much over the matter and I personally am satisfied that what Representative Bluthardt has in this Bill meets the needs of our municipalities and counties and very amply protects the interests of property owners, and I solicit support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is certainly one of the . . . ah . . . few things that Representative Schlickman and I have agreed upon all . . . ah . . . all Session and I herewith back off of the darn thing. I'm . . . ah . . . little bit afraid that Representative Duff may be right, that . . . ah . . . we may be implementing something here that would permit a . . . ah . . . master and a district with taxing powers through a back-door referendum and there's . . . ah . . . I think in history only been one in the State of Illinois, one back-door referendum, that prevails and the tax levy was . . . was turned down. So I would . . . ah . . . ask the Sponsor of the Bill to take it out of the Record. I don't see where that can do any harm so that we can discuss the matter and . . . ah . . . then take it up a little later. We certainly . . . we certainly want to pass this Bill, there's no question about that, but I think we some . . . we want some questions answered on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to take it out of the Record, Ed, or what? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "No, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, as much admiration for I have . . . that I have for the Majority Leader I think the request comes untimely, belatedly and unfairly. I think that . . . I think it's pure fantasy to imagine that this would be a vehicle for creating some sort of a transit district in Cook County. Let's remember that Cook County is a home rule unit. They can levy any tax for any



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

purpose they wish under their home rule powers. They don't need this Bill. This is a Bill primarily for the creation of special tax districts for the building of malls and such that . . . that Oak Park needs. I am going to ask that the . . . again this House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 17 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 1359. 1428, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1428. This is an agreed Amendment. It was submitted at the request of the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. It just changes the age from 69 . . . 65 to 69. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Lady moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1428. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 2 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1428. 1434, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment for House Bill 13, excuse me, 1434, allows for local option on disconnection from junior college district prior . . . prior to this Amendment a territory could be disconnected by a 2/3 votes of the people or 2/3 of the members of the board of the junior college district. The Amendment allows disconnection can be made only by a referendum and not by the board. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1434. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Speaker 'aye'. Blair 'aye'. On this question 169 'aye', 2 'nay'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1434. 1435, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1435 also has an Amendment. Ah . . . The Amendment . . . the Amendment identifies disconnection for annexation according to the specific district. That is the



initial district or whatever. It is nothing more than a clarifying language as to whether the disconnection is from the initial district or another Class 1 Junior College District. I move concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1435. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 93 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1435. 1436, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1436."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Will he explain the Amendment?"

Giorgi: "Well, this is sort of a technical Amendment because all they did was condense what was in the Bill and they insured that cities, counties and townships can use their federal revenue sharing funds if they want to to help subsidize community action programs."

Palmer: "Would that . . . would that include free legal services?"

Giorgi: "Ah . . . No, Sir, this isn't the Bill for free legal services."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House 1436. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 125 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1436. 1451, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1451. The Amendment #1 further defines the . . . the . . . ah . . . powers of the Men . . . Mental Health Board and Amendment #2 is a clarifying Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1451. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 119 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1451. 1459, Representative Hoffman. Gene Hoffman, 1459."



Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1459 puts in a . . . ah . . . a savings clause which says that any . . . ah . . . a changes that are pending relative to school district organization are not effected . . . ah . . . by this modification of the Act and I move concurrence in the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1459. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 137 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1459. 1478, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #1 puts a home rule Amendment on a Bill which requires cities and counties to send building permits and occupancy permits to the local assessor; and while I think it's a dilatory Amendment, I'm willing to accept it and let the home rule areas come in and ask for it when they find out what problem it'll cause. I move con . . . for concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1470. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 148 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1478. 1508, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Did he announce that? All right, . . . ah . . . House Bill 1508, there are two Amendments in the Senate, one removes some of the material that certain Members of the House found objectionable and superfluous. Amendment 2 is clarifying language that follows the intent of the Bill; and I move that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1508."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1508. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 137 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House



6-30-73

Bill 1508. 15 . . . of Jack Hill 'aye' . . . 1532, Representative Schoeberlein. Get Hill."

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1532 relates to the payment of wages of nongovernmental employees. Now, the Senate elected to brace two Amendments on this Bill and I'd like to concur with Amendment #2 at this time. I beg you pardon, I'd like to concur with Amendment #1 at this time and ask for concurrence. No, #1, 2 I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "But . . . ah . . . Representative Schoeberlein, are you going to nonconcur in #2?"

Schoeberlein: "On Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman moves the House concur . . . the Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1532. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Well, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 112 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1532. Representative Schoeberlein relative to Amendment #2."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move we nonconcur in Amendment #2 and . . . ah . . . by deleting lines 31, 32 and 33 and adding what they are . . . they're doing it in the wrong manner, and I would ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #2 to 1532. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House refuses to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1532. 1533, Representative Garmisa. Representative Garmisa on the floor? There he goes. Garky."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I ask for concurrence in the Senate Amendments #2 and 3 . . . ah . . . to House Bill 1533. The . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . is really . . . ah . . . the Bill that Ralph Dunn cast out of this House unanimously and it did get lost in the Senate shuffle . . . ah . . . inadvertently and . . . ah . . . I'd like to put it . . . he asked me to put this back into 1533, and I so agreed to. Now, Senate Amendment #3 merely says that 'if medically possible'. I ask for the concurrence of the House."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment 2 and 3 to House Bill 1533. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 134 'ayes', 3 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 1533. 1556, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1556 was worked out with my agreement, . . . ah . . . and I . . . it does not change the Bill in substance, it merely . . . ah . . . reworks the form of the Bill; and I move to concur."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1556. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 124 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1556. 1568, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to adopt Committee Amend . . . or Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1568. It . . . ah . . . reemphasizes the need of degrazing materials and cabooses and railroad . . . ah . . . transfer cabooses, pull cabooses and over the road main line locomotive windows and the Senate Amendment reinserts the type of grazing material which is a better definition in the original Bill; and I move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1568."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1568. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Peters 'aye'. Peters 'aye'. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1568."

Unknown: "George, put me on the . . . Telcser and Peters 'aye'. Put me on."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . 1573, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is . . . this Senate Amendment merely changes the . . . from 30 days to 60 days . . . ah . . .



the . . . ah . . . job order has to be current for 60 days instead of 30; and I would move for the adoption . . . concurrence with Senate Amendment #1, I believe."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House . . . the Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill . . . Repre . . . Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "Ah . . . Will the Sponsor yield? Ah . . . Jake, . . . ah . . . shouldn't that language of the Amendment . . . ah . . . where you're talking about 45 days . . . ah . . . either be deleted or . . . ah . . . you're talking about 30 days aren't you?"

Wolf: "I don't have a copy of the Amendment. The Amendment should've read . . . striking '30' I think and inserting '60' I think it was."

Berman: "No, this . . . this Amendment says 45 and that's . . . that's your problem, I think you've got a gap in dates here. Could you just hold it for a minute, I'll come over and show it to you?"

Wolf: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's take it out of the Record. 1580, Representative Beatty. Representative Hill, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hill: "A point of inquiry, Mr. . . . ah . . . Speaker, has the Speaker's office given permission to a photographer, and I'm nct talking about this gentleman here, to roam the floor of the House at will . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "No."

Hill: ". . . to take pictures?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, he has not."

Hill: "Well, then it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that we pay pretty fair salaries to these people that are employed by the Speaker's office to keep these individuals that don't have a pass to the floor off of here, and it seems to me they better get on the ball and keep them off."

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Doorkeepers please attend to that matter. House Bill 1580, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur with Senate Amendment 1. Senate Amend . . . Senate Amendment 1 actually removes the Amendment that I had placed on the Bill. As . . . as you recall, this



Bill deals with requiring vehicles passing through the city to . . . ah . . . if they are disabled to put out warning devices and the Amendment removes the requirement that would mandate the sellers of these . . . ah . . . second division vehicles to also supply the warning devices. I think the . . . the basic intent of the Bill is better without the Amendment, so I move concurrence."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1580. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and oppose 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 126 'aye' and no 'nays'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1580. Pe . . . Mr. Philip on the floor? All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas, with respect to House Bill 1617."

Douglas: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd . . . I'd like to move for . . . ah . . . concurrence on House Bill 1617. The only thing that the Senate has done is to ask that we remove one paragraph which relates to the . . . ah . . . the control of dogs by their owners. It's a minor manner which the Department of Agriculture which will be administering this Act feels can be dealt with through administrative means. I ask for concurrence."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the . . . the Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1617. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 'ayes', and 1 'nay'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1617. The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer, with respect to House Bill 1628."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . regretfully I must move to nonconcur with . . . ah . . . the Senate Amendment on House Bill 1628. This simply is a technical problem between the three Amendments. I would respectfully ask that a Conference Committee be set up."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Lady has moved . . . the Lady moves that the House does . . . do not concur with Senate Amendments



2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 1628. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur."

Dyer: "Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr.

Schoeberlein, with respect to House Bill 1640."

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1640. Well, it adds one paragraph there that it excludes municipal employees. That was asked by the . . . by labor to include this . . . in connection with all this for municipal employees . . . Representative Al Green asked that this particular paragraph be placed in it. Oh, I'll give you the truth . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah . . . Is this the Bill that . . . that provides that all . . . the state employees have one day off in seven?"

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . This Bill . . . this Bill provides that, that is right."

Hart: "Does that include us, beg pardon, does that include us?"

Schoeberlein: "I will ask for another Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Noultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, yesterday we spent 16 or 18 hours in this Chamber and today I'm sure we're going to spend a lot more. There's a photographer that's now in the balcony that was over here. He would wait until some Member was relaxed reading a newspaper or something and then he would snap pictures. Now, I think that that red light should either be turned off or people like him should be instructed not to do the things that he has been doing, and I insist that we not be put at the ridicule by people that are doing such things as this."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . . in the rear Gallery, are you with the news media, Sir?"

Unknown: "Yes."

Speaker Miller: "Which one, Sir."

Unknown: "Tribune."



Speaker Miller: "Tribune? Ah . . . Just by way of comment, the Gentleman's point was well taken. We don't want to bar taking of pictures, but please do it with due discretion. All right, where are we on . . . ah . . . on House Bill 1640. Just a moment, Mr. Schoeberlein. All right, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, arise again?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the red light be turned off."

Speaker Miller: "That's up to the Speaker of this House, until I get further instructions, I will not take any action." All right, let's get back to the business of the House for the moment . . . for the moment at least. Is this on . . . on this Bill, Mr. Pierce?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out our first Session, Representative Giorgi was taking to sleeping in the Daily News and he's been re-elected five times since. So apparently it didn't hurt Representative Giorgi, his districts must have felt better that he was asleep than he'd been paying attention."

Speaker Miller: "All right, Ladies and Gentlemen, we've got a lot of work to do here again. Ah . . . The Chair would like to recognize the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein, to inform the Chair as to where we are in this Bill."

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I ask for concurrence in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1640."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 . . ."

Schoeberlein: "#2."

Speaker Miller: ". . . #2, what was your pleasure with #1, Sir?"

Schoeberlein: "All right, that'll come next. We'll vote on this one first."

Speaker Miller: "All right, honor your motion. The question is, shall the House concur in Amendment #2 to House Bill 1640? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. All right . . . ah . . . the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, are you ruling that a Sponsor has the right to concur on Amendment not in the proper order? I mean, I don't know



if it effects this Bill or . . . ah . . . terribly, but we may one that it does. So I think we're setting a precedent. I want to know what the ruling of the Chair is."

Speaker Miller: "Well, the Chair didn't in . . . did not intend . . . ah . . . to do anything any different than what had been previously done by this House, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Well, that's why I make a ruling now . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Well, let's return to the Gentleman from Kane,, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my motion."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman have leave to withdraw his motion? All right, take this motion on the Roll . . . out of the Record, Mr. Clerk. Can we . . . can we dump it?"

Schoeberlein: "Whoop, whoop, whoop, whoop, I've got another motion. Phil, tell me what I got."

Speaker Miller: "All right, we've got that cleared up. Now, we're back to where we started, and the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein, with respect to House Bill 1640."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House not concur in the Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1640. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur and the Conference Committee will be reported in due course . . . in due course. All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, with respect to House Bill 1651."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move we . . . ah . . . concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1651. This is an Amendment requested by the Secretary of State Index Division to provide that trustees in single county educational service regions would continue to file with county clerks and only in multiple county regions would file with the Secretary of State."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."



Skinner: "Will he yield for a question? Ah . . . May I know if these trustees are elected on a one man-one vote basis?"

Tipword: "Quite frankly 'no', and that was discussed in . . . in both Committees and they . . . they are elected on the basis that we presently elect trustees, the same situation of electing on various townships and counties that they must come from different townships to get a geographical spread. This was considered by . . . ah . . . the Superintendent in drafting this Bill and discussed with constitutional authorities and they said 'Well, there might be some problem about it', but they don't really think that the problem is ever going to arise. It hasn't in many years. In the years that we've had the one man-one vote situation and it continues to provide the geographical spread the people have asked for in the . . . in the representation in school areas, and . . . ah . . . they . . . really don't contemplate this kind of a question will ever be involved in this kind of an . . . of an election process."

Skinner: "Well, it seems to me this is one of the most un . . . unconstitutional boards that exist and it's totally unrepresentative of the people, and I know we can't do anything about the Bill, but I hope we will in the future."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? All right, the Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1651. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1651. The Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, with respect to House Bill 1710."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill 1710 has to do with breach powers for park districts and it's been amended to apply only to those that operate airports. It's the request of Mr. Skinner and I move adoption of . . . concurrence in this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1710. All those



in favor will vote 'aye' and oppose 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 122 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1710. The Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, with respect to House Bill 1733."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1733 amends the Airport Authority Act and this Amendment provides that a Member of the Commission can be appointed from a district at large. It's an agreed Amendment with all parties concerned, and I move its concurrence."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1733. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 117 'ayes' and 3 'nays'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1733. Record Mr. Mann as 'no'. All right, the Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, with respect to House Bill 1762."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment 1 was before the House before on Concurrence and at that time Representative Shea requested that the . . . ah . . . matter be taken from the Record and we have concurred . . . ah . . . about his . . . ah . . . objection to it and have straightened it out . . . ah . . . and I now move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1762."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1762. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1762. The Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. . . ."

Merlo: ". . . from DuPage a lesser amount of annuity and apply the difference to a revisionary annuity for a widow. The concept has been adopted by other pension systems, the concept also has been



approved by the Illinois Public Employees Pension Laws Commission, and I ask for concurrence to Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Miller: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "John, would you . . . can I ask you a question?"

Speaker Miller: "Proceed."

Maragos: "What is . . . the . . . ah . . . Pension Code you say whether . . . tape blurred . . ."

Merlo: ". . . fund the option, you take a lesser amount of pension and apply the difference to the revisionary annuity for . . . this is something that has been accepted by other pension funds, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "This is the only one that doesn't have it of the same type of . . ."

Merlo: "I don't know . . . I don't know if it's the only one, but I know there are others that have accepted it, and the concept itself is accepted by the Illinois Public Employees Pension Fund."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe there are two other groups who have this, I think the park district and the Cook County employees. The concept is not necessarily bad, although I understand . . . ah . . . a number of firemen have contacted me and tell me they don't want it because it doesn't . . . ah . . . it isn't much good for the rank and file of members. So from a financial standpoint, I don't think there's any problem other than the fact that I've been advised from a number of firemen that they don't want this because they feel that it might impair . . . ah . . . later bargaining when they come in for a stronger or . . . or . . . ah . . . higher minimum . . . pension. The park district is hounding employees I understand of a minimum of \$250 a month and the Chicago firemen have less . . . less and . . . ah . . . they feel that if they come back and ask for this next time, we will tell them that you have a revisionary provision, if you wish to take a less of a pension than give it to your widow, . . . ah . . . you can do that. So . . . I don't know what to do. I mean, it's not actuarially bad



. . . ah . . . what . . . what the Gentleman is proposing."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Thompson."

Thompson: "Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question? Ah . . . Jack, will this . . . ah . . . is this mandatory?"

Merlo: "No, Representative Thomspon, it is not. It is an option that they can emphasize or not."

Thompson: "Well, as long as it's not mandatory because I know sometime or another . . . agree with it . . . don't agree to it, they don't think it would . . . ah . . . be any benefit . . . ah . . . I have had them to tell me about this that if this was . . . if something happened to the family . . . if he should die, that then it . . . they could increase his widow's pension. It would be all right that they're not willing to have it taken away from the pension while they are living. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd have to agree with Representative Wolf. Ah . . . This approach certainly is fine and . . . and actuarially sound and . . . and good in a plan where a minimum pension of some substance has been established, and this is true in the other pension plans that Representative Wolf has referred to. However, we have been thwarted in our efforts . . . ah . . . in the Chicago Firemen's Plan to raise the . . . the minimum widow's benefit. So here the fireman is being told if you raise that benefit, you can take something less yourself. Now, in the other plans that it makes a lot more sense with a higher level of minimum benefits for the widow; but I think that this something that is meaningless to the poli . . . to the firemen in Chicago at this time. As a matter of fact, as Representative Wolf so correctly points out will work to a detriment in his . . . in bargaining in the future. So I that . . . ah . . . that this is a step that we should not take and I . . . I would urge the Members of this House and the Sponsor of the Bill . . . ah . . . to move for nonconcurrence at this time. I . . . I think



that we're asking the . . . the firemen to further penalize themselves, and I don't think that we should put ourselves in that position."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . Proceed, Sir."

Shea: "Jack, as I understood you answered Representative Thompson, that this is an option and it's not mandatory, is that correct, so that those people that it benefits could take advantage of it?"

Merlo: "That's correct, Representative Shea."

Shea: "All right, is this similar to the same plan we have in the General Assembly Pension Plan?"

Merlo: "I . . . Yes, I think it is . . . ah . . . I . . ."

Shea: "Isn't this . . . isn't this the one that was put in at Representative Granada's . . . ah . . . request in our Pension Plan?"

Merlo: "You are correct."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo, to close."

Merlo: "The only thing that I can tell you that I think that this . . . as I mentioned before is sixty . . . strictly optional. He has the discretion of not taking it if he so desires, that this is a concept that has been approved by the Illinois Public Employees Pension Commission, and if we are to look to this Commission for guidance, I think that we should abide by some of the uniformity that they are . . . attempting to bring about in our present public pension plan; and I ask your favorable vote in concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1872. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Record Mr. Maragos as 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 45 'ayes', and 63 'nays'; and the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1872. All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, with respect to House Bill 1877."



Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1877. What this does, it changes the language that . . . ah . . . where the training session will be . . . we use in the state conducted . . . anyway Cook County has various other locations. The Amendment is just conducted annually at various convenient locations . . . ah . . . the Senator Schaffer, who is the Senator . . . Senate Sponsor, thought this to be more possible. So I have no objections to it, and I ask that we move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1877. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 126 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and the House does concur with House Bill . . . with the Senate . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1877. Mr. Arrigo's Bill. Can someone take care of that for him? All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, with respect to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1910."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill 1910 . . . ah . . . is an Act to expand the services of the suburban T.B. sanitarium districts . . . ah . . . and I would move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . this will allow the facilities to be used for other health related services and that is due to the fact that we have these fine facilities, and yet with modern medicine, we've been able to reduce the incidents of T.B. so that these services could be used for other things; and I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Miller: "He indicates he will, you may proceed."

Kempiners: "Gerry, if I'm not mistaken, that was the purpose of the Bill, and I support it . . . ah . . . where there are empty beds . . . ah . . . you may be able to use them for illnesses other than tuberculosis. Now, is there something specifically mentioned in the Senate



Amendment?"

Shea: "It would allow the counties to resell or use the facilities for purposes . . . ah . . . for other health related services. From the note I got, it's Arrigo's Bill and Amendment, and this is what they said that the county could . . . ah . . . lease, sell or use the facilities for purposes . . . ah . . . for health related purposes."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . I may be mistaken, but I think the lease and sell may be what the Amendment did, but . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Maybe what . . . I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Le . . . adding the words 'lease or sell' may be what the Amendment did, but it . . . it seemed to me the way you said was a discription of the Bill which I voted for and support."

Shea: "Well, the note I have said that the Senate Amendment . . . ah . . . would allow the county to resell or use facilities for health related . . . ah . . . other health related purposes."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the . . . ah . . . handler of this Bill could tell us if any of these special districts ever go out of business. It seems to me that the purpose for the tuberculosis districts is just about done with and it certainly could merge with public health districts or Public Health Department, and I don't understand why they keep . . . ah . . . getting these leases on life. I suspect the tuberculosis districts will be around when it's as a little part of the American life as leprosy is today."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, can I . . . can I just answer part of it and then maybe Doctor Douglas would like to answer the rest of it. Ah . . . The suburban . . . the Cook County Suburban Metropolitan Health District up there has a fine hospital on 55th and County Line Road. It's a four-wing hospital, it's probably the finest free facility for treating T.B. anyplace in the Nation. That facility is being used at about 25 to 30 percent because it is, you know, there's just not that much T.B. anymore and they're catching it quicker. Now, Doctor Frank Urica, who's the Director of the Board, at his request this Bill was



put in so that they could use that facility for other pulunar . . . Bruce, what's the word, pul . . . pulmonary diseases, so that's the purpose of it, and I've got a note on what the Senate Amendment did. If it . . . it's something different than I said, maybe somebody can explain it. I think Mr. Kempiners has got the Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Yes, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I hope I didn't, you know, start something that I can't stop now; but if I remember the Bill correctly, it just said that the sanitarium would be able to use the facilities themselves for . . . ah . . . purposes other than tuberculosis purposes and after reading this Amendment, it looks as if . . . ah . . . if the in-patient facility to serve the residents suffering from tuberculosis is no longer needed at all, that they can either close it down or the county board can find another use for it, which I, you know, as long as you've got the buildings there, you might just as well use them. So I . . . I hope that this explanation stops anything I might have started."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I voted against this in Committee because of some of the same concerns, but I've since checked very carefully and I'm now in complete support of the intent of this, and in answer to Representative Skinner's question, I think that the . . . ah . . . the idea is a very good one. The . . . the facility is there. It would be a shame to . . . to . . . ah . . . to let this hospital die. I think that they've done everything they could to work it out to use it, and I'd like on a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker, to say that four people have been hit in the head with rubber bands around here. I think it's a pretty stupid way for someone to be . . . to be wasting his time. They ought to cut the nonsense out."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Well, Mr. Spekaer, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the largest sanitarium institutes in the state is located in the



district whi . . . which I represent. We have a special considera-
 tion and feeling for that particular area. I supported the Bill that
 Representative Arrigo did introduce to provide for the things
 that Representative Douglas alluded to and that Representative
 Shea alluded to; but one of the things that is different than this
 Senate Amendment is that it also provides that the land might be
 sold. The original Bill did not provide for that. It provided
 that it may be rented or . . . or leased out to other governmental
 institutions for providing health care. When we talk about selling,
 we've got a different kind of a problem. There's an awful lot of
 land which is involved in the municipal tuberculosis sanitarium area
 in the City of Chicago and . . . ah . . . I am a little bit leery
 about the Senate Amendment which says . . . ah . . . to . . . to
 be sold and I'm going to have to . . . ah . . . oppose the . . .
 the motion to concur."

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was one who questioned this Bill in
 Committee, however, with the Amendment, I think this is an excellent
 Bill; and I think that we will look to the day when we'll make
 full use of all these facilities. I would support Mr. Shea's
 motion."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman
 from Cook, Mr. Shea, care to close?"

Shea: "If . . . ah . . . I would appreciate the concurrence of the House
 in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1910, Victor Arrigo's Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Calendar indicates he's #2, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "#2 then. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall the House concur in
 Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1910? All those in favor will
 vote 'aye', oppose 'nay'."

Shea: "Petrovich don't like it."

Speaker Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr.
 Clerk. On this question there are 113 'ayes', and 11 'nays'; and
 the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1910,
 . . . ah . . . correction, it was Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill



1910. On the order of Concurrence is to pick up a couple of these that were passed over. The Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf, with respect to House Bill 1573."

Wolf: "Ah . . . Due to eagle-eyes Berman we find out there was a technical error and so I would now move that we do not concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 1573."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1573. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; and the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur with this Amendment. All right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philip, with respect to House Bill 1598."

Philip: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1598 simply changes in the Illinois statute the word 'Auditor' to 'Comptroller'. In the one Section we decided to leave it at the Comptroller, not the Secretary of State. I worked out that agreement with the Secretary of State's office. So I move the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1598."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? Is there discussion? All right, the Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1598. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and opposed 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, to explain his vote."

Shea: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . Pate, I see this Bill . . . ah . . . what was this, a kind of a technical clean-up Bill for the Comptroller's office?"

Philip: "Ah . . . Yes, Gerry, as . . . as you know, our new Constitution changed the name of the office of 'Auditor' to 'Comptroller'. What this Bill did in effect was . . . ah . . . change it all the way through the statute, except in one place . . . ah . . . Gerry, and it was in regard to bonds . . . for the filing of bonds for . . . for the Cemetery Care Board which have been filed in the . . . originally in the State Auditor's office. The Comptroller thought he wanted to get rid of it, but he found out that the Secretary of State did not want it and suggested that they leave it in the Comptroller's office because the Comptroller's office, as you know, has cemetery



care. So it was worked out with . . . ah . . . with . . . ah . . .
Mr. Kress in the Secretary of State and there's no objection."

Speaker Miller: "Have all vote who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', and no 'nay'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1598. Mr. Barry, are you ready on yours . . . on the or . . . order of Concurrence, we're picking up some that we missed originally. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry, with respect to House Bill 164."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 164. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur. Is Mr. Williams on the floor? All right, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, with respect to House Bill 716."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . this was taken out of the Record before . . . ah . . . because there was a question as to whether the Amendment #1 was applicable. It has been found that Amendment #1 is not germane to the Bill, and I move not to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Miller: "Will the Gentleman repeat his motion?"

Williams: "Ah . . . I move not to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 716."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 716. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; and the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur. The Chair will now recognize the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, with respect to House Bill 757. All right, let's return to Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Okay, there is Senate Amendment #2 which does correct . . . ah . . . this is the reason that we didn't need #1. Included in Senate Amendment #2 is the right language for it and I move at this time that we do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 716."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the question is, shall



the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 716. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and opposed 'nay'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 716. 757, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is another Bill that was pulled out of the Record at Representative Barry's request and, of course, he was correct in his request . . . ah . . . to have it pulled out of the Record. There was a conflict between Amendment #1 and 4. Ah . . . I think what I would like to do, Mr. Speaker, is to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3, nonconcur in Amendments 2 and 4 and request and Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked to move that the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 2 and . . ."

Kempiners: "2 and 4."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . 2 and 4. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House does not concur with Senate Amendments 2 and 4 to House Bill 757."

Kempiners: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I would like to . . . ah . . . take Amendments 1 and 3 . . . ah . . . 1 and 3 changes some language so that any . . . ah . . . legal entity will have to be . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 757. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 90 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 757. No, no, the next Bill goes back to the Senate and the two Amendments you did not concur with. If they recede, that's final action; if they refused to recede there's a Conference Committee. Ah . . . Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 25, Representative Sevcik. Ah . . . One second, Joe, there's two more on . . . on Concurrence, I'm sorry. Ah . . . On Concurrence there's 962, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House . . . ah . . . House Bill 963 was pulled out of the Record. The Democrats answered to Art' Simms and Lee Swartz noticed that after the Senate



Amendment in the title we no longer amend a certain Section, however, . . . ah . . . informs me that it is not necessary to take it back to the Senate. This can be done in Enrolling and Engrossing. So I, therefore, move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 963."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you move to nonconcur?"

Matijevich: "Concur."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 963. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. I'll get Conference Committee Reports to supplemental. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 123 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 963. 1074, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1074, which is the equal pay Bill for the . . . ah . . . answer to equal pay for equal work. It's a technical Amendment . . . establishing . . . adopted on. I move to concur."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1074. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 123 'eyes', and no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1074. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 25, Represent . . . Duff 'aye' . . . Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 25. Now, this makes it permissive for a Member of the General Assembly to terminate a scholarship. Before it was mandatory and after the Conference Committee Report, we made it permissive for each individual Legislator to regulate the scholarships in his own district."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to see us kill this entire Bill and I don't know whether . . . ah . . . we can do that . . . ah . . . by our vote now. I think Legislative Scholarships are questionable as they . . . as they acknowledged this without



this Bill, House Bill 25. I think most of us are conscientious in making our selections."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sevcik, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Sevcik: "A point of order . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Sevcik: ". . . please stick to the Conference Committee Report and not to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Your point is well taken. Would you stick to the Conference Committee Report please."

Mugalian: "I'm . . . I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, yes. Ah . . . After the Report, it amends somewhat the ability of a Legislator to eliminate a scholarship by virtue of the students leaving a district or registering to vote in another area. What this does it confirms the unfounded notions of some Members of the public that these scholarships are used . . . ah . . . for favors and to influence votes, in other words, they form a sophisticated patronage. I think that the revision suggested by the Conference Commission . . . ah . . . Committee is unacceptable and should be rejected by this House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Ah . . . Joe, am I correct, what this does is give the . . . ah . . . nominating Member a permissive right to decide if a person who originally resided in his district, then moves out?"

Sevcik: "You are correct, Representative Mann. It is permissive, it is not mandatory. Originally, the original Amendment placed on this made it mandatory that they forfeit this scholarship. The . . . ah . . . the Committee Report makes it permissive for each Members to regulate his own scholarships in his district."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 25. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out an additional problem that's even worse than the mandatory provision from the last time, and that is it was saying that we may withdraw the scholarship."



It almost is like having a hammer over your head and saying to students . . . ah . . . if you don't play by rules, for example, if you don't work for me in the next election, there's a possibility I might have to withdraw your scholarship if you intend to register in the district in which you live. So although I know we're not all that sinister, the fact is that possibility exists and I think it even makes it worse in the previous . . . ah . . . Conference Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Ta . . . take the Record.

On this question there are 103 'ayes', 26 'nays'; the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 25. 143, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 143. 143 was the Bill in which we set up the Board of Reviews, and it went out of here 150 'ayes', no 'nays'. What they've done over there is to take out the second Board of Review and now the Local Government . . . the Department of Local Government Affairs will hear the cases if you feel that your multiplier was adjudicated wrongly. I would . . . ah . . . move to adopt this Res . . . Conference Com . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . there is no doubt whatsoever that this is not enough to solve the problem. This assumes that the Department of Local Government Affairs is insulated from politics. Ah . . . I would suggest . . . ah . . . that the information that I just received yesterday from the Department with regard to the assessment level after Governor Walko . . . Walker froze the multiplier and which shows that assessments range from, let's see, 30 percent in some southern Illinois counties to about 48 percent in Peoria County, giving Peoria County the dubious distinction of being the highest assessed county in the state, I'm sorry to say his county is quite willing to . . . ah . . . transfer that . . . ah . . . honor to them. Ah . . . It . . . I just have no confidence that this will solve the problem, nevertheless, this is all we can get. So I certainly do concur with Representative Capparelli that we should vote for concurrence."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to 143. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 130 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 143. 318, Representative Fleck. Representative Fleck on the floor? Take it out of the Record. 794, Representative Douglas. Well, where is Charlie at? Don't you want to move your thing, Charlie, your Conference Committee Report? No? Okay, 318, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee Report to 318 was just a minor change in . . . ah . . . the Bill as it was proposed. All it does is change the word 'cause of action' to 'actions', and it was an objection which was raised by Art' Berman and . . . ah . . . we got into a Conference Committee where the House was again straightening out the Senate where they goofed up with this Amendment. I move that we adopt a Conference Committee Report to House Bill 318."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Friedland: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I just was noticing a Conference Committee Report in the type of names of . . . ah . . . chose Legislators that signed it . . . ah . . . on the second line is . . . ah . . . Robert G. Day, but the signature is Brian Duff. Ah . . . What . . . is the difference . . . the type of name is incorrect, Brian Duff was the appointed Member of the Conference Committee. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to 318. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 128 'ayes', and no 'nays'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to 318. 794, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Sorry . . . sorry . . . I'm up now . . . Mr. Speaker, House Bill 794 is now after the Conference Committee meeting in the shape that it was when it originally left the House. All the House Members have concurred in it, and I move that we concur with the Conference



Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 794. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed 'no'. LaFluer 'aye'. Telcser 'aye'. Tom, get me on. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs . . . adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 794. 827, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of Conference Committee to House Bill 827. The Conference Committee caused the Senate to recede from their original Amendment and then we amended our first Amendment slightly to clarify that which was endowed. It doesn't change the substance of the Bill; and I move the adoption of the Conference Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House adopt a Conference Committee Report relative to 827. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 827. 1318, Representative Dyer. Is Representative Dyer on the floor? Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 23, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report on 23. The only change it makes, it reacts . . . it correct a defect in the title of the Bill. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 23. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 23. Senate Bill 282, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . I move to adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 282. The Conference Committee adopted a change in language to include the purchase of the land sites for the University of Illinois within the Illinois Medical



Center Commission. This is a normal procedure for the acquisition of land within the Medical Center Commission to the City of Chicago, and this Amendment includes that \$550,000 Amendment to the Bill. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 282. All in favor . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . We were looking at this . . . ah . . . Amendment. Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Ah . . . There is an Amendment to this . . . a Senate Amendment to this Bill increases from \$50 to \$600,000 . . . ah . . . the item for the acquisition of land. I wonder if the Gentleman could tell me . . . ah . . . what brought this . . . about."

Hanahan: "It's the acquisition of St. Mary's High School on the west side of Chicago, the land that the University of Illinois needs and in order to . . . to expand this area of medical services for the Department . . . ah . . . it's the Medical Health Institute Building that they want to build on the land and the only way to acquire land within the Medical Center Commission's property as set down by state law is to go through the Medical Center Commission acquisition process. This money is appropriated. It is not sent until a court order and the court proceedings are undertaken; but it needs the money available for the . . . ah . . . acquisition of sites' property."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 282. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Telcser 'aye'. Ah . . . Okay, take the Record. On this question there are 129 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 282. Senate Bill 301."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Conference Committee Report supplies some clarifying language to Senate Bill 301 in light of the fact that the law now permits the . . . those 19 and over to drink beer and wine. It does not change the substance,



but simply clarifies this. I move the House . . . ah . . . adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 301."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 301. All those in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 5 'nays'; and the House adopts the Conference Committee relative to Senate Bill 301. Senate Bill 556, Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 556 was worked out with both Democratic and Republican staff and the Department of Public Health. Ah . . . It . . . we received from the House Amendment and . . . and add one sentence to the Bill which does insure the original intent of the Bill. Ah . . . I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 556."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 556. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 556. Senate Bill 926, Representative Tom Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 926, the necessity for a Conference Committee Report about . . . to a clerical error in the House in failing to send over Amendment #2 to the Senate, and the . . . the . . . Senate leader, Senator Harris, requested a Conference Committee Report; and all we did is ratify Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 926, I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 926. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Supplemental, right. Take the Record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to 926. Now, on the next order of business, I want to quickly pick up . . . ah . . . these concurrence motions on the Supplemental Calendar #1.



which has been placed on your desk. Let's see if we can zip through these. No? Do you want to wait? Well, the Clerk says he wants to get . . . okay, we'll wait, all right, fine. The Gentleman says the staff isn't ready, so we'll have to wait. Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I presume we're going to Senate Bills, Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct."

Walsh: "I move you, Mr. Speaker, that we suspend the provisions of Rule 37A, that's the rule dealing with the priority of call, in order that the Speaker may go from Bill to Bill . . . ah . . . since we're pressed for time and some Members are not on the floor, the Speaker's on call, the Bills have Members who are on the floor, and so I move that, Mr. Speaker, for the balance of this Legislative Day."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I certainly join . . . join with the Majority Leader in this request because as he ably stated, there is many Members from the floor. This will give the . . . ah . . . Speaker the right to bounce back and forth across the aisle and call the Members that are here so that they . . . we . . . rewarded by staying on the floor."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, may I inquire when we are going to get to the Agreed Third Reading Senate Bills?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, does Representative Walsh and Choate want to go to the Agreed Bills now or . . . Bill, . . . is that . . . ah . . . is that ready?"

Walsh: "Well, I'm ready for the Agreed Bills, sure."

Speaker Telcser: Clyde, are you ready to go to the Agreed Bills? Do you want to go now and get 'em taken care of or do you want to wait?"

Choate: "No, . . . ah . . . I'm ready to go with them . . . ah . . . I . . . I submitted a list down there that I personally . . . ah . . . requested to be . . . ah . . . taken off the list and I would



assume that any other Member that has any has had the opportunity to do the same thing. No, I'm ready to go with him."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washington, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "In reference to a remark made by the Majority Leader and he stated we . . . he wanted leave of the Speaker to go from Bill to Bill, he meant in sequence, did he not? Do you mean in sequence or just to jump all over the Calendar? To jump all over the Calendar?"

Speaker Telcser: "On Senate Bills, Third."

Washington: "On Senate Bills, Third?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right."

Washington: "Well, I'm a little bit chary about jumping all over the Calendar. We've spent quite a bit of time trying to stop the Speaker from doing that . . . why don't you go in sequence? If a Member's not here, just skip over him."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, one reason why we can't do that is that there are some Bills that we know there are going to be Conference Committee Reports or that have Amendments on them and will have to go to the Senate to be concurred in. Now, it . . . ah . . . would seem to make sense to go to those Bills and get them out of the way, and . . . ah . . . so I would hope that with my motion to give the Speaker a little more latitude then to go just straight down the line."

Washington: "Well, I . . . I don't want to restrict some reasonable latitude, but this Bill-to-Bill concept disturbs me and I . . . I just want you to spell it out a little bit more. I think you ought to go in sequence. If a Member is not here, . . . ah . . . then . . . ah . . . just skip over him. I'm not going to make a big issue out of it. I'm just a little bit disturbed about it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like my name removed as an objector to . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 866, and that it be placed on the Agreed List."



Speaker Telcser: "You can come up here and tell the Clerk. He'll be glad to do it. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise? Richard, Representative Richard Walsh, are you . . . you got him on?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, this requires 107 votes, as I understand, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "That is correct."

Walsh: ". . . I would just urge the Membership to support it. The . . . the point is that you have to . . . ah . . . we have to address ourselves to Senate Bills with House Amendments on them so that the Senate will have an opportunity to concur in those Amendments. So those are the Bills that should be called first."

Speaker Telcser: "That's right."

Walsh: "I assume that would be the intention of the Chair . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Right, but let's start being prepared to register . . . they're going to be brought up to me so we can call the Bills, exactly like your discussion."

Walsh: "Well, I know that, but it doesn't have enough votes yet. I'm hoping that we can some of the Gentleman to either change their votes or those who have not voted to support the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me assure all of the Members that the Leadership has agreed that there's not anyone going to be denied an opportunity to call a Bill. This is only meant to bring about a more rapid pace as far as the House is concerned and lets the Speaker jump back and forth, as I just said, and call the Member's Bills that are on the floor so that we can attempt to get a call for all . . . all Members' legislation before we move out of here; and I would urge, Mr. Speaker, that we all support this, and I think maybe if you take another Roll Call . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Another Roll Call, right. All right, any other discussion of this? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I realize I'm . . . I'm going to be somewhat repetitious, but I remember two years ago on this day when we finished



the day with a number of Bills and many Resolutions that were not acted upon quite frankly on the discretion of the Speaker; and I'm . . . I'm asking only, it's a repetition, for the assurance of the Chair that before we finish this day whenever it ends and everything on the Calendar is going to relieve . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Douglas, and I want everybody to hear this, for me to stand here and assure you or any Speaker, whether it's you or me or anyone else, to assure you that I can predict how long 175 or -76 Members are going to talk on all of these matters is literally impossible. So I cannot give you that insur . . . assurance except to tell you that we're all going to do what's humanely possible. Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Can you . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I can't make . . ."

Walsh: ". . . If these people are wishing to get on, I would just like to reiterate what the Minority Leader said, that we will certainly be fair and . . . ah . . . there will be no discrimination against any Bills and we'll go right along."

Speaker Telcser: "We're going to take a new Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. . . . ah . . . B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "A point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Wolfe: "This motion that's before the House only applies to Senate Bills on Third Reading, is that correct, Mr. Majority Leader?"

Speaker Telcser: "That . . . that's . . ."

Wolfe: "Just . . . just in that category of . . . ah . . . of Bills that we are now considering, okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . the Members wanted . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, this is a very serious matter. As we're sitting here with Senate Bill that we want to be present to oppose, we have a Calendar and we know when it's coming up. If we have Conference Committees, we can go away and be back; but if you were given complete discretion, that Bill can be called when we're not here. We have no way of knowing, and that is not fair, and that's why



I oppose this motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "May I answer the Gentleman by saying that if he will go to the Speaker and tell him of his opposition to a particular Bill, the Speaker out of courtesy will hold it for a reasonable length of time, a half hour, an hour . . . ah . . . as long as it's necessary for you to get back to . . . ah . . . debate that Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, just give me more time. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm in accord with this move. I'd like to say this, that I get just a little tired sittin' here day in and day out when some of these people that are complaining are home, either doing office work or something or maybe by the swimming pool, and it seems to me that these people that stay here on a continuous basis ought to have a little consideration too."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd be in accord if you would include the Bills that are going to be heard. Some of those that have to be moved back to Second . . . ah . . . Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment which they'll have to concur with . . . ah . . . because I have a Bill that has . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Take a new Roll Call."

Matijevich: ". . . is that all right?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, John, there's not a Bill on this Calendar that . . . that I don't want to call, not a single Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, Sir."

Mann: ". . . and especially you, Art' . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, Bob."

Mann: ". . . I'd like to say that there will be some controversial matter which will be coming up, and I would hope that . . . that all of us, regardless of which party we're in, will be given



latitude on these few controversial Bills to express ourself without having somebody to call out Roll Calls down or something like that. I know that you would not curtail it, but I think this is important to the Membership. There are some controversial matters left and let's respect each of our rights to talk on those controversial matters."

Speaker Telcser: "All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 20 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the rules prevails. Now, Is . . . Bill and Representative Ch . . . do we want to do the Agreed List now? All right, if so, there is one Bill we have to take care of now on that list where . . . ah . . . an Amendment was inadvertently not adopted before I call the Agreed Senate Bill List. Senate Bill 827, is that right, Fred? 827."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 827, . . . ah . . . who's the Sponsor of this thing? . . . Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, asks that the Senate Bill 827 be brought to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Any objections? Hearing none, 827 is on the order of Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendment #1, I understand, has to be . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 827 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi,"

Giorgi: "I move for the adoption of Amendment #1, it's a Committee Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman . . . ah . . . from Cook, Representative Giorgi, . . . ah . . . moves to adopt Committee Amendment 1 to Senate . . . from Winnebago, moves to adopt Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 827. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Jack . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. All right, okay, now the Agreed . . . ah . . . Senate Bill List. We're going to call the Agreed Senate Bill List. Now, will the Clerk . . . the Clerk please read the Agreed Senate Bill List?"

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, one second, Fred, read them a little slow so I can . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "Yeah . . ."



A. Telcser: "All right. Now the Agreed Senate Bill list.

We're going to call the Agreed Senate Bill list. Now, will the Clerk please read the Agreed Senate Bill list?"

F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 132. Ah.. Hart. Amends an Act relating to evidence and depositions. Ah.. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 142, Tuerk. Appropriation to the Joliet-Marquette Tri-Centennial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 173. W. D. Walsh. Amends the Revenue Act. Ah.. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill.. Senate Bill 192. Ah.. Murphy. An Act for privilege tax on mobile homes. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 220. Tuerk. Amends the Health and Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 221. Tuerk. Amends the Safety Inspection Education Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 263. Dyer. Amends the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 264. Dyer. Amends the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 267. Merlo. Amends the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 287. Maragos. Appropriation for the expense of the Veteran's Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 313. Phillip. Amends the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 317. Deavers. Amends the Vital Records Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 371. Pierce. Appropriation for expense of the Commerce Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 377. Londrigan. Appropriation



101.

to the Cattle City Railroad Relocation Authority. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 390. Berman. Amends the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 395. McPartlin. Amends the Local Library Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 466. Boyle. Amends an Act relating to the limit of liability of landowners. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 488. Clabaugh. Appropriation for State University Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 522. McAvoy. Amends the Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 531. Phillip. Appropriation for the expense of State Government. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 605. Schraeder. Amends the Uniform Commercial Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 606. Beaupre. Amends and Act relating to fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 638. LaFluer. Amends the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 646. Ron Hoffman. Amends the Local Library Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 647. Maragos. Amends the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 661. Totten. Amends the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 689. Waddell. Amends the Snowmobile Registration Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 701. Flynn. Appropriation to the State Treasurer. Third Reading of the Bill. 702. Appropriation for Public Acts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 703. Flynn.



Appropriation for expenses of Office of State Treasurer.
Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 704. Flynn.
Appropriation for payment of certain contractual services.
Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 705. Flynn.
Appropriation for the State Treasurer. Third Reading
of the Bill. Senate Bill 757. Maragos. Amends the
Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill
783. Walters. Appropriation of expenses by State
Development District. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate
Bill 793. Garmisa. Amends an Act relating to Trust
Companies. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill
826. Giorgi. Amends the Mental Health Code. Third
Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 827. Giorgi. Amends
the Mental Health Code. Third Reading of the Bill.
Senate Bill 829. Giorgi. Amends the Mental Health Code.
Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 830. Giorgi.
Amends the Hospital Licensing Act. Third Reading of the
Bill. Senate Bill 923. Merlo. Appropriation of Public
Employees Pension Laws Commission. Third Reading of the
Bill. Senate Bill 932. Stone. Amends the Drainage
Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 995.
Caparelli. Amends the Impounding and Disposition of
Stray Animals Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate
Bill 1052. Maragos. Amends the Revenue Act. Third
Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1100. McPartlin.
Amends the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill.
Senate Bill 1162. Kosinski. Appropriation for expense



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of State Property Insurance Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1169. Phillip. Amends and Act to provide for casual debits or failure in revenues. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1174. Lempke. Appropriation for the Judicial System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1183. Supplemental.. ah.. Kozubowski.. Supplemental appropriation to the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."

A. Telcser: "Mr. Clerk.. Mr. Clerk. I understand 866, Mr. Merlo's bill had an objection filed to it. Mr. Mugalian who objected withdrew his objection. Is that all right with the Members? Now would you read 866? The objector has withdrawn his objections and to those of you who are keeping track, if you mark 866.."

F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 866. Merlo. Amends the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I think there was an objection filed to 395 also."

F. B. Selcke: "There is no objection filed. No, there isn't now. It's already been read."

A. Telcser: "Okay. We'll delete 395. And bring it up here in writing. Bring it up in writing, Bob. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ah.. 866, 1173 and 1174.. there's objections."

A. Telcser: "All right. Wait a second. Jack Merlo came up



here and said the objector to 866 withdrew his objection."

Shea: "There's been another one requested from back here."

A. Telcser: "Okay. Now that's 866. Wait a second now.

866 has an objection. Which were the other two, Jerry?

Jerry? Shea? You said 866 and 1173? 1173 was not

on the list. Now you say 1174? 1174 is objected to.

866 is objected to. Are you bringing those objections

up here in writing, Jerry? Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, did somebody just object to 1174?"

A. Telcser: "Yes."

Duff: "Thank you."

A. Telcser: "Okay? Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you rise? Clabaugh?"

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a little clarification, please.

Now just because somebody comes up there and says they

don't want to vote for one of those bills ah.. are you

going to take it off the list?"

A. Telcser: "No. But as I understand the point that Representative

Shea made were objections. Not the fact that they don't

want to vote for it."

Clabaugh: "Well there's a difference then between not voting

for it and objection? Is that it? Thank you."

A. Telcser: "Yes. Certainly. All right. Now to those of

you who objected to the last.. 866, 1174 and 395.. will

you please bring your objections to the Clerk's desk

in writing? All right. Now we're still waiting. Are



the written objections in? Are we ready to go? 395, 866, 1074. It's got to be in writing. The Clerk says. Okay. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I way trying to catch those three that you.. 866?"

A. Telcser: "Now wait a second. 866 is now back on the Agreed List. Richard Walsh says no. 866 is off of the agreed list now."

Duff: "And what are the other two?"

A. Telcser: "395, 1074.. 1174, I'm sorry. You said 1174, Fred? Yeah. 1174, 866, 395. All right. Now I'm back on the Calendar. They're off the Agreed List. All right. Is there anything else on the Agreed Bill List? All right. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "Did you say 1174 is now on the Agreed List?"

A. Telcser: "No. 1174 is off of the Agreed List. 866 is off of the Agreed List. 395 is off of the Agreed List. Charlie, you got that? 1174 is back on the Calendar. It's not on the Agreed List. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, it really is not practical to put bills back on this thing because we have no way of knowing how many objectors there were. One objector may remove his objection but there may be two or three others who have not. So may I suggest that ah.. we move passage



for the bills on the Agreed List and get on with it?"

- A. Telcser: "Are we ready for the roll call? Question is shall these bills pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the appropriate number of roll calls. Take the record. On this question 158 'ayes', no 'nays' and these bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I rec . . . a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Polk: "I recognize that we had a long discussion the other day, yesterday, I think, days have been running together, in relation to explaining our votes. I just would hope that once we're starting now on Third Reading that we would all keep his mind open in the hopes that we can move them as fast as possible. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you arise?"

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I want to remind . . . remind you all that under the Federal E.P.A. rules, 12 decibels is the noise limit and yesterday we were in . . . we were in contempt and . . . ah . . . of the law practically the entire day. A little more . . . a little less noise and more quiet would help us all to listen to what's going on and go faster also. Let's don't break the law."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . all right, we're going to go to some of the Senate Appropriation Bills. Senate Bill 295."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 295, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Representative from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation that runs the Illinois Racing Board. Don't ask me how I got stuck with the Bill. All the money comes from the Agricultural Premium Fund, and today is one of the grandest days in Illinois, Secretariat is running up in Arlington Heights . . . Park and a few other things. I move the adoption of the Senate Bill 295."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 295 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', oppose 'nay'. Will the Clerk read . . . correct the Record up there, it should be 295. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this . . . ah . . ."



Clerk Selcke: "135."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . 135 'ayes', . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "10 'nays'."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . 10 'nays', and Senate Bill 295 having received the necessary constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 297, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 297, Chockey. Senate Bill 297, Juckett, an appropriation for expenses for the Mental Health Planning Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take that out of the Record. Mr. Juckett had to go over to the Senate. Senate Bill 370."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 370, Giglio, he's gone. Where's Frank? Hey, Sam, where's Frank?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, take that out of the Record. Mr. Giglio is not here? All right, Mr. Maragos will take it."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 370, appropriation for the expenses of the Department of General Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, this is a . . . Representative Giglio's Bill, and he is off the floor at the present time; and what this Bill does is appropriate expenses of the department. I move to for it adopt . . . passage."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the passage of . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 370. The question is, shall Senate Bill 370 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 121 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 371."

Clerk Selcke: "That's already been read. Yeah."

Speaker Bluthardt: "That's already been passed, all right. Senate Bill 443."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 443, Peters, appropriation to the Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Who's the Sponsor of that?"



Clerk Selcke: "Peter Petrovich."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Petrovich."

Clerk Selcke: "If they call him back, if they don't call him back . . ."

Petrovich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this appropriation has been . . . ah . . . worked out on both sides of the aisle and the staff is acceptable to all parties concerned. I move . . . ah . . . the adoption of the appropriation."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 443 pass?"

All those in favor will vote 'aye', and those contrary 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 158 'ayes', and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the necessary constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 379, Mr. Beaupre."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 379, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Personnel. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, over night . . . ah . . . a mistake in what may be one of the line items, there is called to my attention, and while this is a bit unusual at this stage of the legislative process . . . ah . . . I think it may very well effect the proprietor of voting for one of the line items on this Bill; and I think it can be corrected and I would ask that . . . ah . . . it be taken out of the Record at this time."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, take . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "No, yeah."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . take Senate Bill 379 out of the Record. Senate Bill 449."

Clerk Selcke: "No, but I don't know what . . . this now . . . Senate Bill 449, an Act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees to the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 449 is for the . . . the budget Bill for the operations of the University of Illinois . . . ah . . . for this coming year. I urge your



support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Now, Mr. Clabaugh . . . Mr. Juckett . . . ah . . . came up asked that you hold this, you you would, because he had to go in the Senate. He'd be right back. What's your pleasure?"

Clabaugh: "Well, that's nice of Mr. Juckett, thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 460."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 460, Shea, an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Capitol Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Clerk Selcke: "Out of the Record."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take it . . . take it out of the Record? Are you ready, Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "All right, Governor . . . thank you (on phone)."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "On House Bills, . . . er . . . Senate Bills 459 and 460, I'd like to put Amendments on 'em and then leave them on the . . . on the Calendar for a minute, if I might? So what have . . . what've you got, 459 or 46 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "4 . . . 460."

Shea: ". . . 460, I'd like leave of the House to take it back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I think both of these men had been in the Legislature long enough to have spoken to the Chief Sponsor of these Bills . . . ah . . . this Bill before it was called down. I was just as close . . . seat back here as it is up there. Now, I've been on this floor every minute. I've no objections . . ."

Shea: "Charlie, I thought this was my Bill. I'm sorry, I . . . put some Amendments up there. Are they 459 and . . . ah . . ."

Clabaugh: ". . . yes, . . ."

Shea: ". . . 460 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Shea and . . ."

Clabaugh: ". . . in Illinois . . ."



Shea: "It's 459 and 460, Charlie, they've got to do with the Capitol Development Bond Act."

Clabaugh: "Well, I'm sorry, I thought you said 449."

Shea: "No, I . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "No, we're not on 549, we're on 460; and the Gentleman has leave to . . . ah . . . leave to take 460 back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment, does he have leave? Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Shea, amends Senate Bill 460, page 2, and so forth."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "There are technical Amendments requested by the Bureau of Budget, and they've got to do with the Capitol Bond Development Act in the bonds that are available."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the adoption of . . . what Amendment is that?"

Shea: "Amendment #1."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 460. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the Amendment is adopted. Want to leave them at Second?"

Shea: "No, move them back to Third Reading . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Third Reading."

Shea: ". . . and then 459 has the same type of an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 459."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 459, an Act to amend the Capitol Development Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "With leave of the House I'd like to take this Bill back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Shea, amends Senate Bill 459 on page 1 and so forth."

Shea: "This is a technical Amendment at the request of the Bureau of the Budget, and I ask the House to adopt it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #1. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading."



Clerk Selcke: "All right, you gonna' hold them now?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "He wants to hold them now. All right, Senate Bill 478."

Clerk Selcke: "Oh, well, what the hell do they think . . . get out of here, people don't belong back here."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 478."

Clerk Selcke: "Come back here . . . for everything . . . Senate Bill 478, an Act making appropriation for the Board of Regents. Third Reading of the Bill. We'll, don't get it out. That Duncan son of a bitch doesn't know what's goin' on."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, and . . . ah . . . I was requested to bring this back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment. Ah . . . The same sort of Amendment as was . . . ah . . . he offered on the U. of I. Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman asks leave to bring this Bill back to . . ."

Lauer: "The thing of it is, the Sponsor of the Amendment . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . does he have leave? All right. Mr. Juckett has also put this one on his list. Ah . . . Tell you what, when you get through with . . . ah . . . this list that I have before me which will take about 20 or 30 minutes, we'll go right back to those even if Mr. Juckett is not here. Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 479."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 479, Ryan, an appropriation for expenses of the Attorney General. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Rayn, is he on the floor?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave to take . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 479 back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading."

Ryan: "Ah . . . I would like to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was tabled inadvertently . . . ah . . . day before yesterday."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment # what?"

Ryan: "1."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . 1 was tabled. Further . . . All in favor of the motion



indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the motion carries, and Amendment #1 . . ."

Ryan: "Is Amendment #1 back on the Bill now?"

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . It's back . . . well, no, it's ready for your motion."

Ryan: "I'd like to move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 . . . and . . . on that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, is recognized."

Maragos: "Ah . . . Representative Ryan, what does that Amendment #1 do, will you refresh my memory?"

Ryan: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . Representative Maragos, this was the Amendment for the . . . ah . . . Compensation of the Criminal Victims Act that we had to put on and through an error with the Clerk and myself, I, as I understand it, it was tabled inadvertently. Ah . . . We have the agreement on both sides of this. Representative Lechowicz is aware of it."

Maragos: "What is the amount of the appropriation for that?"

Ryan: "\$133,000."

Maragos: "How much, \$200 . . ."

Ryan: "Got it, \$133 . . . \$133,000."

Maragos: "Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Third Reading. This . . . ah . . . Bill having been read a third time, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, is recognized."

Ryan: "I now move for the passage of . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 479."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 479 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, and on this Bill there are . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "152 'ayes', no 'nays'."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . 152 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 332."



Clerk Selcke: "33 what? There ain't no 332."

Speaker Bluthardt: "What's the number, Joe?"

Sevcik: "322."

Speaker Bluthardt: "322."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 322, Sevcik, appropriation for expenses of
Legislative Investigation Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate
Bill 322 appropriates for . . . ah . . . \$6,000 for the ordinary
and contingency . . . contingent expenses of the Illinois Legisla-
tive . . . Investigating Commission. I ask for your favorable
support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill
322 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'.
Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On
this Bill there are 146 'ayes', and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having
received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.
Ah . . . Mr. Barry 'aye'. Senate Bill 218."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 218, Terzich, creates the automatic Fire
Sprinkling Contractors and Journeyman Examining Board. Third Read-
ing of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
. . . ah . . . this Bill will license and set proper standards for
automatic sprinkler contractors, journeymen and apprentices. The
. . . ah . . . design, installation and maintenance of automatic
sprinkler systems have become increasingly complex in recent
years. The demand for such systems have increased due in part
to the requirements of many public and private agencies concerned
with fire prevention and safety. Senate Bill 218 will help to insure
that the automatic sprinkler systems will be designed and installed
properly by allowing only those who have properly . . . ah . . .
trained and experience to perform such jobs. The cost of the
State of Illinois of administering . . . ah . . . the law will
be fully covered by the revenues received . . . ah . . . from the



license fee; and I urge your support of this Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "Got a good partner."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I'd just like to point out that this is another licensing Bill . . . ah . . . we, in this state, license more trades and professions, including horseshoers, than any other state in the country; and I think that we ought to look at these things very carefully. I think that we probably ought to amend this Bill to provide a steady Commission to look into the licensing of trades and professions, and the effect, of course, of licensing has become in the State of Illinois in my opinion protection for the licensees and not protection for the public as it should be. I would urge that we defeat this Bill and look into the matter . . . ah . . . when we adjourn."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, unless someone has any personal experiences about . . . fire sprinkler systems, you would find that the urgency of this is very important that we do have proper sprinkler systems throughout the State of Illinois and those people know what they're doing in handling this. Now, just recently we just had another fire out in New Orleans with 29 victims, and I'm sure that if they had proper sprinkling systems, that these lives could've been saved or . . . ah . . . the fire prevented. Now, I have personal experience with this. It's very important that we do have . . . ah . . . people who . . . ah . . . know how to install these and with the modern fire method, it's imperative that we have qualified people. Now, this also has been approved . . . ah . . . by the Department of Registration and I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The question . . . the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question? Isn't this controlled now by the State Fire Marshall . . . does he set up certain requirements?"

Terzich: "The . . . ah . . . State Fire Marshall sets up the . . . ah



. . . will inspect the buildings, but the . . . ah . . . State Fire Marshall does not designate how these . . . ah . . . sprinklers are to be installed or . . . or the location. He just checks to see if the premises is . . . is in conformance with the Fire Code."

Gibbs: "Then he issues a safety report?"

Terzich: "I'm not sure, Representative, on that."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 218 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Roll. On this Bill there are 103 'ayes', and what was the 'no' vote? . . . 21 'nos'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, I don't know how that Bill got in there, that was an appropriation. We're going to go back and stay in appropriations. Ah . . . Call Senate Bill 449."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 449, an appropriation for the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, as I mentioned a moment ago, this is the annual appropriation for the operation of the University of Illinois; and I urge your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, it was my understanding that the Appropriation's Committee, that they requested certain information in regards to the presidential homes and that they had wanted to make an Amendment when it was on Second Reading and unfortunately the Amendments were not ready; and I was wondering if the . . . ah . . . Sponsor would have any objection to calling it back to Second."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I do have objections. I have two objections, one was the fact that I was in the Appropriation's Committee when this Bill was heard and there was no mention made whatsoever . . . ah . . . of the item that the Gentleman speaks of; and secondly, I object to it because he knew that I was here, he knew I was the



Sponsor of the Bill. It's only about 15 feet from his seat to mine and he didn't say a word to me about this. It's something that I wouldn't do to any Member of the House; and I urge your support, Ladies and Gentlemen, on a Roll Call."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just briefly, what Representative Juckett has pointed out is . . . is a fact. He brought out the concern as far as having the state make an appropriation, and, in turn, not have the responsibility of designating whether it's going to be used for a state president's home or mansion or whatever the case may be. He, in complete good faith, had a thorough investigation of this matter last year, if the Members will recall, and there were certain inproprieties pointed out at various universities. This Amendment will rectify this situation where it won't be possible that the will of the General Assembly will be bypassed. I strongly encourage and ask the Chief Sponsor of this Bill because I know there are no improprieties at the University of Illinois upon their investigation, but this is just a safeguard. It's going to be adopted to every university, the Board of Regents' budget, just like the prevailing wage law was adopted, but this, in turn, is more of a safeguard, a safeguard for the taxpayers . . . ah . . . of Illinois stating that the money we appropriate we know exactly what it's going for and not into a hidden trust for a president's home or mansion. I strongly encourage that this Amendment be adopted. This university budget knows this as well."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Calbaugh, what's your desire?"

Clabaugh: "Well, I'm sorry that I wasn't informed of any such a . . . ah . . . an action at all, but since it's just more than one man's speech, why I'll . . . I'll be glad to hold the Bill. I hope we don't have to stay here all afternoon on this thing, no."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ah . . . Is the Gentleman going to request to take this back to Second or not?"

Clabaugh: "Throw it back to Second Reading then."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, does the Gentleman have leave to take it back



to Second for purposes of Amendment and . . . Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Juckett, amends Senate Bill 449 on page 4 by inserting immediately below line 6 the following: 'Section 6', and so forth. Juckett. Juckett."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker; and I certainly want to thank the Gentleman from Champaign for his graciousness and my apologies to him for not speaking directly with him. I did discuss it with Bill Rice of the univeristy and I apolgize thoroughly for not having discussed it directly with you. The purpose of the Amendment was to make sure that there are no monies which are paid for the purchase or lease of housing of the president and this came about through the indiscretions, you might say, of some of the other systems. We do not know that there is any indiscretion on the part of the University of Illinois at this point; and . . . ah . . . they've had their home for many, many years. It does not prevent the university from spending money for the upkeep or any of the utilities or operations; but we don't want it to be paid to a private foundation where the foundation is not subject to the laws of Illinois, the bidding procedures or any of the fiscal practices of the State of Illinois; and I would urge the adoption of this Amendment to this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . all right, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, wish to be recognized?"

Lauer: "I wish to . . . ah . . . speak on the . . . speak on this Amendment . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ah . . . It looks like we're going to have to have a Roll Call vote. So . . . Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, the . . . this is the first of a series of Amendments for all of the univeristy bills; but I'd like to point out that those unversities in . . . that are newer or that have just within the last 10 years are the ones that are going to be hung by this Amendment. The universities have built president's homes



based upon the policies that were established by the Board of Higher Education and their various governing boards, first the ones to the policies of the B.H.E. Now, the error was made and I think it was a serious error that B.H.E. did permit the setting up of these foundations in the handling of the president's homes through . . . ah . . . through the univeristy foundations. However, this has been going on now for . . . ah . . . well over 10 years; and it seems to me that pen . . . penalizing these . . . ah . . . newer universities or . . . or the universities who have just had to gross to where . . . ah . . . they could afford to furnish their president a home is somewhat discriminatory. Those whose homes are already paid for are going to get off scott free, the Univeristy of Illinois, Southern . . . ah . . . and it . . . it seems to me that this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ah . . . We're going to be here all Sunday, too. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Thank you for welcoming me. I wondered if the Sponsor would answer a couple of questions? Juckett, will you answer a couple of questions?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "You know he'd love to, Mr. Juckett."

Cunningham: "Now, are you aware of any impropriety whatever at the University of Illinois in regard to the presidential home?"

Juckett: "As I indicated when I presented the Amendment, I am not aware of any impropriety."

Cunningham: "Are you . . ."

Juckett: "But I think it would be unfair to . . . ah . . . put it on one system and not on the four university systems."

Cunningham: "Are you an Illini?"

Juckett: "I am."

Cunningham: "Well, don't you think it's a gratuitous insult to our university to . . . to attack them when there's no evidence whatever that they're guilty of any wrongdoing?"

Juckett: "I did not attack them. I just indicated that it has been brought to our attention of various universities in the State of Illinois that have violated the rules of the Legislature, the laws



of the State of Illinois, and I stated specifically that I did not have any information that the University of Illinois had violated any law, but I felt that it was fair . . . if it was fair on one, it was fair on all."

Cunningham: "Everyone should vote 'no' on this. I you're proud to be an Illini, stand up and be counted. There's no point in un . . . unnecessarily in solving the school."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I . . . I think that this Amendment is an insult to many, many fine people of the State of Illinois, and especially to those Members of the Board of Trustees at the University of Illinois and the Board of Higher Education to try to do their very best to operate . . . ah . . . in certain schools in the State of Illinois. Now, this Legislature by statute has delegated authority to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. At . . . Under the Constitution of 1870 the powers were granted by the Constitution. Now, in addition to the powers granted to the trustees to the University of Illinois, this Legislature has created a body known as the Illinois Board of Higher Education, and we have delegated to them certain powers; and the . . . ah . . . the two boards are in charge of what is done by the university; and I think that it . . . it is not good of this Legislature to sit down here and say that we are going to run each of the universities from our switch on the floor of the House. Now, I am sure that one . . . at least one of the Boards of Higher Education in the state has made a greivous mistake, but this Legislature makes mistakes too, and we don't go . . . ah . . . punish everyone who has been a Legislator or who is for a mistake that we might make now. I think that this is a very, very bad Amendment. You're . . . you're insulting the . . . the integrity of many fine people if you put this Amendment on this Bill. We do delegate this authority to them and we should let them have it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the previous question has been moved. All right, Mr. Juckett, do you wish to close?"

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to make it perfectly clear here that there is absolutely no finger of guilt or accusation appointed at the University of Illinois; and I resent my good friend from Lawrence County accusing me of this because I stated clearly that we had found no impropriety at the University of Illinois. We had not looked into their home as of yet because we have been too busy trying to unravel everything at I.S.U., Northern, Western, Chicago State and other of the universities under the Board of Higher Education for the Gentleman from Moultrie and also the Board of Regents; and . . . ah . . . but it was our feelings that if we put it on one of the systems, it should be equal to all; and that was our only intent. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, should this Amndment be adopted? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, Dennis knows, I think you all know, that I was in my time Chief Illiniak and representative of the university. My . . . I am not insulted by this. What is fair for one is fair for all, and we have had some problems. The University of Illinois is not going to be effected by this at all, but you can't discriminate against one without discriminating against all and visa versa. So there's nothing wrong with putting this simple Amendment on there. So as . . . ah . . . as an Illini myself, and a loyal Illini, I'm not hurt or offended at all, so I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. McGrew 'no'. On this motion there are . . . what . . . 53 'ayes', 84 'nays'; and this Amendment is lost. For what purpose does . . . Mr. Boyle, do you wish to be recognized? All right, further Amendments? Third Reading. This having been read a third time, Mr. Clabaugh from Champaign. All right, Mr. Clabar . . . Clabaugh,



doesn't wish to close and the question is, shall Senate Bill 449 be passed? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Roll. On this Bill there are 159 'ayes', and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority . . . majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mrs. Geo-Karis 'aye'. 478, Mr. Lauer."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 478, appropriation to the Board of Regents. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, this is the . . . ah . . . Bill for the . . . appropriation Bill for the regents of universities. Ah . . . Mr. Juckett, do you wish me to . . . ah . . . I'll ask leave of the House to . . . ah . . . pitch this back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Shea: "Ah . . . Is this to take this Bill back for the same type of Amend . . . an Amendment. Well, I'm going to object. Now, we just beat that Amendment by a substantial margin on Mr. Clabaugh's Bill, we're going to take the Bill back and go through the same harangue on this one?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Well, I'd like to bear that we've spend about a half hour on that Amendment to no avail. Now, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in answer to Mr. Shea. The situation at Illinois was far different than that on the Board of Regents. There is impropriety, there is violation, I think, of state laws, there are violations of the . . . ah . . . rules and procedures of the General Assembly in the Board of Regents where there were none at the U. of I."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 . . . what's the Amendment, do you know? . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "The Amendment is #5."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . #5. All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment



will indicate by voting . . . by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the 'nos' have it, and the Amendment fails."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #6, Borchers, amends Senate Bill 478 and so forth."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House . . . ah . . . last Spring a group of students approached me in relation to the use . . . misuse, as they considered it, of funds for the Gay Alliance in the budget of last year. Yesterday I was informed that in the new budget coming up . . . coming up this coming . . . ah . . . as of yesterday that not only was the Gay Alliance . . . ah . . . we put in the student's budget, but an . . . an additional \$1,000 was given to them. Now, I would consider that a group such as the Women's Liberation is a legal legitimate group to give money to on a university, though I am opposed to the . . . ah . . . to the E.R.A.; but that's not the point, the point is that they only were given \$1,450 for an honest and legitimate endeavor. The Gay Alliance was given \$1 . . . \$2,500. So this Amendment does one thing, we can't touch the student fees unfortunately at the present time, they did not listen to what . . . ah . . . I tried to point out to them, the students tried to point out to them on this campus, they didn't listen at all. They continued to give them more money, and next year it'll be \$5,000, unless we take some action. Now, the students have no other place to turn, so they tell us . . . tell me . . . but to us on this board, since I can't do anything about the mandatory fees, I have put in an Amendment which simply does one thing, reduces that same \$2,500 as a lesson from a contractual services that's . . . ah . . . in the budget for the university; and I would solicit your support . . . ah . . . because I know no other way to try to do something about this."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, this . . . is . . . this useless Amendment was heard in Committee. If we're going to have these obstructionness tactics, we're going to be here until August 15th. I urge that



we beat this down and all similar things as quickly as we can so we can get on with the movement of this House."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a rather queer Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Lady from DuPage, Miss Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . obviously this is a very . . . ah . . . touchy Amendment to oppose, but I think that in my goal as a member of the Higher Education Committee . . . ah . . . I must oppose it, and I think as I'm a grandmother that it's quite obvious that I am not a Member of the Gay Liberation . . . ah . . . Alliance. Ah . . . and I do not necessarily share their views. However, . . . ah . . . Mr. Bradley is coming through with a Resolution to . . . ah . . . for a Subcommittee to be appointed, a Subcommittee of the Higher Education Committee to investigate the whole business of . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "I wish you'd pay attention, this is rather an unusual discussion."

Dyer: ". . . ah . . . I appreciate . . . Gentleman and Ladies, I would appreciate a little quice at this moment out of respect, if not to me, at least to Mr. Bradley about his Resolution on speaking. I think this is the route to go, instead of the adoption of Mr. Borchers's Amendment. Mr. Bradley's Resolution will have a set up of Subcommittee of the Higher Education Committee, a dearly constructed Committee to look into the whole policy of student fee at all their universities. Now, some young men and women who are very musical object to having all their money, student fees, spent for athletic purposes and visa versa. Ah . . . There's lots of room to debate the way students fees, mandatory student fees are . . . are spent. I think this is a legitimate subject to study; but if you single out this one university and this one embarrassing touchy subject, we're making a mistake. I urge you to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Flu . . . Fleck."



Fleck: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor of this Amendment yield for a query?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Borchers?"

Borchers: "Mr. Fleck, Representative Fleck, what is your query?"

Fleck: "Ah . . . Representative Borchers, would you agree to having a fag-finding Committee being set up with Representative Fary as Chairman?"

Borchers: "Mr. Fleck, . . . may I have order, please?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "You may."

Borchers: "Mr. . . . Mr. Fleck . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Borchers to close."

Borchers: ". . . ah . . . four years ago and in closing, thank heavens, four years ago, you're a little late about Mr. Fary. We had that out four years ago in a most interesting way and an interesting time. So that part's a little late, but to finish and close, I just want to point out that the act of giving money or permitting money to be used as, you might say, is accepted. If they want to have the Gay Alliance, it's no . . . nothing to me. That's the right of anyone here, that we . . . to do . . . to join. It's your privilege; but the minute we accept the idea of money, we made it legal; and I do not think we should legally, publically endorse . . . ah . . . perversion. Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right. The question is, shall Senate Amendment #6 be adopted? All in favor of the motion will indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the 'nos' have it, and the Amendment fails. All right, Roll Call, all right. All in favor of the motion will vote 'aye', and contrary will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone. Mr. Stone has been recognized."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, this is a . . . an Amendment that was originally in the form of a Bill and it was very, very, very soundly defeated in the Higher Education Committee, and it now turns up here as an Amendment to an appropriation Bill. This is . . . the Amendment is no better now than it was then, in fact, it is much worse, because it does not belong here. The . . . the . . . maybe the Chairman of the Higher Education Committee is quite correct when she says that . . .



that this Amendment does not belong here, it was soundly defeated and it should remain defeated and you should vote 'no'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, take the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . The hell with it, Webber."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Mr. Borchers, explain your vote."

Borchers: "I was just going to point out if we'd all stick together with our green lights, we could lick this problem."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Will the Clerk give me to Roll please? On this motion there are 63 'ayes', 74 'nays'; and the motion fails."

Clerk Selcke: "Third Reading."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Third Reading. Would the Gentleman vote . . . all right, Third Reading. This having been read a third time, now Mr. Lauer is recognized."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate an . . . a favorable vote for the appropriation Bill for the regents to universities."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 479 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay', 478. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 149 'ayes', and 4 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1198."



6/30/73

Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 1198."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1198. An Act to amend Section 1.3 and so forth of the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1198 is a matter that has come before us in other forms on three other occasions in this session and, of course, on a couple of occasions the session prior. This Bill would create the State Board of Elections as mandated by the 1970 Constitution. Now, we all are familiar with the various provisions of the Bills that have come through in this session before. There were two House Bills. One which I sponsored that went all the way through to the Senate Committee where it came a cropper ah... and other House Bills and Senate Bill 77 which all of these Bills have died at one stage or another. Now this Bill is a Bill of serious attempt at compromise that was worked out in the Senate Elections Committee and has the ah... sponsorship of the entire membership of the Senate ah... Elections Committee. I think it is a good Bill. There are provisions in it that I'm not happy with as many people will not be happy with the entire content of this Bill. However, I think it's a Bill that, it is necessary to pass. I think it's a Bill that is a step certainly in the right direction. It's a Bill that does establish a State authority with some powers. Ah... the, the main change in this Bill has been the concept of the so-called tiebreaker. As we all know, the Constitution stipulates that no party may hold a majority of members of the State Board of Elections. Ah... in the Bill sponsored by me, we attempted to establish a so-called independent tiebreaker and this was rejected by many for some good reasons, I believe. Here is a new concept in the ah... Constitution of the Board. The Board would be an even numbered four member Board. However, in the ah... event of a deadlock in a measure facing the Board, the deadlock would be resolved by the elimination of one members vote by lot ah... on the Board and then the matter would be resolved by the other three members. Ah... Any decision that was reached in this manner would be effective for a minimum of nine months. Now, as I say, I'm not entirely happy with this myself. But I certainly think that it is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

better ah... than having a deadlocked Board and it is obviously better than having nothing at all. We are late in facing up to our Constitutional ah... responsibility in establishing this Board. This is the last chance we're going to have this session. Ah... I think we should adopt this Bill. As I admit, somewhat reluctantly, but I think we have a good Bill here. I think we have a Board that has the power to ah... carry out the authority that is given to it in this Bill. Ah... I, I urge the support of the membership of the House for Senate Bill 1198."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? All right, the question is, shall...all right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Ah... Mr. Collins, I heard what you said in reference to the Bill. There is a minor problem. I wonder if you would just mind taking this out of the record for a few minutes. I think we might get it ah... resolved. It's not totally resolved, yet and I don't want it to, you know, break it up. I think we can resolve it very readily."

Speaker Bluthardt: "What's the pleasure? Take it out of the . . ."

Collins: "Well, I certainly will hold it at your request."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take it out of the Record."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 494."

Clerk Selcke: "I don't know why they go into that stuff when they know they can't get it . . . George, would you separate the . . . what was the number?"

Charles Aikman: "494."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 494, an Act making certain appropriations, reappropriations to the Board of Regents. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, thi . . . this is the capital appropriation to the Board of Regents . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Hyde: "Is . . . is this an appropriation Bill?"

Lauer: ". . . for example expenditure purposes at the Regents of universities. I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Ah . . . If the Sponsor will yield . . . are there any new colleges or universities to be constructed? Is there any money in here for that land acquisition or anything else?"

Lauer: "Nope."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The answer is 'no'. All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 4 . . . 494 be passed? Tho . . . those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 150 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. M . . . Mann 'aye'. Merlo 'aye'. 152. Senate Bill 436, is Mr. Shea on the floor? Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 543."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 503, a Bill for an Act to provide for the expenses of Southern Illinois University. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Choate . . . Mr. Lechowicz is going to handle that, and he's off the floor. Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 591."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 591, Peters, an appropriation for state universities. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "This measure makes the appropriation for the permanent improvements of the Board of Governor's of state colleges and universities. It has been agreed to by . . . ah . . . both the appropriation's staff on both sides of the aisle, the Members of the Board of . . . ah . . . Governor's and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, shal . . . all right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "I'll ask the same question as to whether or not there are any monies here for the acquisition or the construction and erection of new colleges and universities?"

Peters: "For the acquisition or what?"

Palmer: "Acquisition of land or for the construction, erection of new universities."

Peters: "No."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 591 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'no'. Yeah . . . those . . . have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 155 'ayes', and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 808."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate . . . Senate Bill 808, Clabaugh, appropriation for the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 808 provides for the capital expenditures to the University of Illinois at all its campuses for the coming year. Now, it fits in with the Bill that Mr . . . Representative Lechowicz . . . ah . . . talked about a few moments ago and in this Bill there's \$6,483,000 . . . ah . . . from General Funds and \$64 . . . humm . . . oh, well, yes, and \$64,748 . . . from the Capital Development Bond . . . I urge your support. There is no provision in this Bill to buy . . . start any new universities, although, there is, I think, some land acquisition . . . ah . . . in several places in it, Mr. Speaker. I urge



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman has . . . ah . . . the question is, should Senate Bill 808 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Capuzi 'aye'. McLendon 'aye'. On this Bill there are 154 'ayes', and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 5 . . . or Senate Bill 503. No, I . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 503, an Act to provide the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is the ordinary appropriation for the Board . . . Board of Trustees for Southern Illinois . . . Southern Illinois University for fiscal year '74 in the amount of \$101,212,000; and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Bluthardt: "On this question . . . any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 503 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Are you going to be here just a minute . . . all right . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 149 'ayes', and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 436."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 436, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like leave of the House to hear Senate Bills 436, 437, 438 and 439 at one time."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. The Clerk will read 436, 437, 438 and 439."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 437, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 438,



a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 439, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Did Mr. Juckett want something?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook wish to be recognized, Mr. Juckett?"

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I did raise an objection at the time. I'd like to hear the reason why they want to be heard together."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 436 is an Amendment to the Cook . . . or to the Department of Public Aid providing for the transfer of all employees of the Cook County Department of Public Aid to the state. 437 amends the Civil Service Act and it provides that they shall continue to have civil service status. There is an Amendment to the Pension Code to provide for their transfer of their pension benefits; and there's an Amendment to the Municipal Code . . . ah . . . providing for where the money collected is deposited."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman has . . . or the question is, shall Hou . . . Senate Bills 436, 437, 348 and 349 pass? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "In Senate Bill 439 it provides that a municipality must transfer, as I read it, the monies that it receives from a . . . ah . . . is this a levy on the real estate and to a trust fund which is to go onto the larger fund? Am I correct on this?"

Shea: "That's correct. At the present time, the City of Chicago has a no levy for general assistance. Ah . . . That is to be deposited in a special trust fund because henceforth . . . ah . . . the Department of Public Aid, not the Cook County Department of Public Aid, but the State Department of Public Aid will take care of general assistance in the . . . ah . . . in Cook County."

Palmer: "Well, then what this means actually is that a municipality can



no longer have a general assistance fund, am I correct on this?"

Shea: "The on . . ."

Palmer: "That is performed in . . ."

Shea: ". . . the only municipality in Cook County that's got a general assistance fund to my knowledge was the City of Chicago. Outside the City of Chicago is a 30 township . . . handles general assistance and it's my understanding after the passage of these Bills in Cook County, the only unit of local government that will handle any public aid will be the township general assistance fund other than the state."

Palmer: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Will the Gentleman yield? How will general assistance in Chicago then be handled?"

Shea: "By the State of Illinois Department of Public Aid. Right now, Bill, it's handled by the Cook County Department of Public Aid. The Cook County Department of Public Aid is being transferred to the state because all . . . ah . . . this has been the hassle for years that Cook County is the only Department state-wide that is not part of the State of Illinois."

Walsh: "Will there continue to be a levy in Chicago for general assistance?"

Shea: "Yes, there will be."

Walsh: "And that levy will what, go to the State . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Go . . . go into a special . . . go into a special trust fund to be administered by the state."

Walsh: "Well . . . no, the township then will handle . . ."

Shea: "Senator Moore handled the Bills in the Senate, and I'm sure that unless they were good for the Republican Party they wouldn't have got out of the Senate."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Will the . . . ah . . . Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "He indicates he will."

Polk: "Mr. Shea, approximately how many people will this . . . how many employees are there now?"



Shea: "I think to the best of my knowledge about 6,800 . . . ah . . . about . . . well, Representative Campbell's got the exact figure. He and I are Cosponsors of this legislation in the House."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . ah . . . yes, go back to Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Yes, my question was unanswered."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Your question was not answered?"

Polk: "My . . . no, Mr. Campbell is going to answer my question I understand."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, at the present time actually to answer your question quickly there will be 5,730 transfers² and there are at the present time 4,267 that are on the public assistance payroll and those are paid by state and federal funds and there are 1,238 on general assistance payroll and 90 percent of those are now being paid by the state and also federal, and then there are 90 in the Federal Food Stamp Program that are paid by the . . . ah . . . state and federal and then there are 10 employees on . . . ah . . . Cuban refugees that are included in this, there are about 125 people who are not now on civil service status that are also employees but they will be under civil service . . . service status after this Bill goes into effect because they have to take the exam."

Polk: "All right, now . . ."

Campbell: "But really we're picking up only 125 people that the state has not previously been paying for the administrative costs . . ."

Polk: "Now, Mr. Shea, I understand there was some professional people that were opposed or upset to this merger where . . . was that all worked out?"

Shea: "Ah . . . There was a group of . . . ah . . . case workers that belonged to some type of union that was worked out administratively. There were some people concerned about the transfer of this as long as there was not an appointed director. Ah . . . My understanding is that with the passage of Mr. Ewell's Senate Bill 582, that



Mr. Edelman went before or Director Edelman went before . . . ah . . . the Senate and has now either been confirmed or came out of Committee where there's no . . . ah . . . he was confirmed, I'm informed, 50 to 1 and now that he's confirmed and they know who's going to be there, I understand that any objection that they had has been removed and there was a great deal objection by some people on my side of the aisle and that's been removed."

Polk: "Fine, thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh. Does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, wish to be recognized? All right."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Only, Mr. Speaker, to ask if the Gentleman would take it out of the Record for 10 minutes."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, I'll be happy to, but I would like to tell you that this is the same package sponsored by Governor Ogilvie."

Walsh: "It still will be 10 minutes from now."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, take those four Bills out of the Record and go to Senate Bill 659."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 659, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to Southern Illinois University. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 659 is for the permanent improvements at Southern Illinois University. The total dollar amended amount now is \$27,644,684, and I move . . . I ask that the House con . . . ah . . . give a favorable consideration to Senate Bill 659."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 659 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 141 'ayes', and 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 899."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 899, Davis, a Bill for an Act making appropriation of the expenses of the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an annual appropriation of the Department of Public Aid. The . . . ah . . . total amount of the appropriation is \$1,450,135,407 and out of that . . . the administration costs is \$104,201,965, which makes the distributing expense \$1,345,993,442. I solicit the support of everyone."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Sure . . ."

Palmer: ". . . last year the, if I'm correct, the appropriation was \$1,250,000,000, is that correct?"

Davis: "Yes."

Palmer: "Can you explain what the increase is this time . . . ah . . . I thought unemployment was . . . ah . . ."

Davis: "Well, there's a total cost-of-living increase even though we added an Amendment, a living increase of \$15,381,560, and Amendment #2 to this Bill allows that, then there was a funeral increase. The undertakers said they couldn't bury them for \$200. The Senate passed the Bill giving them \$300 and we had to pass the appropriation. That added another \$446,700 to it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to bring a couple of facts. I know we have to pass this, so I shall vote 'no'. But 3 percent of the people coming in . . . ah . . . on welfare have come in on the last year from other states. Now, this amount to nearly \$40,000 people, they in turn produce children. Over 2,000 children are being born on welfare a month in Illinois and it cost us \$343 per child. 62.3 percent of these are illegitimate. Now, I could go on and on, but I won't because I know we're limited in time, but this is part of the reason why it will be ever going up, and I will predict here and now and I predicted this . . . \$60,000,000 a year ago . . . a year from now I will tell you right now will be \$1,750,000,000 or thereabouts, I'm probably over it by \$50,000,000 . . . \$50,000,000."



So that's the way we're going unless we can do something, we might as well forget it because we're going to be broke."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Cox."

Cox: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I just wondered if the Sponsor will yield for a question?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "He indicates he will."

Cox: "Ah . . . Representative Davis, . . . ah . . . was there . . . ah . . . an Amendment on this Bill in the Appropriation's Committee for approximately \$15,000,000 for the aged?"

Davis: "Well, here's where it amended aid to families with dependent children, aid to the aged, blind and disabled and general assistance. That's the way it's being distributed. Now, I will turn to the Sponsor . . . I will yield to the Sponsor of the Amendment . . . well, let . . . Robert Mann, my colleague, Representative Robert Mann, he can . . ."

Cox: "No, your . . . your answer is . . . ah . . ."

Davis: ". . . all right . . . now, let me . . . let me say this to you if I may. The after January break . . . ah . . . the aid to blind and disabled, the Federal Government will take that over. There'll probably be a saving of more than \$60,000,000 on that, of course. We'll still have to administer the . . . ah . . . medical aid and that sort of thing, there'll be a supervision, but they will take over the administration of the aid to the blind and the aged."

Cox: "Well, then my second question was . . . ah . . . Mr. Davis, Representative, my second question was there are \$15,000,000 amended into the . . . ah . . . Bill for a cost-of-living increase?"

Davis: "There was a \$15,000,000 . . . ah . . . \$15,381,500 amended into the Bill . . . the Bill for a cost-of-living increase."

Cox: "All right, then on . . . Reverend Davis, my next question . . ."

Davis: "The same the state employees got."

Cox: "Yes, that's correct, and I . . . and I agree they should have it, but did the . . . ah . . . Reverend Davis, did the director . . . ah . . . say several times that he had already had that in his budget?"

Davis: "No, he didn't say that, he said that he would have no objection



to it because he could work into the budget by some . . . ah . . .
by money that he'd be able to save."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "One brief question for the Sponsor if I might . . . ah
. . . Mr. Speaker. Representative, is there a separate line
item or do you know how much money is appropriated for abortions?"

Davis: "Ah . . . There's no such money appropriated for abortions, but
let me say this to you that ever since I've been on the Advisory
Committee when they had these abortions in the alley and all that
sort of thing, we had to take care of them, we couldn't let them
die, and they do that still, isn't that right? Senator Moore is
right here with me, he'll tell you that we never did let them
die because they had these cracks."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, to save time record me as 'present' on this
. . . ah . . . Bill, I don't want to vote for it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, . . . ah . . . Mr. Davis to close. Do you
wish to close?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as an
act of mercy, I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 899 pass? Those in
favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'no'. Have all voted
who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. For what purpose does
the Gentleman from Peoria . . . Mr. Schaffer, do you want to vote
'aye', is that it?"

Schaffer: "'Present'.

Speaker Bluthardt: "'Present'? Mr. Leinenweber votes 'present', Mr. Schaffer
votes 'present'. Mr. Juckett votes 'present'? No; and on this
Bill there are 120 'ayes', 10 'nos'; and this Bill having received
the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate
Bill 326."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 326, Kosinski, a Bill for an Act to amend
the Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is
the Senate equivalent to a Bill that I introduced into this House



It passed through the Committee unanimously and I think through the House about 6,000 to nothing. It went into the Senate, this is a return Bill from John Connolly. I heartily recommend we support it. This Bill allows credit for payment of alimony. In a situation of alimony no longer can the man involved use his wife as a tax credit if she's no longer married to him, nor does he get credit . . . credit on the Straight Revenue Act for such payment. This is contrary to the federal situation where credit is given."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Did the Gentleman answer . . . ah . . . what the fiscal effect of this will be?"

Kosinski: "Ah . . . Mr. Walsh, we attempted to estimate that. It was extremely difficult . . . the statistical material on the number of divorced men paying alimony is very obscure."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the beauties of the Illinois Income Tax is that the form is easy to fill out. If we get to various deductions for various purposes, alimony, medical payments, et cetera, et cetera, there may be a great deal of justice in each and every one of them. But we must keep in mind that our exemption is relatively high. We have \$1,000 per person exemption. This is meant to contemplate these additional expenses; and I would suggest to the Gentleman that since there is a deduction allowed on the Federal Income Tax, the effect of this is then to reduce the gross income and the taxable income when the deductions are deducted from the Illinois tax. So the effect of this would be to deduct twice alimony payments . . . ah . . . from the Illinois tax and this is just a chipping away of the State Income Tax and a . . . it is our most equitable tax as we've discussed many times before and I suggest that this Bill should be defeated, just as Mrs. Catania's Bill was defeated . . . ah . . . that would accomplish the same purpose."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ah . . . Further discussions? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? Ah . . . Romie, what happened to your Bill in the Senate? Did it pass out?"



Kosinski: "Ah . . . My Bill is . . . Bill is in the Senate Revenue Committee at the moment. Ah . . . Should this Bill go through the House, mine will be released and go through the Senate."

Fleck: "Well, I don't know what's going to happen to the Senate Bill, but I don't recall voting for your first Bill, maybe it was in . . . ah . . . blind haste, but I think Representative . . ."

Kosinski: ". . . good judgment."

Fleck: ". . . Representative . . . ah . . . Walsh is absolutely correct. What this does is give a double deduction for any person paying alimony. I don't think it's right. It's not right to any of the taxpayers and I don't think it's right for the . . . concern . . . for the income tax law of the State of Illinois. I think we should defeat this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. . . . Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm going to get beh . . . down behind my books here so my good friend Roman can't see me as I talk against his Bill. But, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, about a week or so ago the Minority Leader of this House spoke very correctly in my opinion against a Bill proposed by Representative Sue Catania. He described her Bill as nit-picking, described her Bill as carving up the State Income Tax, reducing the state take from . . . on State Income Tax by this chipping away process. Now, I agreed with the Minority Leader, I wish he was on the floor now, Mr. Speaker, because I'm sure that once again he would agree that this type of Bill should not be passed by this General Assembly; and I can only urge all Members of this House to resist this attempt to once again interfere with the State Income Tax because I'd like to warn you if this type of procedure continues, there is no alternative, Ladies and Gentlemen, but to raise the rates, and I'm sure that none of us here in this House are prepared to raise the Income Tax rate . . . ah . . . in the near future. So I solicit a 'no' vote on Roman's Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, my good friend, Representative Miller, I'm here and I've



been here all day; and I want to make this speech real short. I agree with you totally. You used exactly the words I used the other day and I remain in that position. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh. All right. Mr. Toby Barry."

Barry: "I agree and move the previous question."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . Mr. Kosinski to close."

Kosinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's perfectly obvious what odds I am probably . . . presently contesting to help John Connolly with his Bill through the House. I could only rebuttal, as a matter of fact I assume it's dead, I can only rebuttal in this respect, the Majority Leader indicated that he didn't wish to fool with the . . . with the state forms because they were simple to fill out. I could only say they were simple to fill out but difficult to pay for the man who was paying alimony and I have some compassion for him. As far as my good friend, Ken Miller, I . . . I'm surprised at the change of the position because this Bill was passed by this House. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I leave it to your discretion."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the question is, should Senate Bill 326 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Cook, Miss Catania . . . Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to just say that I sympathize with the Sponsor of this Bill and my impression is that the Governor and the Department of Revenue originally supported an alimony deduction from the State Income Tax, but when they opposed the child care deduction they realized how it was going to turn out working and now they've pulled the rug out from under the Sponsors of this legislation."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 20 'ayes', 109 'nos'; and this Bill having failed to receive the necessary constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. Senate Bill 1158."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1158, Emil Jones, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for expenses of the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1158 is the annual appropriation for the Department of Corrections. The amended dollar amount totals \$79,683,200. I move its adoption."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, should Senate Bill 1158 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. What was it? On this Bill there are 143 'ayes', and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, passed. Senate Bill 213."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 213, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to Community Unit School District #2 in Williamson County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 213 appropriates \$725,000 to the Community Unit School District #2 in Williamson County for the construction of a special education facility for deaf children. This is identical to a Bill that was overwhelmingly passed in the House in the last Session of the General Assembly, however, it was vetoed simply because . . . ah . . . Governor Ogilvie said that it was just half enough fun as there was only \$325,000 requested. I would appreciate the support of the House, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 213 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those . . . those against will vote 'no'. Wrong button. Have all voted who wish? Geo-Karis 'aye'. The Clerk will take the Record; and on this Bill there are 147 'ayes' and no 'nays' . . . 148 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1198."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 1198."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1198."

Speaker Bluthardt: "This Bill having been read a Third time, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Mr. Chair . . . Speaker and Members of the House, ah . . . we, I gave a brief explanation of Senate Bill 1198 a short time ago which again is the ah...creation of the State Board of Elections. As I stated before, this Bill is the ah... result of a bi-partisan effort on the half, on the part of Senator Moore, the Chairman of the Senate Elections Committee and his entire Committee. It has the support of Senators Graham and Dougherty, the Co-Chairman of the Election Laws Commission. The Bill passed out of the Senate 53 to nothing ...ah... and I would hope that it would have similar support in this House and I urge a vote in favor of Senate Bill 1198."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, we worked very hard and long on this Bill to get it in the form and shape that it's in. Ah ...It is embodied in essence all agreements that we could make. We support this Bill on this side of the aisle. We did have a suggestion that might have made a stronger investigative power in the Board, but perhaps we can get to that the next time and ah... one other minor change about the cost of printing identical manuals. But we want to be, we wanted to have identical manuals over the state. We're in agreement with this Bill. We think it's a good Bill and we would ask that all Members support it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question? What is the method of tie breaking now?"

Collins: "The tie breaking will be effected by elimination of one of the board members by lot. If ah... if a deadlock results, then they will draw and one of the members will withdraw from the considerations and the other three will determine the problem at hand."

Juckett: "What control will this board have over any of the local boards?"

Collins: "Well, in what respect? As the Constitution states, it will have general supervision over the ah ... registration and election



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1913

laws of the state."

Juckett: "Ah... What happens to the City, Village, and Township ah... registration. Is that effected at all by this Bill?"

Collins: "No, not at all."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The question is, should Senate Bill 1198 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those contrary will vote 'no'. On the Bluthardt vote, it's correct. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. Leave ah... no, record Representative Bluthardt as 'no'. He happens to be Chairman of that Committee and he still says 'no'. All right, Mr. Mugalian 'no'. Oh, was that 'aye'? All right, Mr. Mugalian is recorded as 'aye'. On this Bill there are 151 'ayes' and Mr. McMasters votes 'no'. Re-core Mr. McMasters 'no' and Mr. Taylor 'aye'. 152 'ayes' and 2 'nays', and this Bill having received the necessary constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 616."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 616, Ewell, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 616 appropriates \$300 . . . \$300,000 to the State Board of Elections for the . . . ah . . . compensation of its members, employees, and administration of election laws. Ah . . . I would urge the adoption of . . . ah . . . I urge the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, should Senate Bill 616 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 139 'ayes', 2 'nays'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 436, Mr. Shea, with . . . ah . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 43 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . this having been read a third time . . . Mr. Shea is recognized for Senate Bills 436, 437, 438 and 439."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, they're the same Bills I think that . . . ah . . . Senator Moore has been over to talk to Representative Walsh, the Majority Leader, I think he understands the Bills and the purposes of them now. Ah . . . I talked to Mr. Dunn and he understands that this legislation does not effect any county but Cook County and the statement I said about the townships supplied only in Cook County . . . ah . . . I don't know if there are any other questions, but I'd be happy to answer them. I think we've worked out all the problems with the unions, . . . ah . . . with the new director . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister. Leinenweber? The Gentleman gets you mixed up. Mr. Leinenweber. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . whatever your name is up there, Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question? I presume he will. Ah . . . The question I have is that you indicated that the Pension Fund was going to be transferred to the State of Illinois



and I wondered whether the Pension Fund for all these employees was fully funded or was it the State of Illinois was accepting a rather large deficit from Cook County?"

Shea: "The employees have always been paid by the State of Illinois. What the dollar difference in the amount of funded or unfunded liability on the individuals I have no idea. All I know is that Governor Ogilvie tried to get this problem through or get this done for a number of years, Governor Walker would like to get it done . . . ah . . . George Dunn, the President of Cook County, thinks it's fine idea. I understand that we'll save the state millions of dollars and my technical expert, Representative Campbell, will answer the real question."

Speaker Bluthardt: The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a problem that's been worked on . . . ah . . . I would more recently by both parties, but we worked on this particular problem for about 10 years of this General Assembly, and these Bills did pass the General Assembly in both Houses in the last Session of the Legislature and got tied up in the Concurrence list and did not get passed, so I would just say to all of you that a lot of work has been put into these. Both parties are now in agreement, so let's vote these Bills out and get the job done. Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bills 436, 437, 438 and 439 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'; and the Clerk will take four Records. Richard Walsh 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 153 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and these four Bills having received the necessary constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1160. For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Redmond, wish to be recognized?"

Redmond: "I wonder if I could be recorded as voting 'aye' on that previous series of Bills, 436 through 439."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Record Mr. Redmond as 'aye' on 436, 437, -38 and 439. 1160."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1160, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Finance.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Martin."

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, as you've just heard, House Bill 1160 makes appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Finance for the coming year, and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1160 pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 153 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost . . . ah . . . hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1167."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1167, Williams, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take it out of the Record. Senate . . . Senate Bill 1175."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1175, Schneider, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriation for the Water Resource Management . . . ah . . . Division. It includes the . . . ah . . . House Bills which we passed that would appropriate . . . ah . . . over \$325,000, also reduces the Senate Amendment to around \$21 . . . ah . . . \$19,000 . . . \$19,000,000, and also incorporates Senate Amendment . . . ah . . . to what we call a realistic level of construction. I solicit your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, shall this Bill . . . Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 150 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; and this Bill



having received the constitutional majority is, here . . . hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1191."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1191, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the expenses of Department of Mental Health.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Lake, is it, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1191 is the appropriation Bill for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health. Ah . . . It . . . it amounts to a total of \$338,799,500. I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', contrary 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 158 'ayes' and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 291."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 291, Washington, a Bill for an Act to provide for the expenses of the Governor's office of Human Resources. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the annual and ordinary and contingent expenses for the Governor's office of Human Resources. Its total appropriation is \$1,200,000. It represents approximately a half million . . . ah . . . cut from fiscal year 1973. I ask for your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Geo-Karis 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Alsup 'aye'. On this Bill there are 144 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 698."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 698, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act to provide for the expenses of the Junior College Board. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 698 is the annual appropriation for the Junior College Board. It was amended down by \$41,439,400. The appropriation request now as submitted to the Members of the General Assembly for their approval is \$188,182,644, and I ask for your serious consideration and approval."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass?"

Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'.

Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Geo-Karis 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "163 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "On this Bill there are 163 'ayes' . . . 164 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1178."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1178, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for higher education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the annual appropriation for the Board of Higher Education, and the . . . figure as submitted from the Senate has not been changed. It calls for an expenditure of \$29,485,184 and I ask for your approval."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "How does this request compare to their . . . or this compare to their request, Ted?"

Lechowicz: "It'd just take me one second, I believe it's higher, but . . . ah . . . just . . . I want to just find my file . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Do you want to take this out of the Record? Take it out of the Record."

Lechowicz: "No, I just can't . . . appropriation Bill, it'll just take me a little while to find it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "We could pass three or four Bills in the meantime."

Lechowicz: "Well, I've got it right here, Mr. Speaker. The original request asked for \$629,523,184 and . . . ah . . . it's exactly as it was submitted . . . want as far as the . . . ah . . . from the General Revenue and the Capitol Development Bond Fund as you know,



Jerry, it was a net reduction from the General Revenue. I'm sorry, it's a cost to General Revenue of \$4,250,000 and also a . . . a decrease in the Capitol Development Bond Fund. You got the wrong Bill here . . ."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1178 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think what Representative Shea was referring to is a \$10,000,000 proposed reduction, but that was defeated in Committee, and so the amount remains the same."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 157 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 225."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 225, W. D. Walsh, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Could we take this back to Second Reading, Mr. Speaker, for purposes of an Amendment?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman asks leave to take this Bill back to Second Reading. The Gentleman have leave? Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, W. D. Walsh, amends Senate Bill 225 as amended and so forth."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker, makes perfectly clear that that . . . ah . . . new Act is not a . . . does not limit any home rule unit; and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Walsh: "Mr. Speak . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "This Bill having been read a third time, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: ". . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is



the so-called instant assessment Bill and provides that taxes will be paid by homeowners and other occupying new buildings at the time they occupied them and not as of a specific assessment date. Therefore, a particular tax year, the amount of time an occupant or owner has been in possession the taxes will be pro-rated for that time up to January 1st and the owner will have to pay them; and this Bill is endorsed by the Illinois Home Builders, it's endorsed by all of the units of local government that I know of and . . . ah . . . I have had some people indicate some reservations, but . . . ah . . . none very serious. It passed out of the House Revenue Committee . . . ah . . . with no dissenting votes. I urge your . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, there were dissenting votes, weren't there, in Revenue?"

3? Okay. Now, Bill, as I read the Act, a builder of a multi-unit building, say there are 300 units in the building, it's completed on July 1st. The first tenant moves into the building on July 1st, but the building is not fully occupied until July 1 of the following year, and it's a gradual filling up of the building. As I understand this Bill, on July 1st the builder or owner would be responsible for that building to be on the tax rolls at 100 percent the day the first occupant moved in in a multi-unit dwelling, is that correct?"

Walsh: "Yes, that's the . . . ah . . . Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . answers or attempts to answer some question . . . ah . . . that was brought up in the Revenue Committee . . . ah . . . as to what the criterion should be for the assessment of . . . ah . . . multiple unit . . . ah . . . structures and the . . . ah . . . Amendment provides that they will be deemed initially occupied . . . ah . . . or initially used when the first unit is occupied or used; and I might say that this Amendment was written and approved of by Dale Yung the Assistant Director of the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. Ah . . . It was his feeling that this would answer that question."

Shea: "Well, but that's my question, do you think that this is a fair Amendment?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . Yes, I . . . I think that it's fair, I . . . I can see where there could be . . . ah . . . some hardships that would



. . . ah . . . result from it. I . . . I think that in the normal course of events in a 300-unit building . . . ah . . . there are advertisements for . . . ah . . . for tenants long before the building is occupied and that the occupancy takes place . . . ah . . . pretty much at the same time. Ah . . . I . . . I think the Amendment is fair, I can understand how there could be a difference of agreement on it . . . ah . . . opinion on it."

Shea: "All right, now what is the present law . . . ah . . . with regards . . . as you know the real estate taxes fall due on January 1, but by the time we complete the assessment in that particular piece of property . . . ah . . . gets on the assessment rolls and gets ready to get levied again is normally . . . ah . . . in March or maybe February of the following year. Ah . . . Where does this Bill differ in the present law with regards to a piece of property that is completed on July 1? Under the present law, Section 525, the assessor even though it's in a nonquand . . . nonquadrennial year can go ahead and add that improvement . . . ah . . . on the tax roll. Now, what . . . what does this differ . . . why . . . where does the difference come in and what's the necessity of this Amendment?"

Walsh: "Well, as I understand it: the practice in Cook County is pretty much to do that. That is not the practice in downstate counties and indeed there are situations there where people are occupying homes on July 1st and they do not . . . the local governments do not receive any payment for the municipal and school services and other services that they used until . . . ah . . . almost two years later. Ah . . . That's the purpose of this Bill."

Shea: "Well, in Cook County, Cook County the quadrennial assessment county in Section 525 of the Act specifically covers Cook but . . . ah . . . I . . . I'm just wondering with the addition of this Amendment what you're going to do to buildings in building programs in Cook County? At . . . at the present time, I have in my district where they're putting up an 11-story building, is that . . . will probably take a year to get occupied because commercial space in suburban Cook County does not move very quickly, and as I



understand this, the landlord or owner of that property, and I assure you I have nothing to do with it, I don't represent them, but the landlord or owner of that property would be taxed at full value the day the first tenant moves into that property, is that correct?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . According to the Amendment, that is correct. The only thing is that as a practical matter, I don't think that's a very good point because the units are offered long before the building is completed . . ."

Shea: "Bill, have you been out to Oak Brook lately and watched the vacancy rate? I think you're going to drive builders right out of business."

Walsh: "Well, I can only respond to that, that there is no objection to this Bill as written from the . . . ah . . . Illinois Home Builders Association. I don't know whether they include, I suppose they do, . . . ah . . . units other than individual homes. Ah . . . I'm sure they go into multiple-unit residential property anyway and they do not object to it."

Shea: "Well, Bill, what . . . what disturbs me about this is right now in a commercial building taxes will run between 25 and 33 percent of the gross income. Let's take a man that's got \$1,000,000 gross a year and let's assume, we'll take an average, that his taxes are \$300,000. Now, under the situation you set up on July 1st if it's for a half a year, he's going to owe \$150,000, which could be less than his gross rental income for the year. Is that . . . is that a possibility under this Bill?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . Certainly it's a possibility. It . . . it's also a possibility that he would not rent a unit . . . ah . . . and would hold the property for 10 years and . . . ah . . . clearly it . . . ah . . . the property should be taxed or he might rent it and . . . ah . . . vacancies might occur for some reason, either his fault or not his fault, and it would be on the tax rolls. Ah . . . It's . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "In other words, what this Amendment says is that the economics of property owners should not be taken into consideration, is that correct?"



Walsh: "On the contrary, it . . . it says that the economics of property ownership should be taken into consideration and I'm sure you've read the Bill, Jerry, you'll . . . you'll notice in there that . . . ah . . . that property that is destroyed for any . . . ah . . . reason than the fault of the owner . . . ah . . . is likewise taken off of the tax rolls and the payment is . . . is decreased on a pro-rata basis from . . ."

Shea: "That . . . that's in the present law."

SWalsh: ". . . that's in this also."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . this Bill was drafted by a Gentleman who every Christmas Eve consorts with Marley's ghost and . . . ah . . . on behalf of the taxpayers of Illinois, I just have one word to say, 'Help!'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of this General Assembly, I agree with the Speaker here before. This is a bad Bill for multi-family dwelling. It does apply to multi-family. I can understand why the home builders might not oppose it, they are pretty well under the association of single-family dwellings; but if it were amended to say that when a man builds a single-family dwelling and when it was occupied that the rent should start, the taxes should start, I think that's fine, but I think it's an imposition on people who build multi-family buildings, apartments, shopping centers. I can understand why Dale Yung of the Department of . . . of Revenue or Local Governmental Affairs, those people would say it's a good Bill; but the builders, I can't understand why they wouldn't think it was a terrible Bill; and I would urge the defeat of this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know why I should get in this discussion particularly, but I will say this, right now in . . . in the . . . counties that I'm familiar with, if a building is partially



constructed, not being used by a tenant or the owner on January 1st of each year, that building will be assessed according to the percentage of completion. In other words, if it's 50 percent completed . . . completed, that's the assessed . . . the way the assessor assesses it. Now, actually all this Bill does is to move that ahead during the year if . . . ah . . . it is occupied; and it's my understanding that when it is first occupied that at time the assessor again should figure out the percentage of completion and assess it in that manner at that time; and frankly I don't see any reason why some of our large commercial interests build buildings or even a homeowner builds a building and he . . . unless they . . . he moves in or he takes occupancy or rents it on January the 10th. I can see no reason why he should have a tax free for a whole year on this property; and I . . . I think frankly it is a fair attempt to solve this problem and I'm going to vote for it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh . . . Wa'sh, to close."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . there are several people I know who would like to explain their vote on this very good Bill; and I'd just like to point out that all we're doing is that which is equitable. It seems to me that if . . . ah . . . people are living in a home, and face it, what we're talking about mostly here are homes. There's no need to get hung up on large . . . ah . . . apartment buildings. What we're talking about for the most part is homes; and we've got families moving in to new areas where there are hard-pressed school districts. They're sending their children to school for almost two years and they're not getting one dime in those school districts to educate those children. Now, I suggest to you that this is not fair. That, indeed, in many instances, and especially in the instances of homes, the people are avoiding the payment of taxes for 6, 7, 8 months. This is not fair, this is not reasonable. This Bill



addresses itself to correcting that problem and I urge its support."
 Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 225 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, we passed a much stronger Bill than this overwhelmingly about a month ago. It was Representative McMaster's Bill, Senate . . . or House Bill 510; and it did not allow it to be permissive on the part of the local municipalities. This does. We've got situations in the growing jests of Illinois where whole subdivisions will go on the tax roll in one year or that is it will be built for one year but they won't pay any taxes for a year and a half to two years later; and that means that the existing property owner has to pick up the burden. Now, the . . . this Bill is a permissive Bill. The permissivity . . . if . . . if Oakbrook doesn't want to . . . ah . . . make it effective, they don't have to and I would like to remind everybody that the property tax system is not designed to subsidize poor management. If you put up a building, it's going to be taxed at its own per . . . percentage of completion on January 1st come hell or high water whether anybody is in it or not. This thing only has do to . . . this Bill only effects that time period prior to the January 1st; and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Will you vote Bluthardt 'no' please? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my vote by . . . by suggesting that we don't have enough green lights up for a very good Bill; and the reason I say that is because I happen to live in a school district that's experiencing the problem that this Bill addresses itself to. I happen to live in a school district where we are increasing the number of children in that . . . this district by some 400 students per year. That means that those parents who are moving into new homes of some 800 children are not paying their taxes in order to send those children to school. I would point out to you that while there are some minor objections that have been raised to this Bill, that the real problem is . . . is one that we have to address ourselves, and we should deal



with it in this Bill. This is a good Bill. It's something we find that we won't have to vote for \$4,000 worth of bonds to subsidize these school districts in rapidly growing . . . ah . . . areas if we deal with the problem directly and this is the way to do it. I'd like to ask you to get more green lights up there."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Randolph."

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'aye' vote, Senate Bill 225 had a full hearing in the Revenue Committee. This Bill provides a procedure for local government to give immediate revenue from new construction, and I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my 'yes' vote. In addition to coming from a growing area which could benefit from this, I'd just like to remind everybody in this Chamber that we made a pledge most of us during a campaign to do something for the taxpayer. This is a small thing, but it's a step in the right direction, and think about this. This is going to help the person who has lived in his home for 5, or 10, or 15 years and finds himself in a growing area where he has to subsidize, for example, other peoples' children in school. Like I said, it's a small step, but for the taxpayer, I think it's a worthy step; and I urge your 'yes' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFluer."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, coming from a fast-growing area, this innovative effort to put uses of governmental services on a paid-up basis would be very useful to us, and as you can see from the people who are speaking favorable for this, we would be hopeful that all of you would join with us; and since this is on an optional basis, it will probably only be used in those places where the growth is so fast, the demand for services is so great that it is almost impossible to financially meet these demands. We could use this. We need this, and I would be hopeful that you would join with us in solving our problems locally by these efforts."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian. Mugalian. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote because I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

think it's a very important Bill and there may be some misunderstanding here. The question about the multi-unit building in which the first tenant has moved in and another tenant may not move in for three or six months does not effect the tax that that builder . . . that the owner of that property will eventually pay. We always have a problem of partially completed and partially occupied buildings. What this Bill does is make that person pay his tax which you would pay anyway at the time that he first occupied this. In other words, it merely accelerates the payment, it does not accelerate the amount of tax that one will pay. It has nothing to do with assessment procedures. If . . . if property should be valued on the basis of market return as Cook County is starting to do, it will not . . . ah . . . it will take care of all these inequities. The problem is getting the money in ahead of time. So all this does is accelerate the payment of money that will be due and is due but makes it on a current basis."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, arising to explain my vote. This is as the last Speaker indicated an anti-free loader Bill. What we have happening now is people are using the facilities for a year to 18 months, particularly the schools, . . . ah . . . and are not paying for it. If someone can afford to put up multi-unit buildings, they can afford to pay their fair share of the taxes in that community. They are the ones who put the building there because of the facilities that are available either in the schools or the community or otherwise. Otherwise, they would not have put the building there. This is one of the most reasonable and equitable . . . ah . . . types of property tax reform that we can address ourselves to this Session, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I know not whether I can add 'buts' or not to this, but this is landmark legislation that we are talking about today. For many, many years people have been looking forward to the time when we can do just what this Bill 225 would do. Now, the Gentleman from DuPage says that this is the anti-free loader legislation. Let



me put it just a little bit different way. In many of these areas where the fastest growth is going, people are moving into these new homes with two, or three, or four children and it's the elderly residents, it's the people who have lived there a long time whose taxes go up like a skyrocket to take care of these . . . ah . . . children for two or three years, at least for two years, until these . . . ah . . . can get on the tax book. I have always felt a great deal of compassion for the elderly people who have passed their height of earning age years in life and who are having to bear these burdens. I think we ought to get what more votes we need and I think you will always be proud of the votes you've cast here this afternoon."

Speaker Buthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think you have to look beyond just the temporary rapport of a Bill and look at the basic fairness. I think that all the people here are asking of something that is fundamentally and elementary . . . elementary in terms of its fairness. You're simply saying that one of the reasons that you cannot get subdivisions or older communities to accept houses, to accept homes for people who might be in a middle class classification, it's simply because these people are trying to better their conditions. They come to a community and they bring children with them. Now, when all these children end up on the roll, they can't say there are no classes for your child until next year, they have to share the present facilities today. It would be only fair and only equitable that they share the present burden of taxes today. You can argue that builders are always trying to say 'Well, we're giving you a little extra slide, and we're lettin' you out this and that way and the other', but I suggest to you that in many cases the . . . ah . . . appraisers . . . ah . . . for the particular units simply don't put them on the rolls . . . on the rolls for the whole year. They can get a partial assessment for a year in terms of occupancy. I think you have to look at this Bill in terms of basic fairness, fairness to the people who must provide for these children today; and if you want to be fair and make it possible for people to come into communities, to bring children and to have them get a reasonable education,



you have to be simply fair to the communities who are paying the taxes and the benefits and burdens should and ought to go together."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, now we do a little bit of building. I can see if you finish a single family home, a one . . . one-family home, where this would be fine because of those children you're speaking about. I want to call your attention that one of the reasons the . . . ah . . . moror . . . or . . . ah . . . or . . . oh darn it, one of the reasons that we're having so many mobile homes being bought and used is because of high costs of producing these homes. Now, when you have a large building that depends and will be taxed according to one or two in the . . . in the building, you have to fill that building or if something says you have to fill the houses all you're go . . . or the stores, all you're going to do is stop and decrease the building and the people that want to build will be unable to do so. You also have a tremendous cost of money today, interest rates are higher, lumber is higher, everything is higher. The . . . ah . . . labor is higher and you will be . . . have a backlash you might say to this that is going to be adverse to what you think you're going to do. I wouldn't want to invest money on a thing like this when I'm stuck on a deal like this. I don't mind wanting the building as completed and filled . . . ah . . . to pay the taxes, fair enough; but your mobile home is a clear indication as what is happening in this country today. You're going to destroy the building . . . the building industry by a continuation along these lines. So I think we should vote against it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh . . . Mr. Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is indeed a very important Bill and I . . . I think we should all take a special note of it, especially those of us in areas where . . . ah . . . there is a considerable amount of multi-unit construction. Now, this Bill would provide that a governing body of a . . . of a municipality can exact from a builder a fee prior to issuance of a permit



for occupancy. Now, if a developer or builder has to pay a fee based on complete construction of a fee when only one of maybe 300 units is occupied, that is indeed a . . . a very serious thing and I'm sure would result in . . . ah . . . a very serious impairment of his inducement to construct multiple unit buildings. Now, . . . ah . . . I know the practice in Cook County is to make partial assessments, as the building is constructed, partial assessments are made. I think that's . . . ah . . . the practice downstate. If it isn't, it should be, but to provide that a . . . that a builder would have to pay a tax based on 100 percent occupancy when in fact only one of the units is occupied is just ridiculous and I think you know that you don't at the time you open your doors to have the full building occupied. I think that this is a bad Bill, and I would urge its defeat."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is objection that is . . . has been raised . . . ah . . . several times on multiple-unit buildings. It seems to me it's just a smoke screen. It makes no more sense not to tax them when the building is occupied than it would if it became vacant after it had been up for several years; and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that it's totally inequitable to permit someone to occupy property for several months, up to 7 or 8 months, depending upon the assessment date, and not pay any taxes. It makes a great deal less sense to do that than to abate taxes for an empty building. If a building is empty for a period of 1, 2, or 5 years, taxes continue on it, although there are no municipal schools or other services provided for that building. Now, this is a totally fair concept, Mr. Speaker, as the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh, said. It's . . . it's significant, it's landmark, and it is absolutely imperative to save, especially the school districts in the areas where there is much home building, the new school districts, the school districts are being inundated with children, there's not enough assessed valuation to pay their bills. It's absolutely imperative that we have this legislation. So I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will



take the Record. Take the Record. Maragos 'present'. On this Bill there are 100 'ayes' and 49 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. No more noncontroversial Bills like that one. Senate Bill 284."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 284, . . . ah . . . Barry, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropriation . . . ah . . . for the Industrial Commission. The amount is . . . ah . . . \$1,733,060, which we amended to some minor degree, in fact, sort of a major degree to \$2,292,511 in Appropriation's Committee, and I would . . . ah . . . suggest that we adopt the appropriations."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, should this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', contrary 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 132 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 318. Point . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 318, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill. Madigan."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 318 is the appropriation Bill for the Department of Labor. The Bill originally appropriated \$80,575,100, the House Appropriation's Committee increased that amount by \$29,363. I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, should this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', contrary 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Roll. On this Bill there are 148 'ayes' and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1173."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1173, . . . no . . . an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judicial system. Third Reading of the Bill. Well, he can't . . . ah . . . Shea."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Take it out of the Record. 1174."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1174, appropriation to the Judicial system.

Third Reading of the Bill. Lemke."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriation for the salaries of the judges and personnel of the Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Courts in the State of Illinois. I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Lemke: "Yes."

Walters: "In the Digest it says that 25 percent of the administrative staff funds come from the Road Fund, has that been amended out or is that still in?"

Lemke: "If you recall, the Amendments were offered and they were defeated on the floor. It's still in there as it has been over the period of time. All the fines that come from overweight trucks and so forth go into the Road Funds and these are administered by the courts and they take part of the administration court from the Road Fund."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "As I understand the 25 percent . . . ah . . . Mr. Lemke, . . . ah . . . of the Road Fund still goes to the . . . I think that's a question to . . ."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, will you take this out of the Record for the time being?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 283, take that out of the Record. Ah . . . Senate Bill 288. Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 290. We'll stand at ease while Freddy is trying to get at ease. Are you at ease now, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "Oh, is it, okay. Senate Bill 290, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of State Fair Agency. Third Reading of the Bill. Which one is he taking out of the Record?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take this . . . this back to Second Reading. As you remember, the Amendment #2 was lost somewhere in the



shuffle. We checked with the Chairman of the Appropriation's. He agreed that the Amendment was there. We've now located it and I'd like to go back to 2 so we can adopt Amendment #2."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave to take this back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment? Leave. Second Reading."

Schraeder: "Amendment #2 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment # . . . which one is it? . . ."

Schraeder: ". . . 2 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 290 and so forth."

Schraeder: ". . . it adds \$200,000 to the appropriation. It's for permanent improvements in the electrical and plumbing improvements for building in grounds for the State Fair Agency, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to House . . . to Senate Bill 290. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. This Bill having been read a third time . . . time, Mr. Schraeder is recognized."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the appropriation for the State Fair Agency. As you remember, this over the years has been somewhat of a controversial agency . . . that this is probably one of the best run agencies in the State Government now, it's scandal free, are both administrations, the present one and the past one. I would move adoption of the appropriation."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 290 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 134 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 355. Is Mr. Berman on the floor? Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 369. Oh, Berman? All right."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 355, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."



Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for the Department of Insurance for the forthcoming year. The amount is \$3,683,900. Oh, I'm sorry, \$3,765,432."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, should this Bill pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', contrary 'no'. Have all voted who wisd? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 154 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 369."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 369, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Art's Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Calvo on the floor? Take that out of the Record. Senate Bill 378."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 378, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aeronautics. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin. He's not on the floor? Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 697."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 697, Farley, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capitol Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Ah . . . I'd ask leave now to bring . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 697 back to the order of Second Reading for a purpose of a Committee Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading."

Farley: "Now, I move for the consideration . . . by which the . . . ah . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment # . . . let me finish the . . . John, I got to . . ."

Farley: ". . . ah . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Wait until he reads the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . ah . . . which one is it, Mr. Farley?"

Farley: "#1."

Clerk Selcke: "1? I don't have it. Apparently, it was tabled in Committee, was it that?"



Farley: "No, it was tabled on the floor."

Clerk Selcke: "I can read it."

Unknown: "Oh, you want to reconsider it, go ahead, go ahead."

Farley: "I move to reconsider by which the votes . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which
the Amendment #1 passed . . ."

Farley: ". . . was tabled."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ah . . . was tabled. All in favor of the motion to
reconsider indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and . . . ah
. . . now . . ."

Farley: "Now, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . Amendment #1."

Farley: ". . . now, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee
Amendment #1."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Mr. Farley, what does it do?"

Farley: "I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate
Bill 697."

Speaker Bluthardt: "I thought I heard a question. No question? The
Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All in
favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the
motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third
Reading. This Bill having been read a third time, the Chair recog-
nizes Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 697 is the . . . ah . . . appropriation
for the Capitol Development Board and I move for the passage of
Senate Bill 697."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 697 pass? Those
in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all
voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there
are 148 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the
constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. In other words,
Secretariat by 12 lengths in a new course record. Senate Bill 963."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 963, Berman, an Act making appropriation for
the ordinary and contingent expense to the office of Lieutenant
Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Clerk Selcke: "You got an Amendment on that? Do you want to take it back?"

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 963 is the appropriation for the expenses of the office of the Lieutenant Governor . . . ah . . . the amount for fiscal . . . ah . . . '74 is \$199,680. I move the adoption of . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', and those contrary vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Let's put out Mugalian, Mr. Speaker. All right, I just have a question or two of the Sponsor if I may. Ah . . . Would he yield?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "It was little order, but there was a quick switch here so go ahead and ask your question."

Mugalian: "Well, I hate to borrow the time of the House with academic questions. I was going to ask if there were new duties imposed upon the . . . ah . . . Lieutenant Governor?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to explain his vote."

Berman: "Ah . . . Yes, there are to a great extent. Number 1, is the Senior Citizens project; number 2, is the project regarding the St. Louis Airport; . . . ah . . . number 3, is the liaison with the Spanish speaking people, and I think that we have seen in just a few months that we have been in Session the kind of dynamic activity that's been undertaken by the Lieutenant Governor and I think that this budget is very . . . very justified."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 142 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1142."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1142, Lechowicz, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1142 is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the



Illinois State Scholarship Commission for fiscal '74. They're asking for \$67,577,500."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill there are . . . give me the Record, Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "152 to nothing."

Speaker Bluthardt: "152 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 299."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Davis on the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, Corneal is not here. Hey . . . ah . . . Thomas."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take that out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Huh? That ain't it?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Senate Bill 448."

Clerk Selcke: "What was that? What was that number? 448? 4 . . . Senate Bill 448, Boyle, amends the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Boyle on the floor? We're about through with appropriations. Senate Bill 905."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 905, Gene Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to create the School Construction Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "You have a number of Bills, Gene, do you want to take them as a series?"

Hoffman: "Ah . . . They're all companion Bills, so if there is some objection, I will take them as . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Gene, what . . . what are the Bills?"

Hoffman: "905, --6, --7, --8, and --9."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave to have 905, --6, --7, --8, and --9 considered as a package? Leave is granted."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 906, an Act making appropriation to the State Treasurer. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 907, an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 908, an Act to amend the title of an Act, Article 1A to



an Act creating the Capitol Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 909, an Act making appropriation to the Capitol Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this series of Bills comprises the . . . ah . . . School Construction Bond Act. A number of transports have been working in the state for a number of years has recommended his program and this is the results of both the office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction and the Governor's Task Force, the School of Finance. In Senate Bill 905 we create the Act, in 906 we appropriate \$3,000,000 for the payment of interest on the . . . ah . . . first year's authorization, 907 sets up the fund in the State Treasury, 908 provides the formula for which the money will be distributed on the basis of need according to a grant index, and 909 appropriates . . . ah . . . \$100,000,000 to the Capitol Development Board . . . ah . . . which is divided this way for construction of school districts over 500,000, \$25,000,000; for construction of school districts less than 500,000, \$50,000,000; for debt service in school districts with less than 500,000 . . . ah . . . \$25,000,000 and the debt service goes back to . . . ah . . . '69 and picks it up at 50 percent at whatever the school would get on the grant index; and I would . . . ah . . . appreciate your support of this series of Bills."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bills . . . all right, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Gene, one question please. We have changed the priorities now, have we not? The priority no longer is first come-first serve?"

Hoffman: "This is correct, and I'll be very honest with you, Bob, we had some differences we haven't resolved yet, and I'm sure we're going to end up in a Conference . . . ah . . ."

Brinkmeier: "Okay."

Hoffman: ". . . Committee."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall these Bills pass? Those in favor of the . . . ah . . . will vote 'aye' and those contrary will vote 'no'. The Clerk will take 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Roll Calls. Have all



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Five Roll Calls?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Bluthardt: "On this . . . on these questions there are 157 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and these Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. Palmer 'aye'. Senate Bill 299."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 299, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Unemployment Practices Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropriation for the F.E.P.C. Commission. The duties of the Commission has been expanded because we amended the law to include sex, and 80 percent of the sex cases are maternity cases that are quite complicated and . . . ah . . . for that reason, they ask for \$165,000. The Senate gave them \$135,000, which brings the budget up to \$806,000. I appreciate your vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Roll. On this Bill there are 141 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; and these . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 154."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 154, McCormick, an Act to redistrict the State of Illinois in Legislative Districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from La . . . Lawrence wish to be recognized, Mr. Roscoe Cunningham?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, we had an agreement yesterday with the Sponsor of this Bill to be brought back to Second Reading for the consideration of Amendments we previously found been distributed."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Ah . . . That is true, we . . . we had Amendments filed by Representative Cunningham and he was off of the floor at the time, and we agreed to bring it back and let him present his Amendment."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Are you asking leave to bring it back to Second Reading for purpose of Amendment?"

McCormick: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading. Mr. Cunningham."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Cunningham, amends Senate Bill 154 by deleting and so forth."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Sponsor of this Bill is the magician with pretty words, but you mustn't let those pretty words conceal the fact that we're about to compound an error that was made 2 years ago. This is a very serious matter that deserves further consideration, specifically it needs the adoption of the Amendment that we have before us at the present time. The test and . . . of my conviction on this matter is attested to by the simple fact that adoption of the Amendment that I have submitted will materially lessen my chances of reelection. You've heard me correctly, but the . . . also the fact remains that adoption of this Amendment will correct that error that we made in 1971 under the opinion at that time that the population of the various districts had to be identical. If we had considered the matter a little, we would've realized that if that be the law that there'd need to be a reapportionment after every birth and after every death. Happily, the Supreme Court has changed that misunderstanding in the West Virginia case. It now says that there is a . . . a tolerable reason that enters into these questions. In the 54th District, out of 13 counties, 4 counties are cut in two. What we seek by this Amendment is to return those lost provinces to the 54th District. The only county that would be effected . . . the only district that would be effected besides the 54th are the 51st, the 53rd, the 55th and the 59th. It would cause absolutely no harm to any county or any district in this state, none of you would be in any manner effected by this, except the 12 Representatives in the adjacent counties. I have talked to those 12, none of them have any serious objection to this Amendment. One of 'em suggested that there should be some boot if I was exchanged for them as the Representative from that particular county; but it



destroys the county unity to have it split in two when you have a county of 20,000, and 10,000 in one district and 10 another. The people in that county at the practical matter are denied political representation. What we seek here is by this Amendment is merely to add to the 54th District the missing parts of four counties and those counties are Cumberland, Crawford, Jefferson and White. I guarantee you that once that's been done, that the remaining counties meets the test pronounced by the United States Supreme Court in the West Virginia case as to population. It does not in any way make the other districts that I named in any . . . in violation of the rule of the Supreme Court. It would be a great step forward to correct an injustice. It would be the right thing to do. Now, before I said I want to remind you if you cast a green light in this case, you're voting to get rid of Cunningham, but more important, more important, my friends, you are voting to correct an injustice and restore political representation to counties that were needlessly split by the unthinking action of this Body two years ago when they adopted a reapportionment map that the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois has pronounced to be illegal."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all . . . is there further discussion?"

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Ah . . . I'd like to ask the Sponsor of this Amendment several questions if I may. Ah . . . Mr. Cunningham, do you understand that . . . ah . . . the Supreme Court of this state has ruled that the map that the reapportionment is in fine order or the method by which is was derived was not?"

Cunningham: "No, there was language in the opinion that went farther than that, Mr. Maragos. We're both in the legal business and we mustn't try to hoodwink the public. The Supreme Court decision raised serious question as to the propriety of the original district as drawn, and we mustn't shrink back from our responsibilities because of the lateness of the date. We will have plenty of time . . ."

Maragos: "Now . . . I asked a question . . . will you answer my question?"

Cunningham: ". . . I'm answering your question, there is plenty of time yet to effect this change that's needed."



Maragos: "Is it a fact that by redis . . . by changing your district, it would in effect make a new redistricting for the whole State of Illinois?"

Cunningham: "No, absolutely not. I know of no lawyer that contends that. The only districts . . . the only lines that are effected is the 54th, 51st, 53rd, 55th and 59th and I hear no one from any of those districts subjecting . . . you're 240 miles away and it's . . ."

Maragos: "I'm not arguing . . . but the fact is you effect me, 240 miles away, Mr. Cunningham, because the minute you change one line in that map, you have a whole redistricting."

Cunningham: "A man of your quality can win on a laundry ticket . . ."

Maragos: "I'm not worried about my own . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . in this matter."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, are you through, Sam? The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah . . . Roscoe, by this Amendment, you're . . . you would include all of White County in your district, is that right?"

Cunningham: "That's true, and I recognize that the people in White County would feel like they lost if I was representing them instead of you."

Hart: "Now, just . . . now, how long have you represented White County or part of it?"

Cunningham: "Two year . . . two years."

Hart: "Do you realize that I've represented all of White County for 4 years and for the last Session two years. I . . . Now, everything that . . . since you've represented White County, I've been getting a lot of complaints up there about it and if you took White County away and didn't let me represent them, you would never get back here. This is a bad Amendment. I object to it and we've got to defeat it for the people of White County."

Cunningham: "Richard, that's just the point of it . . . I'm . . . against my . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the question is, all right, the Gentleman from . . . all right, the question is, shall this Amendment be adopted? Those in favor will vote 'aye', contrary 'no'. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."



Cunningham: "Remember a vote green is a vote to get rid of . . . a vote green is a vote to get rid of Cunningham, a vote red is a vote to keep him."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, for the reason so accurately stated by Roscoe Cunningham I vote 'no'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "And record Bluthardt as 'no'. Ah . . . Have all voted who wish? Take the Roll Call. On this Amendment there are 18 'yes' and 1 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "126 'nay'."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . 126 'nay'; and this Amendment is lost. Further Amendments. Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "This Bill having been read . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "This Bill having been read a third time, the Chair now recognizes . . ."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think every Member of this House understands the purpose of House Bill 154 and I would certainly appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and contrary 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, a copy of the Bill that I have only shows redistricting for 20 districts, is that correct or is there something wrong with the Bill that I have?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "You . . . you'll have to ask the Sponsor. Will the Sponsor yield to a question on that?"

McCormick: "Ah . . . The Bill . . . the Bill is just exactly as the same as the Bill . . . as the reapportionment that was approved by the Supreme Court and filed with the Secretary of State. That is the importance of passing it in the form that it is now."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, I've been waiting since the time I filed my petition over a year ago to comment on this . . . on the districts in this map. The people that drew this map must have done . . . ah . . . up awfully late that night because the 28th District is the most



abominable district I've ever seen. It runs through the suburbs of Riverdale, cutting them in half, jogging around the block through Harvey. I'll bet there isn't a guy in our district who could figure out where the heck we are when we get out into the far flung parks of our district. It's a terrible map."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I wonder if we have 5 minutes . . . minutes of the last day, usually the 1st day . . . ah . . . Representative McCormick tells us about the mosquitoes. Are there mosquitoes in southern Illinois, Representative of this Bill, C. L.?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Mr. . . . Mr. McCormick, do you want to reply to that?"

McCormick: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would be terribly ashamed of myself if I stood before this House and presented a reapportionment map that didn't take care of the people that lived in Southern Illinois that have to put up with the mosquito situation down there. I think that . . . ah . . . you know, I think that if we're talking about a mosquito species, we ought to wait until later on in the evening and maybe I can get in the mood. I think this is too important to worry about mosquitoes. I'd appreciate taking the record."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Record Bluthardt 'no' please. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Henry wish to be recognized, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Ah . . . I would like to make a parliamentary inquiry and a ruling from the Chair here. I just . . . ah . . . voted for a reapportionment, and as such, I'm under the assumption then that every Senator has to run for reelection next time, is that not correct?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "I . . . I don't think I can answer that question. There are 143 'ayes' and 16 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 5."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 5, Lemke, an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, can I have this Bill brought back to Second Reading for purposes of Amendment offered by . . . ah . . . Representative



Lechowicz?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Well, one moment please, I think the Clerk wants to make a correction."

Clerk Selcke: "I made a mistake in reading, it's an . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 5, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Aging. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? And the Bill is now on Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Lechowicz, amends Senate Bill 5 as amended on page 1, line 10, and so forth."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 was the Amendment that was discussed in Committee. In time the Amendment was properly drawn to be committed on the House floor. What it does, is adds \$50,000 in the contractual account for operating expenses and to establish a uniform and complete accounting system for this department. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1, is it . . ."

Unknown: "#2."

Speaker Bluthardt: ". . . #2 to Senate Bill 5. All in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. This Bill having been read a third time . . . ah . . . the Chair recognizes Mr. Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I ask for a favorable vote for the Senior Citizens of the State of Illinois so that we can properly fund . . . ah . . . this new department. Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 5 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye' and those contrary will vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . I don't know, we don't have anything current. George give . . . show David what we got on . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Dan Houlihan 'aye'. What's the Record, Fred?"



Clerk Selcke: "159 'ayes', 1 'nay'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "On this Bill there are 159 'ayes' and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 692."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 692, Ebbesen, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 692 amends the Public Junior College Act such that the flat rate grant for full-time equivalent students is changed. It increases the . . . ah . . . flat rate from \$16.50 to \$19 . . . ah . . . of the difference being that the \$16.50 is now computed at midterm and . . . ah . . . this Bill calls for the \$19 to be computed on the 10th day of class. I might add that . . . ah . . . this particular Bill, 692, gives the Junior Colleges 2 1/3 less, I want to repeat that, less than a . . . ah . . . House Bill 64 that passed out of here with . . . ah . . . substantial margin earlier in the Session. It has the . . . ah . . . backing of the Illinois College Trustees Association and the Illinois Junior College Board; and I would encourage a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman has . . . ah . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 692 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 139 'ayes' and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 581."

Clerk Selcke: "I thought you were mad at us old people. Senate Bill 581, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Do you want to take it out of the Record? Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 283."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 283, . . . ah . . . Kelly, an appropriation for expenses of the Department of Financial Institutions. Third Reading of the Bill. Kelly."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."



Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave of the House to bring Senate Bill 283 back to Second Reading for the purpose of attaching an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman have leave to bring this back to Second Reading? The Gentleman has leave and the Bill is now on Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Kelly, amends Senate Bill 283 as amended on page 1, line 16, and so forth."

Kelly: "Ah . . . This Amendment . . . ah . . . gives a very small collection in the amount of retirement and in social security and it's a total of \$13,600 to the . . . ah . . . Department of Financial Institutions. This was missed . . . this was missed earlier in a computation that they have used and the Bureau of the Budget asked that this Amendment be adopted; and I move that it be adopted . . . so I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3. The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wasn't paying any attention. Would you go through that briefly once again please."

Kelly: "Certainly, be real happy to. I'm ask . . . I'd ask that the . . . ah . . . we would adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 283 and what this does this gives an increase of a grand total of \$13,600 to this appropriation Bill, and . . . ah . . . the \$11,100 as a retirement increase and \$2,500 as an social security increase to the Department of Financial Institutions in their annual appropriation Bill. There was a mistake in computation by the Bureau of the Budget, and I ask that this be corrected."

Washburn: "All right."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the 'ayes' have it. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, wish to be recognized?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Sp . . . I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to ask the Gentleman a question on that matter. I think it was adopted, but



was this the same Amendment that was killed in Committee?"

Kelly: "Ah . . . No, it was not, this was an . . . ah . . . an additional Amendment that was adopted . . ."

Lechowicz: "It's to correct the Amendment because of an error from the Bureau of the Budget?"

Kelly: "That's right, they made an error in their computation and . . . ah . . ."

Lechowicz: "Well, that's not their first one, thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "And the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading.

This Bill having been read a third time, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask for your . . . ah . . . favorable support of Senate Bill 283 as amended which would give the annual appropriations to the Department of Financial Institutions. I ask for your favorable support of this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this Bill there are 157 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 258."

Clerk Selcke: Senate Bill 258 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Shea on the floor? All right, read the . . . read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . ah . . . an Act relating to law enforcement officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Clerk Selcke: "That's still . . . ah . . . 258 is still . . ."

Shea: "I'll handle the liquor control, but he can have the law enforcement."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, . . . ah . . . are you ready, Mr. Philip, with 2 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "-58."

Speaker Bluthardt: "-58."



Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you had me confused there for a minute . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "You think you're the only one."

Philip: ". . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 258 as amended provides that law enforcement officers and firemen employed by public entities in the State of Illinois shall receive their regular compensation during any period up to one year when they've been disabled on the job. Ah . . . I ask your favorable consideration."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Maragos 'present'. Take the Record; and on this Bill there are 143 'ayes', 1 'no'; and 1 voting 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, is Senate Bill 288."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 288, Shea, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Liquor Control Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is probably one of the most noncontroversial departments in State Government, the Illinois Liquor Control Commission. Ah . . . They've got a Chairman and then they're on and off again. This is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the year and I believe Mr. Collins has a question for it too."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you . . . ah . . . Representative Shea, I just want to ask you what is the final amount of the appropriation for the Commission?"

Shea: "\$793,795, no pennies."

Collins: "And that's . . . that's a reduction of approximately \$24 . . . \$25,000 . . . ah . . . over the requested amount?"

Shea: "The reduction is \$24,000, about \$17,000 in personal services, . . . ah . . . \$1,000 in pension, contribution \$500 and social security contribution, and \$5,000 in contractual services."



Collins: "Thank you, Sir, I just . . . ah . . . I think it interesting to point out that the Chairman of the Liquor Control Commission was fired for the stated reason . . . ah . . . by the Governor's office that he would not go along with reducing this budget by 58 percent, which as I figure would be somewhere around a half a million dollars."

Shea: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Chairman, I think that's out of line."

Collins: "Ah . . ."

Shea: "I'm the Sponsor of that Bill, nobody's talked to me about any reduction . . . ah . . ."

Collins: "Well, I think it's interesting too that nobody talked to you about reducing it and yet . . . ah . . . there's quite a bit . . . thing was made about the great reductions that were to be made. I'm not leveling any criticism and you, Sir, and I don't think I ever have . . . ah . . . but I think it's serious that this amount is so insignificant in light of . . . ah . . . public statements."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, as long as . . . ah . . . Representative Collins is so critical, let me tell him that we've had two meetings of his . . . his so-called Subcommittee. Each meeting he's told us that we are going to need to adopt rules to proceed on and the last meeting we had on Monday of this week, he said that we are . . . we're going to meet sometime before we adjourn to adopt these rules. We haven't done it yet, he has no business to be critical about anybody."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Matijevich, all right. Further discussion? All right, the question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I was so impressed by Representative Collins' question, but I wonder where I can contribute to the Collins for County Clerk campaign. Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "You know, I might have had a few words to say on that myself as I've been down there. All right, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. All right, the Gentleman from . . . from



. . . ah . . . Cook, Mr. Fleck. How do I want to vote?"

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just want to know how you wanted to vote on this."

Speaker Bluthardt: "I want to strike the enacting clause. Vote me 'aye'.

On this Bill there are 135 'ayes', 6 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.

Senate Bill 297."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 297, Juckett, appropriation for expenses for Mental Health Planning Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is the annual appropriation for the Mental Health Planning Board. The appropriation is \$51,900. It's a \$10,000 reduction from the request and approximately a \$6,000 reduction from the previous year and I would move the . . . ah . . . passage of Senate Bill 297."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Collins 'aye'. On this Bill there are 147 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having . . . Mahar 'aye' . . . 148 . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1097."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1097, Peters, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave to have this Bill taken back to Second Reading . . . ah . . . for the purpose of . . . ah . . . an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading. Any Amendments?"

Peters: "I defer to Mr. Fleck."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Fleck, amends Senate Bill 1097, page 1, and so forth."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."



Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 for transfer of the operation and maintenance of the state building through Department of General Services; and I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. This Bill having been read a third time, the Gentleman from Cook is recognized, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, the . . . ah . . . Bill itself provides for a raise in the salary of the Assistant Director from \$25 to \$30,000, a raise for the assistant director and appoint . . . appointees in that office to require Senate confirmation, plus . . . ah . . . what was added by the Amendment we just adopted; and I ask for a favorable consideration of the House."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I was trying to listen very carefully, what does that Amendment do?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Sponsor of the Amendment wish to explain?"

Peters: "As I stated, it . . . ah . . . transfers . . . ah . . . the Department of General Services . . . ah . . . personnel who are operating and maintaining state buildings."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you very much."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, what'd they transfer . . . they want it? . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Roll; and on this Bill there are 127 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 369."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 369, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Arts Council. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Calvo."

Clerk Selcke: "Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation Bill for the Illinois Arts Council. The total amount of this appropriation including all grants amounts to about .10 cents per person throughout the State of Illinois. Now, I don't think .10 cents per person is too much money to pay for expanding the culture of our state. Ah . . . I might point out that the Bill in its present form was so amended in the Senate . . . ah . . . as it now stands, and I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the . . . would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "He indicates he will."

Hudson: "Representative . . . ah . . . Calvo, two Sessions ago, I think the appropriation for the Arts Council was something like \$50,000, is that correct?"

Calvo: "How many years ago was that, George?"

Hudson: "Two Sessions ago."

Calvo: "No, I . . . I don't believe . . ."

Hudson: "Not last Session, but the Session before that."

Calvo: "I was going to say maybe about 8 years ago . . . ah . . . it was . . . ah . . . last Session it was about \$600,000, I believe."

Hudson: "Well, my . . . my understanding is that the budget we passed last Session, that is in the 77th, was \$600,000."

Calvo: "That's correct, that's what I said."

Hudson: "But going back ahead of that, it started out in a mo . . . modest way and it seems to me we got it up to \$60,000, then went up to 100,000."

Calvo: "Well, it didn't jump from \$60 . . . to \$600,000."

Hudson: "Right, and I think this time we jumped twice, if I'm not mistaken, you can tell me the .10 cents a head throughout the state I think comes to \$1,200,000 at this point, is that . . . is that correct?"

Calvo: "Representative Hudson, . . . ah . . . it's close to that. That includes the . . . all the grants that is anticipated the possibility



being able to use. Now, for instance, last year they had about something less than \$400,000 in grants, and I frankly there is presently about \$1,000,000 in there for the grant program. This does include the appropriation of funds they receive from other sources, not from the State of Illinois, and I don't feel that they will all . . . ah . . . use all of that in all . . . I don't know that they would have the . . . request to use it all, but that is the amount that the Senate thought they could have."

Hudson: "Ah . . . One more question here and that is going back to a newspaper article dated April 27th, Chicago Tribune, but it's a question that was raised by the Senate Minorial . . . Senate Minority Leader, and he questioned the possible impropriety of the transfer of funds between the Council and the Illinois Arts Council Foundation. Now, could you speak to that?"

Calvo: "Yes, I can . . . ah . . . the foundation does support the council and does give the council funds for its use. Ah . . . Last year, the council received \$40,000 from the foundation, which of course meant the taxpayers didn't put in that \$40,000 for operating expenses. Now, I might say, Representative Hudson, that in addition to that that there is an Amendment on this Bill that was put on in the House which we called the accountability Amendment. They are now required to make accounting the same as exactly the same and in the same manner and in the same account that other state agencies do. For this purpose they had . . . it was necessary for them to, and they did hire an accountant who will be operating for them . . . ah . . . much in the matter of a comptroller, and . . . ah . . . in addition to that, they have promised to send the House Appropriation's Committee a quarterly report of all expenditures made and what they were made for."

Hudson: "Thank you, Representative Calvo, . . . ah . . . I wonder, Mr. Speaker, now if I might . . . ah . . . speak to this Bill? First of all, I would like to say that I recognize that the good House Sponsor of this measure is in a difficult situation because he's caught between a Governor who wanted to cut this budget severely, cut it beyond what it had last year, which was the last Session which was the



\$600,000, he wanted to cut it below that, and . . . ah . . . a . . . the Lieutenant Governor who apparently wants to and . . . ah . . . favors raising it to twice what the budget was in the last Session, or in other words, up to \$1,200,000 or thereabouts. So it's a difficult position, and I want Representative Calvo to know that I respect him and . . . ah . . . anything that I may have to say here is in no way a reflection upon this very fine Gentleman, but the other day . . . ah . . . my colleagues, we passed a measure out of these chambers that purported to be a tax reduction Bill, one half since, and we talked in terms of tax reduction because we recognize that the people throughout our state are taxed . . . ah . . . literally up to their eyeballs and they've just about had it. So we think . . . we think in terms of tax reductions, but I'm going to suggest that while we do this, we also should be thinking in terms of cutting and reducing government spending because, in my humble opinion, it's a charade and a hoax that we perpetrate on the people to talk in terms of reducing their taxes unless we at the same time address ourselves to the necessity of a reduction in government spending; and I . . . ah . . . seem to have chosen this particular area to illustrate the point. I don't think it's the biggest area or perhaps even the most serious that might be picked out, but it certainly is an example; and how can we promise the people who . . . with relief without at the same time doing what we can to reduce government costs? Well, I think the answer is, we can't. Ah . . . This Bill does double the . . . ah . . . does double the appropriation. The . . . ah . . . budget . . . ah . . . the Bureau of the Budget is opposed to it. I think that it's . . . it's unfair to the people generally to tax them so that they compared this to may indulge their aesthetic senses. I'm not opposed to the arts, as a matter of fact, I have worked in the graphic arts field. I think I have an appropriation, and appreciation I should say for the . . . for the graphic arts; but I do feel that it is unfair to people generally to tax them in this area, people who may have no interest whatsoever, and I'm reminded, and Mr. Speaker, if I may?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Peoria, for what



purpose, Mr. Schraeder, do you wish to be recognized?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I think he's had more than enough time on this Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Well, I would suggest he does bring it to a close."

Hudson: "Okay, I'm . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I am coming to a close; but I would like to remind you once again of an admonition that Lincoln gave us and left for us all and that is that 'Government should do only those things for the people that they can't do for themselves', and I believe that here is a case in point of something that the people can very well do for themselves without imposing upon the taxpayers throughout this state. I would urge . . . I'm going to vote 'no' on this. I don't have an Amendment prepared to reduce this appropriation, but I'm going to vote 'no' on a simple protest, the protest being one of continuing, escalating governmental expense on taking on things that government was never intended to do in the first place and in so doing if we continue, will absolutely break the people and their finances and their ability to fund these programs."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 369. I feel that this is probably one of the few times that I'll disagree with the Lieutenant Governor and probably the only time that I'll agree with the Governor of this state. I've been opposed to this Art Council since its inception in 1967 when it came in at a small appropriation, and I voted against it then and I have continued to vote against it since. This is one of the worst wastes of money that we have ever indulged in . . . in State Government. We poured money down this rat hole in a period and when we're supposed to be in financial austerity and we see everburgeoning cross of so-called tribute to the arts when we see nothing but wasted money. It really, it's shocking when I think back a year ago when they came in with a \$600,000 budget; and a man appointed Chairman of that council who tried to bring some sense of responsibility to it; and Stanley Freling's (?) idea was that the state money would be seed money, but



he wanted while . . . and induce private money and to come in and support the arts in the State of Illinois. If not in place of it, at least in conjunction with the . . . the government. Well, I see that just two weeks ago Mr. Freling did . . . ah . . . resign. I guess he finally gave up after trying to fight this ever-growing monster . . . ah . . . for the whole year. He did accomplish one thing, a year ago I offered him, I said if we cut his budget by a mere \$50,000, but we said we'll put it back in if you'll come up with a \$100,000 of private funds. Well, he came up with over \$100,000 and was doing a remarkable job; but that's now . . . would come to an end and I would imagine that we'll be back to business as usual. This agency not only wastes money, it doesn't even administer itself well. The money that is expended I . . . I believe is approximately 25 percent of it is now going into salaries for executive administrators, and not only . . . not only is the money a line item for personal services being paid; but of the money . . . of the money that is to be spent for grants, these . . . these are monies that are supposed to be spent on the arts, over \$54,000 was spent in salaries. So who is getting who? Somebody has got a nice cush' thing here, and what have we been putting our money into? When you look back at . . . at the history of the Arts Council, we had a monster that we called the Free Street Theatre, and you all thought a Free Street Theatre as a bunch of hippies beating tamberines on the corners and throughout the state of this state . . . every corner of this state. We had a program that paid poets to go around and read their own poetry to school children. I think we paid them \$100 a poem to read the poets . . . ah . . . their poems to the kids, and outside of Glenland Brooks, I've never heard of an Illinois poet that was worth \$100. We . . . we've seen them pour money into the Mississippi River Festival down in Representative Calvo's district which was designed to . . . as to bail out the St. Louis Symphony Orchestra with the use of artists such as the unlamented Janis Joplin and Joan Biaz who couldn't even come to the defense of our country and we're still all . . . any of you who've driven the lower level of Wacker Drive you've seen the so-called



Yama Shita Mural is a . . . is a bunch of lines that are red, green, blue, yellow, orange, purple and turquoise. My . . . my kids could draw better pictures and probably make some sense of it, and I'm just afraid that he might be given the money by this council to do what he . . . he himself did, he wants to paint the entire lower level of Wacker Drive. I think it's about time we put a stop to this. First thing we know he'll be painting the side of the John Hancock Building with a mural of Representative Bluthardt. I urge you vote 'no'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speak . . . Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I have a couple of things to tell, too. Two years ago . . . two years ago, I had in my possession a pamphlet from Chicago where this Art Council paid for the production of a play in the honor of Fred Hampton, Black Panther Leader, then proceeded to put on the play and on top of that bused children in to see it, which glorified Fred . . . Fred Hampton and attacked the police of Chicago. Now, I don't think this is the kind of thing that I want my money going to. Now, in my town just about two years ago they came down to Millikin University. They went out of there with some \$60,000 as I recall . . . the cost of \$60,000 as I recollect and they had maybe a couple hundred people at the most in the four or five days that they were there in our town who went to see what they were doing. In the meantime, they would invite it out with the living the life around town with what you call the Life of Riley at our expense. So I certainly think it's time we should do one thing, vote this thing down, send it back to Conference Committee, and force the reduction in the amount of money they're getting 'cause they sure as the devil aren't worth it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg. I hope you realize that . . ."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, now that I've heard all of the art critics trying to prioritize the rat holes that we have been filling around this House, including the Art Council at the top of the list, reminds me of one of the funniest, funniest themes of my life in one of the funniest, funniest plays of my life. You've got as much business talking like that as you



have about nothing. After we have all gone from here, the only thing left in society will be a thin veneer left by the arts. The only thing that's ever survived for thousands of years, they are literature and the arts. This small contribution that can be made by this House of Representatives for the arts of Illinois are not quarrelling with the amount, I'm talking about the principle. For God's sake don't defeat the principle of the State of Illinois supporting the arts in some fashion, and the original profounding principle of this thing was fine. I think the warnings were out, the controls must be on, but don't let me hear the previous Speakers ever again as I have voted for your rat holes consistently ever raise the point of the fine arts as being a rat-hole operation for the State of Illinois. I rise in support of this Bill that may help to do something that makes the difference between men and beast in the State of Illinois, and it may well turn out to be the arts because, God help us, I don't think it will be the General Assembly. Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support this Bill. In fact, I'm quite appalled at the audacity of many of the Members of this House in opposing it. I sat here the other day and listened to some candor remarks, some of them rather cute, about some astroturf at the University of Illinois. It passed out of here almost unanimously. It cost, I think, it was a half a million dollars or so. Today, we're standing here talking about something that will benefit all of the people of the State of Illinois, fine arts programs that are put on in virtually in every city and small towns throughout this fine state, something that will last for years to come and not provide momentarily entertainment on one Saturday for a few people who want to drive to the University of Illinois and watch the football game played on astroturf. I'm surprised at the audacity and the lack of shall we say class and culture of many of the people that have proposed this. I certainly ask for your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I



think what we're talking here is an order of priority. As we sit and listen to many of the Speakers here, they show concern the fact that in one Session we raised the appropriation twice as much as what it was prior to, and yet at the same time day by day we cut appropriations on such . . . ah . . . things as salaries . . . ah . . . additional money into public aid, items that feed and clothe people of the State of Illinois. We're talking of survival, survival of a lot of people that \$1,000,000 would go forward in many areas to help people to 'stabilitate' society and I feel that consideration of the Art Council on a double appropriation like this is totally out of the question and I would solicit a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the previous question has been moved and . . . ah . . . back to Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I listened to answers to the . . . ah . . . some of the questions here. I would say this about my friend, Phil Collins, from the performance he put on maybe we ought to have him with the Arts Council so he can get on the stage for us, but I . . . I'll say this to you, when we talk about seed money, that's exactly what we're talking about in this Bill. Now, Phil, you can tell me about keeping the St. Louis Symphony Orchestra employed in the summertime at the Mississippi River Festival, but I'm going to tell you something, we draw an average of 7 . . . of some 12,000 people a night to that festival and that crowds is as high as 20,000 which gives us . . . the Illinois portion of the Metro-East area something to be proud of. Everybody goes every night of the summer to the Municipal Opera in St. Louis, which is a fine opera, but it gives us something in the Illinois portion, it gives us something that we can live down the idea that the East St. Louis that the Illinois portion is . . . is nothing and that southern Illinois is nothing. It gives us a chance to build our area, it gives us something to work with. Now, I want to tell



you something else, the Arts Council makes a good contribution to support this, but the people themselves in that area raise three times that amount of money in order to support that festival, the people, not the taxpayers, not the state, but individual contributions; and I want to tell you and there's people on this floor if they wish to explain their vote can tell you the same thing, the \$10,000 went to some of the cities, money went to Rockford, Moline, Peoria, Quincy, and all through this state to start operas and to start facilities and . . . and the arts of this kind. There was 97 joined for the last year of fine arts throughout this state by this council, and I tell you it's a sad day in this state if you can't afford .10 cents a person for culture for the people who are underprivileged and can't have it, to the Gentleman who said that you don't need it because people can go to the Art Museum in Chicago and you don't it, they can do it for themselves. Sure they can, but how many people in the State of Illinois don't live within distance or earn the money to get to the Art Museum? This brings art where it belongs to the underprivileged, to the people who need it the worst in our state, and I ask you to support this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those contrary will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this, and I just as a point of reference say that the State of New York which I don't think is any cul . . . more cultural than our state spends 10 times this amount per capita for their cultural arts and I would point out to my friends across the aisle that the President of the United States has increased the appropriation for the Cultural Arts 10 times in his administration. I don't think we can ask to be . . . to do any less."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I just want to point out that the Senate Appropriation's Committee doubled the Governor's budget request here. The Governor requested about \$600,000. It's been doubled to almost \$1,200,000, and this is called budget busting;



and when we get through with budget busting in this General Assembly, we'll have appropriated a billion dollars more than was in the Governor's budget for next year. This is an example, it's a small one, so I don't think we have to kill this Bill, but I want the public to know what we in the General Assembly are doing to the Governor's budget, we're killing it . . . we're busting it, we're increasing it by \$1,000,000,000 and here's a good example here. We doubled the Governor's budget request and we increased it by over half a million dollars."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, have all voted who wish? There seems to be adequate votes up here. There's no necessity for explaining votes, when it's so apparent that this Bill has passed. Ah . . . Mr. Lechowicz, for what reason do you wish to be recognized?"

Lechowicz: "Well, I . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think the previous Speaker should be corrected. The budget requested by the Governor was \$497,000. The 7 . . . the \$600,000 was . . . it was raised in the Senate, and I think we also have a responsibility as far as establishing priority; and if you go back on the Appropriation's Committee, I think we've cut more than enough . . . more than enough in other areas to compensate for this increase, and I resent the fact that that man doesn't state the facts as they are and I encourage an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Bluthardt: "Bluthardt 'aye', and take the Record. On this Bill there are 129 'ayes', 23 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 480."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 480, Pappas, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill approp . . . appropriates \$557,000 to the Department of Transportation to cover the states share of two AMTRAK trains operating between Chicago and Quincy and four Rock Island trains operating from Chicago and Peoria, and Chicago, Rock Island, Moline. These are operated separately from Am . . . AMTRAK and it's for the period,



January 1, '73 to June 30, '73. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass?

Those in favor will vote 'aye', contrary will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "What do you want. Toby? Barry 'aye'. Geo-Karis 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Geo-Karis 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "On this Bill there are 1 . . . 146 'ayes', 4 'nays'; and this Bill having received the necessary . . . constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 715."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 715, Telcser, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to township zoning. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "And for that purpose the Chair recognizes Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 715 is a Bill to exempt . . . ah . . . general registration from township zoning. The reason is . . . ah . . . is that these stations are already subject to a number of state and federal and county approvals, and it's in the public interest that they be built. I urge your support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Brinkmeier. All right, Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill. At the present time, about the only place that . . . ah . . . the local people can go when they're building these generating plants is at . . . to their township building laws. If this Bill passes, it will take . . . ah . . . the generating plants out from county loaning . . . zoning laws and they'll be able to build these plants wherever they so desire. In Committee the other day, the Chairman of the Illinois Commerce Commission testified that we probably would need about one plant a week if we're going to supply the energy needs of the . . . of the country. Ah . . . I'm certainly not opposed to these plants being built, but I think they should be built . . . ah . . . in areas where the land is of the right quality, 'depogaty' and not built on the good farming land; and I think that this Bill should be defeated and that the public facilities should be



under the township zoning laws. I ask everyone, especially the downstate Members, to take a good hard look at this Bill and vote against it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a few questions? I'n not very familiar with the Township Zoning Act. I wonder what counties are effected by this? Pete, can you give us a clue where this would have some impact?"

Pappas: "Well, the reason . . . ah . . . let me repest this, the reason for this, when . . . ah . . . there's going to be a station built, they have to get, you know, they have all of these hearings. They have to go before the Commerce Commission in case of a nuclear plant. They have to get the approval from the Atomic Energy Commission. They have to get the approval from the . . . ah . . . Federal and Environmental Protection Agency, the Corps of Engineers, the Illinois Envirnomental Protection Agency, the county as . . . ah . . . to zone for them. So, in other words, what you're doing is multiplying all the numbers of hearings that these people have to go through."

Skinner: "Well, you see . . . I understand what . . . you just talk about county zoning and municipal zoning, I understand what you're talking about. I've never heard about township zoning. Ah . . . Wnat counties have township zoning?"

Pappas: "I can't answer that, I don't know."

Skinner: "Does any expert, township government know what counties have township . . . ah . . . zoning?"

Unknown: "McMaster."

Skinner: "Perhaps Mr. McMaster could . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from Monmouth, Mr. McMaster's."

McMaster: "That happens to be Oneida, Mr. Speaker, thank you. But, Cal', our township zoning is available in those counties that do not have county zoning."

Skinner: "Then this would be having impact in JoDaviess County if not in the others?"

McMaster: "Well, I don't know the . . . ah . . . position of Jo Daviess County, whether they do have county zoning or not, but . . . ah . . ."



the only place you will find township zoning is where there is not county zoning."

Skinner: "Thank you very much, that would mean it would be probably effective only in the most remote of the Illinois counties."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Well, Mr. Skinner, I don't think too many downstate counties have county zonings, and this is the only protection that these . . . ah . . . counties have is to have township zoning. In our county, the . . . they tried to adopt county zoning, they couldn't get it through. Now, many of these townships are realizing the mistake they made and they're going to township zoning solely to protect themselves against these public utilities who are planning on building plants in the area. Now, these, you may not be aware of it, but these plants or any number of plants proposed for the State of Illinois and if your county isn't effected at the present time, it very well could be in the . . . in short time in the future, and if townships are going to this, that's their last sort of protection. They're not trying to keep these plants out, but what they would like to see is these plants built in the proper area."

Speaker Bluthardt. "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I arise to oppose this Bill and to start off with, I should like to say that over one half of the 102 counties in Illinois do not have county zoning, and within those counties, the only protection the unincorporated areas have is through township zoning. Now, I would like to suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that it is traditional in Illinois that land use policies and practices be implemented at the local level. Now, this is not a new Bill. This Bill comes before the General Assembly every Session, and it was admitted by the Sponsor of this Bill three years ago, not the present Sponsor, that this Bill was directed at one particular township and was intended for the benefit of one particular utility company. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's a lot of discussion out in Washington today regarding national land use policy, and I should



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

like to quote to you a United Senate Report . . . a United States Senate Report dated June 7, 1973 in which it states, 'In the next two decades, one industry alone, the electrical power industry, will need 3,000,000 acres of new rights of way for additional high voltage transmission lines and more than 140,000 acres of potential prime industrial sites for over 200 new major generating stations'. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that if we want to pursue down . . . land use policy and practice implemented at the local level and maintain the integrity of the state enabling zoning act that we now have that we vote 'no' on this Bill, that we beat it once again."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Rock Island to close, Mr. Pappas."

Pappas: "Ah . . . I'll be brief in closing, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . The thing is I believe that these people are . . . there are enough hearings held before a station can ever be built that anyone having any objection would have the opportunity to be heard, and I would urge your favorable support."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', and those against will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm arising in support of this Bill for one specific reason, and that is that the Atomic Energy Commission in the State of Illinois of which I happen to be one of the Co-chairmen is studying this problem very, very extensively, especially for the power plant siting and other energy needs; and, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we cannot allow a small municipality or a township to thwart many plants that the state's going to do under wide level . . . ah . . . by just abnoxious action. I think many times that the townships do not have the expertise to have proper land use, and as a result, they are not succeeding and giving proper zoning in regulations throughout the state. What will happen eventually is a checkerboard square of inconsistent land use and zoning regulations which will tie up the progress that not only the



state but of the townships themselves who've been trying to regulate those uses. It should be pointed out, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the . . . one of the opponents who spoke against this Bill is one of the greatest exponents of the Northeast Planning Commission, and that Planning Commission does not plan on a regional basis . . . on a township basis but a whole region, and I think that is the coming thing, and I hope planning throughout the State of Illinois. That is why I do not believe a township is felt to be limited to doing something that maybe a region would be beneficial . . . benefitted by it; and, therefore, I ask for the support of this particular legislation."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this Bill there are 75 'ayes' . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Pappas, wish to be recognized?"

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to do this at this time in the evening, but would you poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell. Arrigo. Barnes. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Cladwell. Calvo. Carter. Choate. Day. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Ebbesen. Getty. Giorgi. Granada. Griesheimer. Harpstrite. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. Hyde. Emil Jones. Kennedy. Klosak. Lechowicz. Macdonald. Mann. Martin. McCourt. McLendon. Kenney Miller. Murphy. Philip. Pierce. Rose. Schraeder. Sevcik. Timothy Simms. Totten. VonBoeckman. R. Walsh. Walters. Washington. B. B. Wolfe. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, Mr. Harpstrite? Vote Mr. Harpstrite 'aye'. Mr. . . . Mr. Dunn, Ralph Dunn 'aye'. Mrs. Macdonald 'no'. Mr. Dan Houlihan 'aye'. Mr. Murphy 'aye'. Mr. Palmer 'no'. Mr. Ewell?"

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, Palmer recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Ewell, are you asking a question?"

Clerk Selcke: "Palmer from . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Do you wish to be recorded as 'aye'? You are."

Clerk Selcke: "All right, he was recorded as 'no'."



Speaker Bluthardt: "Change Mr. Ewell from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Ebbesen 'aye'. Mr. Giorgi 'aye'. Mr. Schraeder? 'Aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, wait a minute . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Slow down . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "You got 82 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Beaupre 'aye'. Mr. Schoeberlein 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "From 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Klosak 'aye'. Simms 'a . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, wait a minute."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Simms 'aye'. Dan Houlihan, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized? Dan Houlian. All right, that's Jim Houlihan, my mistake. I apologize, Jim."

Houlihan: "Ah . . . Sam, how am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Houlihan: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I only make this point when somebody is touring the halls when a particular Bill is going on, but Al Cross is a friend of mine, but he's out here. This Bill effects him. He shouldn't be here, and I would hope that . . . send him on his way, and I'll shake hands with him later like I did with Norm . . . ah . . . also later, but he shouldn't be here and he knows it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Please go away, Mr. Cross. Pierce, Harold . . . Pierce 'aye'. Taylor 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "I got him. Taylor is already recorded."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "At the proper time, I'd like to have a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. VonBoeckman 'aye'. For what purpose does the the Gentleman from . . . Mr. Neff, wish to be recognized?"

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, change me from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change Mr. Neff from 'no' to 'aye'. McLendon 'aye'. Bluthardt 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "You know, you've seen a lot . . . new rule, Paul, I told



him to get on or get off . . . 43 'nays' . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Well, is Mr. Miller? Mr. Ken Miller?"

Clerk Selcke: "No, not voting."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Vote him 'aye'. Vote Getty 'aye'. Mr. Bob Holloway 'aye'. Mr. McMaster's, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to say that certainly it is still possible to verify Roll Calls, but to the late hour . . . due to the amount of legislation that we have before us, I shall not verify this Roll Call, and I would like the Sponsor to know it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, may we have the Roll Call, please? On this Bill there are 94 'ayes', 53 'nays'. For what purpose does the Gentleman, Mr. Joe Han . . . Fennessey, wish to be recognized?"

Fennessey: "I asked for a verification."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Let's see, . . . ah . . . the reading 94 'ayes' . . . 53 'nays'; and the Gentleman has asked for a verification. The Clerk will verify the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Arnell. Beaupre. Bluthardt. Boyle. Brandt. Brummet. Capparelli. Capuzi. Collins. Davis. Deavers. DiPrima. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Ebbesen. Enton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fleck."

Speaker Bluthardt: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, wish to be recognized."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I believe it's customary for the person whose name that has been called and is present to raise his hand. I would request that."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Would you please and kindly raise your hand if you're in the House. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo- . . . Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Ron Hoffman. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Jacobs."

Speaker Bluthardt: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry, wish to be recognized?"

Barry: "James Houlihan was called as an affirmative and he's changed



from affirmative to negative."

Speaker Bluthardt: "That's correct, will the Clerk check in . . . correct the Record on that?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, I did. I . . . I changed Holloway instead of Houlihan, I'm sorry. Jim Holloway is an affirmative vote, and J. Houlihan is a negative vote. Dave Jones. Keller. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Madigan. Mahar. Maragos. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McCormick. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenney Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. Pappas. Patrick. Pierce. Peters. Polk. Randolph. Ryan. Schisler. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sharp. Shea. Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson. VonBoeckman. Wall. Washburn. Does he got a report? . . . Wall. Washburn. J. J. Wolf. Yourell."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Romie Palmer, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Palmer: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is currently recorded as voting 'no'."

Palmer: "Well, currently record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Record Mr. Palmer as 'aye'. Mr. Williams, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Williams: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "How is Mr. Williams recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Williams is recorded as voting 'no'."

Williams: "Ah . . . Will you please change that to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change Mr. Williams from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Huskey, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Huskey: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "How is Mr. Huskey recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Huskey, the Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Huskey: "Would you change that to 'aye'?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change Mr. Huskey from 'aye' to 'no'. For what purpose does the Gentleman, Mr. Kelly, wish to be recognized? He



wish to be recognized to vote 'aye'? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change that to 'aye'. Any questions of the affirmative Roll Call? The count is what, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . 75 . . . 85 . . . 95 . . . 100 . . . 98 'ayes' . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The count is now 98 'ayes'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 49 'nays'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "49 'nays'. Questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Are there no questions of the Roll Call? All right, Mr. Fennessey. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Ah . . . Representative Brandt?"

Clerk Selcke: "There he is."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Brandt's in his seat."

Fennessey: "Collins? Collins?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Phil Collins? In the rear of the room."

Fennessey: "Deavers?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "In his seat."

Fennessey: "Ebbesen?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ebbesen? In the center aisle."

Fennessey: "Epton?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Epton? Is Mr. Epton on the floor? How is Mr. Epton recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Roll."

Fennessey: "Ewell?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Ray Ewell's in his seat."

Fennessey: "Fleck?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Charles Fleck? Mr. Epton is back on the floor, put him back on the Roll. Is Charles Fleck in the House? How is Mr. Fleck recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, take him off the Roll."

Fennessey: "Flinn?"



Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Flinn? Is Mr. Flinn in his seat? Is he in the House? How is Mr. Flinn recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Roll."

Fennessey: "Gibbs?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Joe Gibbs? He's up in front in the press box."

Fennessey: "Is Mr. Gibbs here?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Yes, he's in the press box."

Fennessey: "Okay, Hanahan?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Hanahan here? How's Mr. Hanahan recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Fennessey: "Harpstrite?"

Clerk Selcke: "There he is, Harpstrite."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Harpstrite? He's in the aisle over there on the left."

Fennessey: "Hart?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Who?"

Fennessey: "Hart? Hart? Representative Hart?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Hart? How is Mr. Hart recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Roll."

Fennessey: "Ah . . . Representative J. Houlihan?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "J. Houlihan?"

Clerk Selcke; "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Fennessey: "Okay."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Record."

Fennessey: "Keller?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Who? Keller? Mr. Keller is in the back of the room."

Fennessey: "Klosak?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Henry Klosak? He's in his seat."

Fennessey: "Lauer, Representative Lauer?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Lauer? He's eating a sandwich in his seat."

Fennessey: "Lemke?"



Speaker Bluthardt: "Lemke? Is Mr. Lemke here? How is Mr. Lemke recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Roll."

Fennessey: "Molloy?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Molloy is in his seat."

Fennessey: "Polk?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Polk? He's in the rear of the . . . ah . . . room."

Fennessey: "Randolph?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Randolph is in his seat."

Fennessey: "Schisler?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Schisler? He's in the aisle right behind you."

Fennessey: "Springer?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Doc' Springer? Is Doc' on the floor? Is he in his seat? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Roll."

Fennessey: "Stone? Representative Stone?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Representative Stone? Charlie Fleck is back on the floor, put him back on the Record. Is Mr. Stone here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Record."

Fennessey: "Terzich, Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Terzich? He's there on your right or my right rather."

Fennessey: "J. J. Wolf?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "J. J. Wolf, is he here? He's over to the left of me."

Fennessey: "Okay. Yourell?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Buz Yourell here? Is he on the floor? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Record."



Fennessey: "Beaupre? Representative Beaupre?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Beaupre on the floor? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Record."

Fennessey: "Capparelli?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Capparelli? Right in front of us."

Fennessey: "Representative Davis?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Deacon Davis? All right, is Davis on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off."

Fennessey: "LaFleur?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. LaFleur? In the center aisle near the rear. How is the Gentleman . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman . . . ah . . . from Lawrence wish to be recognized, Mr. Cunningham?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Cunningham: "Change that to 'aye', please."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change that to 'aye'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, wish to be recognized?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Duff: "Change that to 'aye' please."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change Mr. Duff to 'aye'. For what purpose does the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald, wish to be recognized?"

Macdonald: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "How is she recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Lady . . . the Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Macdonald: "Change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change the vote to 'aye'. Put Mr. Stone back on the Record. Put Mr. Hart back on the Record."

Fennessey: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Laurino on the floor? He's standing on the



floor today. Put Mr. Laurino back on the Record."

Fennessey: "Representative Mahar?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Mr. Mahar? He's on the . . . he wasn't taken off. Mr. Mahar is on the floor."

Fennessey: "Is Representative Murphy on the floor?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Is Representative Murphy on the floor? How is Representative Murphy recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "He's here on the side. He's on the floor. Further questions of the Roll Call?"

Fennessey: "Telcser? Representative Telcser?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Telcser is on the left."

Fennessey: "Representative Bob Thompson?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Bob Thompson is in his seat. Any further questions?"

Fennessey: "R. Hoffman?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "R. Hoffman? Ron Hoffman on the floor? How is Mr. Hoffman recorded, R. Hoffman?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Take him off the Record."

Fennessey: "Madigan is right here. Madigan?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Madigan is in his seat."

Fennessey: "What did you say, Polk? Polk? Representative Polk?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Polk on the floor? He's in the rear, that's the second time you've asked for it. I suggest that you bring this to a close, you startin' to duplicate your inquiries. No further inquiries? All right, give me the Record. The Gentleman from Cook, William Walsh. Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Please record me as voting 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Record Mr. Richard Walsh as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. McAuliffe, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

McAuliffe: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bluthardt: "How is Mr. McAuliffe recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."



McAuliffe: "Change that to 'aye' please."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Change that to 'aye'. On this Bill there are 95 'ayes' and 40 . . . 47 'nays'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I now move that the vote by which House Bill 715 passed be reconsidered."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman having voted on the prevailing side now moves to reconsider the vote by which that passed. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I move that that motion lie upon the table."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Mr. Farley moves that that motion lie upon the table. All in favor of the motion to lie upon the table indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Senate Bill 696."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 696, Leinenweber, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 696 is a Bill to aid counties impacted by state penal institutions. The effected counties by the Bill are Will, it contains the Joliet State branch of the Illinois State Penitentiary, Livingston County, which has the Pontiac branch for the Illinois State Penitentiary, and the Dwight Reformatory for Women, Randolph County with the Menard branch, Fayette County with Vandalia State Farm, and addition is Kane County with three state correctional insititons. Now, Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to return briefly to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Second Reading."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Grotberg, amends Senate Bill 696 and so forth."

Leinenweber: "On behalf of Mr. Grotberg, this is a clarifying Amendment suggested by . . . ah . . . Minority Staff. It was amended



previously to include as an impacted county counties which contain three or more state correctional institutions. Ah . . . It was pointed out that state correctional institutions was not . . . ah . . . defined for purposes of this Act and so . . . ah . . . Amendment #2 defines is which limits its application to Kane County, which . . . ah . . . has three . . . ah . . . correctional institutions, being the Geneva School for Girls, St. Charles School for Boys, and Valley View School for Boys. I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall this Amendment be adopted?

All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. This Bill having been read a third time, the Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, this Bill will cost the state in addition approximately \$68,000 . . . ah . . . probably a little less than that because some of these counties are receiving minimal amount now. Currently the law provides that these impacted counties receive a grant from the state for defraying costs of prosecutions for the state's attorneys staff, but it's based upon the population of the county and not the inmate population. So there's no rhyme nor reason to the present formula. Senate Bill 696 changes this formula to provide state payments based upon present inmate population. Now, the reason why this Bill is necessary and equitable is because of the huge amount of work required by the state's attorney office in counties with state penal institutions. Ah . . . The type of work required of the processing of habeas corpus proceedings . . . ah . . . prosecution of escapes and attempted escapes and prosecution of crimes of violence among inmates and between inmates and prison personnel. The volume is absolutely tremendous and the . . . where this type of work will tie up a state's attorneys office for weeks on end in trial because of the tendency of the prison inmate absolutely to refuse to plead guilty. This requires many, many . . . ah . . . trials which taxes a state's attorneys staff in these smaller counties which



are cover . . . are covered by this Bill or is not prepared to handle. Therefore, I think this is equitable, I think it's highly long overdue, and I would certainly request an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Leinenweber: "Yes."

Ewell: "Does Cook County get any aid for this type of prosecution? I know we have many, many institutions."

Leinenweber: "Representative, I'm sure they do, but they did not under this Act, because this Act prior was limited to counties under 1,000,000 . . . and the fact . . . amended . . . now, I'm sure they do, but under what amount they get or under what Act, I don't know."

Ewell: "Well, I don't know either and I just think it's a little discriminatory for everybody to dip into the bag to help their own . . . ah . . . situation because in Cook County we have countless cases as you decribed, habeas corpus, trouble between . . . ah . . . the inmates, et cetera; and it presents a tremendous burden. Is there anyone who can enlighten us on that as to whether we get any aid in Cook County?"

Leinenweber: "I'm sure you do."

Ewell: "I know, but I've been looking for it and I haven't found out anywhere, I haven't found it anywhere."

Leinenweber: "Perhaps Representative Getty who is with the state's attorneys staff can enlighten you on that point."

Ewell: "Well, may I ask this, I know you get the burden of this thing, but don't you also get some benefits by having these institutions in terms of providing employment for the community to different people . . . all the support facilities?"

Leinenweber: "Well, . . . well, that's true, but on the other hand, the amount of practicatorial work involved in this particular industry is so grossly out of proportion of any other type of industry that you make the same argument in federal installation. So why should you get shcool aid because of impacted areas; and the



point is that this type of institution is it produces so much work that . . . ah . . . it's only equitable that the state assess these counties in particular some of the smaller counties that . . . ah . . . really have small staffs. Again I say, and I think I see Representative Sangmeister in his seat and he was a former state attorney in our county and I think perhaps he can explain more adequately than I can the . . . the immense amount of work involved."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, let's . . . the Gentleman . . . some people are getting mighty nervous around here and I would suggest that unless you intend on killing a lot of Bills that you make your remark . . . remarks very short. Now, Mr. Sangmeister from Will County."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker, I intent to make my remarks short as long as Mr. Leinenweber mentioned here of course I did hold the office and all I can say is that under the present law . . . ah . . . the assistance . . . ah . . . what we received from the State of Illinois is based on population which is certainly not very realistic. The state's attorneys office when I left it involves about 15 percent of its time and it's now up to 20 to 25 percent of its time just handling the cases that are coming out of Stateville, the Joliet branch and the Diagnostic Center, and certainly this additional amount which . . . ah . . . Mr. Leinenweber described is \$65,000 is not for our county alone, as I believe that only about 18 for Will County. It doesn't even begin to reach . . . ah . . . the amount that it should be to properly compensate the county for the amount of work that the state's attorney is doing on state business and not on the local business of Will County. I strongly urge . . . ah . . . an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Further discussion? All right, the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Leinenweber: "Yes."

Calvo: "Fine. Ah . . . Let me ask you this, Sir, . . . ah . . . if you had a . . . ah . . . a prison riot there at Stateville or . . .



ah . . . you know, some murders within the prison . . . ah . . . in a kind of a mass way, would your state's attorneys office there be of sufficient strength to handle that?"

Leinenweber: "Well, it would depend, of course, on how immense the job was . . . ah . . ."

Calvo: "Well, you know, what I wonder is where were you . . ."

Leinenweber: "Ah . . . It's quite possible that they wouldn't be, that's true, and depending on how bad it was."

Calvo: ". . . all right, sure. Mr. Leinenweber, I wonder where you were yesterday. Now . . . now you're . . . you're here wanting an additional . . . some additional money to give your state's attorney additional assistance because you have the prison there in your county, and yesterday I wanted to . . . to amend the state-wide Grand Jury Bill to provide that the Attorney General could give you that assistance and that your state's attorney when he deemed it necessary to petition the Chief Circuit Clerk to use the State Grand Jury for that purpose and the Attorney General's office; and I don't understand where you were and why you didn't support that Amendment. Can . . . can you tell me?"

Leinenweber: "Well, this is a better approach."

Calvo: "Well, I don't see how it can be. The State of Illinois has these people in the Attorney General's office working every day and you're going to have a State Grand Jury that we're paying for; and I don't know how . . . it looks to me it's the worst approach because it's going to cost more money. That's all."

Speaker Bluthardt: "All right, the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Kane, Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the previous question carries. Mr. Friedland . . . now Mr. Leinenweber to close."

Leinenweber: "Well, briefly again as I say this is . . . this is only equity. The law now does provide a minimal amount of assistance, but the law now provides that that assistance is based upon the size of the county which is no rational relation to the problem which is



the size of the inmate population, and there's a tremendous amount of work involved here and again I point out that these inmates and I certainly don't quarrel with their right to do so but always or almost always insist on a trial. This ties up your staff and courts on end for weeks and weeks at a time. As a matter of fact, probably there's a state's attorney tied up full-time, two state's attorneys full-time all year around. So I certainly would ask your help in . . . ah . . . bringing up this minimal assistance not only in behalf of my county but the other counties which are involved. Thank you."

Speaker Bluthardt: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye', those against will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "In explaining my 'yes' vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to make a point of information to the Members of the House. We just tabulated up back here that we've got 150 Bills to go and if we can do 10 an hour or 1 every 60 minutes, we'll get done at 10:30 tomorrow morning. So I would suggest that we move along as rapidly as we can."

Speaker Bluthardt: "I agree with you. I was told this was a non-controversial Bill as I called it. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Bluthardt 'aye'. On this Bill there are 119 'ayes', 3 'nos'; this Bill having received the necessary constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, in the meantime, for what reason does the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . where is it, Mr. Polk, Rock Island? . . . Mr. Polk, wish to be recognized?"

Polk: "A point of personal privilege, Sir, if I may. A point that I made earlier this afternoon that vote just got 110 or some votes with 3 negatives. If the amount of time that we spent on discussing it had really no effect on anybody changing their vote, and if we hope to get out of here sometime before Tuesday, I hope we can get to it."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Your point is well taken."

Clerk Selcke: "Let me . . . while he's talking, let me do that . . ."

Speaker Bluthardt: "Go ahead, we have . . . no, just a minute, I have to



. . . if anyone has any of the nonconcurrency motions . . . ah . . . to make, will you bring them up to the Clerk, turn them in in the meantime so we can get at those, and now we have Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bills 1484, 911, 312, 70, 820, . . . ah . . . 608, together with the following Amendments, and I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from the House with . . . has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 in the House of Representatives of the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill #33, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments #1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill . . . what are these . . . House Bill 620, and I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference to justify Members, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #2 of the House of Representatives of the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 83, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 589, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has reconsidered its previous action and receded from Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 188, . . . ah . . . action taken



by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report, Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 794, and the Senate requests that a second Conference Committee to consist of five Members from each House to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 . . . ah . . . action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report on House Bill 827 . . . ah . . . adopted by the Senate June 29, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, Conference Committee Report on House Bill 318 adopted by the Senate June 29, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 282 adopted by the Senate June 29, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 926, adopted by the Senate June 29, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, House Joint Resolution 69, concurred in by the Senate June 29, 1963, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of . . . House of Representatives in the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 286, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973 . . . hey . . . concurred . . . maybe we'd better point that out to the girls up there . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment



1 to a Bill for the following title, House Bill 164; I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference to consist of five Members of each House, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment to a Bill of the following title, House Bill #458, and I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 495, I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Eeg, God, look at these, ain't that awful, don't figure on going home before the 4th.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate refused to recede from their Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 603, and I'm further directed to inform the House that the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill #610, and I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference to consist of five Members of each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment to a Bill of the following title, House Bill #615, and I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference to consist of five Members of each



House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1133, and I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members of each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments #2 and 4 to House Bill 757, I'm further directed to ask for . . . inform the House that the Senate request a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments the Bill of the following title, House Bill 856, I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate request a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment 1 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1282, and I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate request a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1532, I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1628, I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr.



Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1640, and I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1790, and I'm further directed to inform the House the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members from each House to consider the differences, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following titles, House Bills 123 . . . ah . . . 213, 1443, and 218, together with the following Amendments in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Shea: "We'll . . . we'll now move to the order of Concurrences on Supplemental Calendar #1. Go right down the list, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 208, Borchers."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1. All it does is . . . ah . . . an Amendment was added on in the Senate that . . . ah . . . paper and cardboard be permitted to be salvaged at the request . . . some large landfills of the northern part of the state where they were being forced to bury . . . ah . . . large . . . several truckloads a day of . . . ah . . . cardboard or paper instead of salvaging them as should be done in relation to recycling, and I request the support of the . . . ah . . . the House in its concurrence. I so moved."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any questions? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr.



Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Webber, it seems to me you'd be making a mistake on your Bill because . . . ah . . . you had gotten away and out of the House with the . . . ah . . . present form and that is the . . . the authority to . . . ah . . . deal with scrap metal. Now, you're putting yourself right back in the spot where your . . . could be criticized and that is if you are going to allow . . . ah . . . people to go into landfills to collect paper and cardboard and things of that sort, it seems to me you fall victim to the kind of criticism that public health would be concerned about people mowing on landfills, the dangers of . . . ah . . . people scraping for that kind of material; and I think it weakens your Bill. I didn't like it originally. I would think it would weaken your position and I think we ought to oppose the Bill at this point."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further any further questions?"

Clerk Selcke: "All right, the question is . . . let's go."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to close."

Borchers: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, frankly the . . . ah . . . there was only one, only a paid employee would be able to do this, the same, a metal, and none other. There would be no one around. I have here the environmental from the State of Illinois paper as of February 19 and March 1973. I'll read one paragraph, 'In Illinois', now this is our own publication, 'In Illinois, the 18,000 tons of waste paper generated daily is equivalent to 300,000 trees, 4,400 acres of forests used per day'. Now the saving and recycling of this paper means a lot of recycling and saving of our natural resources. It's ridiculous to bury it. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 208? All those . . . ah . . . all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. This is final action. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. McMaster 'aye'. This . . . this con . . . concurrence having received 73 'aye' votes and 46 'no' votes, the House having



not concurred in Senate Amendment #1."

Clerk Selcke: "311, Hanahan."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Clerk Selcke: "Not here."

Unknown: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 698, Katz."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, with regard to Senate Amendemt #1 to House Bill 698."

Katz: "I would move concurrence with an Amendment that the Senate . . . ah . . . placed on the Bill containing the agency appropriation for the Comprehensive Health Planning Agency. The Amendment simply incorporates a cost-of-living increase, and I would move that we concur in the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 698. Are there any further questions? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. This motion having received 129 'aye' votes, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 698."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 840, Washington."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, with regards to Senate Amendments #1, #2 and #3 to House Bill 840."

Washington: "Now, Mr. Speaker, is it possible to handle all three Amendments in one Roll Call?"

Speaker Shea: "Yes, it is."

Washington: "Then I move concurrence with Senate Amend . . . Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 840. Senate Amendment #1 simply provides the Governor's pay plan which we've been adopting to . . . ah . . . reallocate \$20,000 . . . ah . . . reduction made in the House with no dollar changes; and Senate Amendment #3 increases their appropriation by \$89,700 pursuant to a knock on the House Bills 1877 and Senate Bill 696. I move adoption of . . . concurrence in these three Senate Amendments."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman has moved for concurrence in Senate Amendments #1, #2 and #3 to House Bill 840. Are there any que . . .



further questions? The question is, shall the House adopt those Amendments? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 137 'ayes', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, #2 and #3 to House Bill 840. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "While I'm on my feet, Mr. Speaker, is it proper to call Senate Bill 461?"

Speaker Shea: "Not hardly, Mr. Washington. We're going to do the Concurrences and then get the appropriations out and then go back to the Calendar."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 873, Getty."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 873."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 873. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 7 . . . 873."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 876, Douglas."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas. Is he on the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 878, Kozubowski."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski, with regards to House Bill 878."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #1 provides for a cost-of-living increase for the Department of Law Enforcement; and I would ask the concurrence of the House."

Speaker Shea: "There are six . . . there are six of them, Mr. Kozubowski. Do you want to take them . . . or I guess there are 5, 1, 3, 5 and 6. Do you want to take them all together?"

Kozubowski: "Yeah."

Clerk Selcke: "Move, move. So moved."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 increases the amount for personal services in the Department of boiler inspection and provides additional funds for the purchase of police cars . . .



for the Illinois State Police. Amendment #3 provides for the cost-of-living increase for personal services as provided in Amendment #2. Amendment #5 provides that none of the funds provided for in this appropriation shall be used in violation of the Illinois Constitution as far as the purchase of eavesdropping equipment. Amendment #6 increases the appropriation for race track security by \$245,000. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House concur in these Amendments."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5, 6 to House Bill 878. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 134 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to House Bill 878. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1045, McGrew."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Will you take that out of the Record for just a second."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1211, Hyde."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Henry Hyde. Ah . . . I don't see him on the floor. Take Mr. Hyde . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1542, Krause."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause, is recognized with regards to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1542."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 increases . . . ah . . . personal services \$2,160 . . . ah . . . retirement system \$130, social security \$125; total increase, \$2,450, and I move for concurrence."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any questions? The Gentleman moves that the House do now concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1542. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk; and this motion having received 143 'aye' votes and no . . . no 'nay' votes, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1



to House Bill 1542. House Bill . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 585, Getty."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, with regards to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1585."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . is the result of . . . ah . . . work over in the Senate in Committee. It is agreeable to both the Environmental Protection Agency, the Administration and . . . ah . . . to those who have worked on it; and I do move for concurrence."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further questions? If there are none, the Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1585. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Shea 'aye'. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this motion there are 139 'aye' votes . . . this motion having received the constitutional majority, Senate Amendment #1 to House 1585 is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1748, Schlickman."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, with regards to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1748."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1748 as passed by this House appropriated \$30,000,000 for a program of aid for non-public school children. The House as passage was in light of Cook County Circuit Court Judge Benjamin Swartz' decision that 2/3 of our program was constitutional, but that 1/3 regretfully in his words 'was not so'. That part, or excuse me, this past week, this past Monday, the United States Supreme Court apparently concurred with Judge Swartz by striking down a part of the art program similar to that question part of ours. The Senate Sponsor of House Bill 1748 believes that as a matter of credibility we should strike from House Bill 1948 \$4,500,000 for the question part. I, therefore, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, regretfully move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1748."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1748. Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall . . . shall the House adopt Senate Amendment



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

#1 . . . shall . . . shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1748? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 'ayes', 6 'nays'; and this Bill . . . this concurrence having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 311, Hanahan."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes . . . recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, with regards to Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 311."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 311, which is a strikebreaker Bill. The question of professional picketers is defined in the Bill with would . . . which would prohibit the picketing as a professional way of making a living, like the Bill calls for the abolition of strikebreaking. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 311. Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #3? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. I just left it blank, I'll vote you on . . . do you want to vote on this good labor Bill? Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. All right. This motion having received 144 'aye' votes, no 'nay' votes, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 311. I don't see Mr. McPartlin on the floor. Move to House Bill 189 for Mr. Merlo, the Gentleman from Cook. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo, is recognized with regards to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1889."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Amendment attempts to clarify the provision of the law with respect to pension credit to municipal employees annuity fund for services rendered as an appointee of the Chicago Land Clearance Commission, the function of which was assumed by the Department of Urban Renewal in Chicago. This will make it possible for former employees of the Department of Urban Renewal now working for the city to receive credit for the time



that they served as that. The . . . ah . . . this concept is approved by the Illinois Pension Laws Commission. I move the adoption or the concurrence."

Speaker Shea: "The ques . . . the question is, shall the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1889? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. This question having received 140 'ayes' and no 'nays', the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1889. House Bill 164. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman or Barry, I'm sorry."

Barry: "Bureau moves nonconcur, please."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 164. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. The House does non . . . not concur in the Amendments to House Bill 164."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah . . . We just . . . that was done previously . . . yeah, 164 is on the regular Calendar and we nonconcurred."

Speaker Shea: "Toby, I think that's the second time we did it, but we make sure. On the . . . on Supplemental Calendar #1 on the order of Nonconcurrence is . . . call 'em, will you, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 3, Catania."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Ms. from Cook, Ms. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that the House do not recede from its Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3, and I ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. All those in favor . . . favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House does re . . . refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3, and there is . . . and a Conference Committee will be appointed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 33, Taylor."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, with regards to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 33."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recede to House



Amendment #1 to Senate Bill #33."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House does now recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 33. This is final action. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Shea 'aye'. Have all who . . . have all voted who wish? Take the Record. I'll go back to it for you. Berman 'aye'. This question having received 137 'aye' votes and no zero votes is declared adopted, and the House does recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 33. Senate Bill 83. Slip in announcement, Ladies and Gentlemen, the light behind the Speaker's podium is not on and there will be no pictures permitted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 83, Walters."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Walters, for the purposes of a motion."

Walters: "I move to nonconcur with the Senate to have a Conference Committee please."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Walters, refuses to re . . . make the motion to refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 with regards to Senate Bill 83. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede . . . ah . . . from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 83, and the Gentleman requests the appointment of a Committee of Conference. House Bill 876. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas, is recognized with regards to Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 876."

Douglas: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with . . . ah . . . with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 876 which . . . which was simply a routine cost-of-living increase for employees of the Department of Public Health. The Amendment which the Senate put on the Bill was a cost-of-living increase of I think 3.7 percent; and I move to accept the Amendment from the Senate."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the acceptance of Senate Bill . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 876. All those in . . . in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; this is final action. Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record,



Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 140 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House does accept Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 876. The Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew, with regards to House Bill 1045."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . I would move to concur with Amendment #1, the Senate added it to House Bill 1045. This simply . . . ah . . . adds another \$19,400 for the cost of living for the Vocational Education Division."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. McGrew, there is another An . . . Amendment, Amendment #3. What do you wish to do with that?"

McGrew: "I move to nonconcur with Amendment #3."

Speaker Shea: "All right, then we'll have to take a Roll Call and a voice vote. The Gentleman moves that the House do now concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1045. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. The question having received 104 . . . 145 'aye' votes and no 'nay' votes, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1045. With regards to Senate Amendment #3, the Gentleman moves that the House do no . . . not concur with Senate Amendment #3. All those in favor . . . favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'; and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1045. While we're waiting for Mr. Glickman to get back with the appropriation Bills, we'll go to Senate Bills, Third Reading, and Senate Bill 533. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 533, a Bill for an Act to amend Section A of the Court Reporter's Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill which effects the maximum pay standard for the court reporters in the State of Illinois. As some of you know, these . . . these salaries are regulated by statute and presently the maximum salary is \$13,000. This is now being raised to \$16,000; however, it is not mandatory and they must earn it; so that although it effects 174 possible court reporters, it will not necessarily result



in any of them getting an increase. The House previously passed this in the last Session by a vote of 138 to nothing, and I would suggest again a favorable vote. I should add that in Committee some of the attorneys involved asked what the court reporter did with the money they received for the transcripts they made, and in answer to that question, they themselves pocket that money, and over a period of time the . . . ah . . . the court administrators made inquiries as to how much they received from that and they found that the average income that the court reporters receive by working this additional overtime amounted to approximately \$30 a week. Therefore, I solicit your vote in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further questions? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer. Romie, I see you there."

Palmer: "Well, I . . . I just wondered, Mr. Speaker. I . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we all know what this Bill is about. It's to raise the salary of the court reporters from \$13,000 to \$16,000. To my point all along and Committee and otherwise has been simply this, our litigants pay twice, the people that we represent, our client, who pay the tax money to pay the . . . ah . . . to pay the salary, but in addition to this we have to pay the transcript cost and these transcript costs run up into an awful lot of money for all of us. I have never thought this arrangement right. If they're to be paid for by the people of the State of Illinois, their salaries, then it should be that and they shouldn't be moonlighting on the side. Now, it's simply that and nothing more. I have used court reporters as long as I've practiced law. There has been a time, I believe, when they were only paid the fees that they charged the lawyers. Sometime later on they came in with a salary paid for by all of the people of the state, but I don't think it's right that they should have it both ways. Many of the cases that the . . . that . . . that . . . in many instances, court reporting fees can run up . . . run up \$1,000 or \$2,000 and it isn't right that they should be taking it both ways, and I urge the defeat of this Bill. \$13,000 plus what they get on the side is enough right now."



Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we want to have a poor quality of people taking our records, important court records, we're going to have it. They can make more money being independent on the outside of the courthouses than by being in there. The cost of living has gone up, we're going to have to be realistic. I, for one, do not have . . . want any transcript or court records with errors in it, and I can tell you right now you're not going to attract a good, smart, intelligent type of court reporter who can take shorthand or stenotyping once it's done unless you raise their salary because they're not going to stay. I support this Bill and ask everyone to vote for it."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton, to close. He just says he wants to pass the Bill. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 108 'aye' votes, 13 'nay' votes, and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. All right, Gentlemen, we're going to try to move the appropriation Bills out. We've got a problem with the Senate. We want to get the appropriation Bills over there and then if there are Bills with Amendments, we're going to try to run those over. Senate Bill 82, Representative Mahar."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 82, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Mahar: "Senate Bill 82 is an appropriation . . . ah . . . that was originally \$5,000,000 and cut down by the Appropriation's Committee to \$100,000, which was standard practice, and . . . ah . . . I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any questions? The Gentleman moves that the House Bill . . . or Senate Bill 82 . . . ah . . . be passed. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. This question having received 134 'aye' votes and 3 'nay' votes is . . . Senate Bill 82 is, hereby, declared passed having received the constitu-



tional majority."

Clerk O'Brien: "165, Calvo."

Speaker Shea: "Senate Bill 165, Representative Calvo."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 165, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gent' . . ."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . this Bill merely appropriates . . . ah . . . \$55,000 to the . . . to create a historical . . . ah . . . monument for the purchase . . . ah . . . state memorial, I should say, for the purchase of the Benjamin Stevenson House in Edwardsville, Illinois. This is a house that was occupied once by former Governor of the State of Illinois, Governor 'Ninian' Edwards. Ah . . . This Bill was passed without a dissenting vote in the Senate, without a dissenting vote in either the Senate or House Appropriation's Committee; and I respectfully request your favorable vote."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further questions? The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt Senate Bill 185. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. This Bill having received 139 'aye' votes and 4 'nay' votes being a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. That was Senate Bill 165. The Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, with regards to Senate Bill 185 . . . or 183."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might have leave of the House to have 183 through 186 heard at one time."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves for leave to hear Senate Bills 183, 184, 185 and 186; hearing no objections, read the Bills, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 183, an Act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees of State Universities Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 184, an Act making appro . . . appropriation to the Board of Trustees Teacher's Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 185, an Act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees State Employee's Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 186, an Act making appropri-



ation to the Board of Trustees Judge's Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a very important series of Bills. Senate Bill 183 through 186 address themselves to the problem of properly funding the unfunded accrued liabilities of the state universities, downstate teachers, Chicago teachers, state employees and judge retirement systems. Ah . . . The dollar figures have been reduced by Amendment to approximately a half of what they're originally introduced that, somewhat less, I believe, and the amounts requested in this . . . these Bills will begin the amortization of these unfunded accrued liabilities over a thirty-year period . . . ah . . . bringing them up to a 65 percent funding level, which will make them actuarially sound. Now, the current actuarial reserve deficiencies . . . ah . . . that presently exist in these pension funds . . . ah . . . I won't itemize them unless I don't have to, but it is somewhat in excess of \$3,000,000,000 that is, \$3,000,000,000. So it seems to me and most Members of this House, I believe, as subject to situations should not be allowed to continue. Ah . . . For years we've been voting increased pension benefits to all these employed, and now is the time to pay the piper, otherwise there will come a time when these state employees and state teachers and university teachers will not be able to collect on their pension, and I would ask the support of this House."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from McClain, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "I wonder if the Sponsor might just tell us . . . ah . . . the amount of money on each one of these . . . ah . . . appropriations."

Wolf: "Okay, if you will bear with me. Sure, but . . . all right, for the State Employees Retirement System . . . ah . . . \$10,408,230, . . . ah . . . Chicago Teacher's . . . ah . . . \$11,888,065, the State Teacher's Retirement System . . . ah . . . \$61,265,446; the University's Retirement System, \$12,138,599 and the Judge's, \$1,373,886."

Bradley: "Thank you very much."



Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Will the Sponsor yield to . . . yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He indicates he will."

Barry: "Is this in the Governor's budget? . . . and what's the total amount for the four Bills?"

Wolf: "Ah . . . It would be approximately \$97,000,000, which is somewhat less than half . . . ah . . . that they were originally introduced at. Originally, they were amortizing this over a ten-year period . . . ah . . . after looking at the Bills . . . ah . . . I felt that a thirty-year amortization would be more realistic and instead of a full 100 percent funding, we're shooting for the 65 percent figure . . . ah . . . which is actuarially sound according to Mr. Weinburg, the actuary of the Pension Laws Commission."

Barry: "Did you say that is in the budget? I didn't know that it was."

Wolf: "I didn't say anything about the budget. I said . . ."

Barry: "My question was, is it in the Governor's budget? Is that amount in the Governor's budget?"

Wolf: "No, I think the Governor kind of overlooked that . . . ah . . . I know he was campaigning on the premise of . . . ah . . . making these pension funds sound so the people would have a pension when they retire, but I don't think that . . . somebody must have overlooked that in the Governor's staff."

Barry: "Will you tell us where the money is going to come from then?"

Wolf: "Out of the General Revenue Fund."

Barry: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Does the Gentleman care to close? All right, the question is, shall these three Bills pass . . . four Bills pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Take a Record for each one of these, Mr. Clerk. No, I'm . . . All right, on each . . . each of these Bills having received a . . . 125 'aye', 4 'nays'; these . . . these four Bills are, hereby, . . . having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. Now, we're going to . . . ah . . . Supplemental Calendar #2, and . . . ah . . . with respect to . . . ah . . . the order of Concurrences



appears House Bill 911 on which the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . ah . . . suppose it would be better to take the Amendments one by one. House Bill 911, as you all know, is a property tax freeze Bill. It passed the Senate this afternoon with 51 'aye' votes. Now, they put on some Amendments, and I'll explain Amendment #1 . . . does what . . . several people over in the House talked about when we had the Bill here. It allows . . . it allows the taxing districts to pick up new property . . . new property such as housing, . . . ah . . . any kind of new building and add them on the tax rolls and extend the tax in addition to the freeze amount; and I would move, Mr. Speaker, the adoption of that Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry because of the amount of noise in the . . . in the House, I was unable to hear the Gentleman . . . ah . . . and I'd like to inquire to . . . will we be voting separately on these Amendments?"

McCormick: "Yes, I . . . I thought it'd be better to take them individually because I don't think there's any disagreement on this Amendment because it allows any taxing district to pick up any new property in addition to what the freeze would've been. I know of no objection to this, Mr. Speaker. I move concurrence."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 911? All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. This requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', and 1 'nay'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 911. Read . . . ah . . . #3, Senate Amendment #3. Oh, proceed."

McCormick: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 makes a change in re . . . in . . . adding qualifying rate as prescribed by law to establish the maximum, and that is necessary because of the new school formula Bill that has



been worked out, and I know of no disagreement on that; and I move concurrence, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, discussion, Mr. Maragos, did you want to be heard on this?"

Maragos: "All . . . all I want to say, Mr. Speaker, that as long as he explains these Amendments, because the first . . . the first Amendment that was brought under wasn't fully explained to my . . . to my satisfaction, that's all."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, I'm sorry, I . . . ah . . . is this one okay, Sam?"

Maragos: "It's all right . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Is this one okay? All right, is there any further discussion? All right, the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 911? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Again Mr . . . requires 107 votes because each of these . . . ah . . . are part of final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 151 'aye', 1 'nay'; and Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 911 . . . #3 to House Bill 911 having received the required 107 votes is . . . the House concurs in it. Now, #4."

McCormick: "Ah . . . Amendment #4 adopted by the Senate, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . is a short Amendment and I . . . I'll just read partial of it, 'When the service performed by one taxing district is transferred to another taxing district in '73 or '74, then the sum of extension of taxes payable during that year . . . shall also be transferred . . . ah . . . and however the district from which the service is transferred shall have its extension in '74-'75 reduced for that amount', and I know of no controversy in that Amendment, and I move its adoption, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 911? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record . . . amendment . . . there are '55 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 911. Now, #5. For what purpose

does the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, arise?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I searched for a copy of the Amendment. Ah . . . The Democrats seem to have it and maybe some of the Republicans do, but I haven't found it yet; and I wonder if an extra one could be scoured up some place."

Speaker Blair: "All right, but would we . . . ah . . . make we sure we get those to Mr. Skinner's desk? Mr. McCormick, do you want to proceed and we'll . . . well, go ahead and talk and we'll let Mr. Skinner ask any questions he . . . regards to those."

McCormick: "Ah . . . Are you ready for Amendment #5, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "That's all . . . that's all that's left."

McCormick: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 was put on the Bill in the Senate by Senator Knuppel and on . . . from the Democratic side, and it is a whole lot like Representative Choate's . . . ah . . . Amendment last year that was put on. There may be some difference and he may want to comment on it, I don't know. The department shall honor before September 1st of '74 and '75, pay to each taxing district the difference between the amount of taxes that could have been extended by each district for each year and . . . ah . . . and that this Act is . . . let's see, this year if this tax had not been enacted. What it really amounts to is Amendment #1, as you remember, they're allowed to put on the new property that goes onto the rolls that we had excluded before. Then with this Amendment, the difference between that and what they could've extended would be picked up by the State Treasury. Now, I am absolutely not certain, and I won't pretend to tell you that I'm certain about the exact amount of money, because I know that if there . . . I did go back and look at the fiscal note of the Bill that was filed last year and it was passed by the Senate and I believe it was \$30,000,000, and I don't know how much more. Ah . . . Representative Choate? But I do believe that it will balance itself



partially out. I don't believe that it will balance even, but I think it will balance itself partially out with the new property that's going on over the state; and I move its adoption, Mr.

Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. . . . no, the the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me . . . ah . . . tell my good friend and colleague, Representative McCormick, I believe my memory is a little bit better than his. Ah . . ."

McCormick: "You're younger, Clyde."

Choate: ". . . Not after yesterday and the day before, I'm not, but nevertheless he is correct in one assumption that he made. The Amendment in form and form only is familiar because it does pertain to a wee bit of, not an Amendment I had last Session, but a Bill that I had last Session, Representative McCormick."

McCormick: ". . . think it took over my Bill."

Choate: "Now, what my Bill did, it posed taxes for one year, one year only, and the rebate from the state was only for the homeowners in the state and it didn't cost \$30,000,000, it cost \$93,000,000."

McCormick: "Oh, well, I misread the record down here, Sir."

Choate: "Well, \$93,000,000 compared to \$344,000,000 that your Bill costs is not misreading the record. I don't mean yours, I mean Senator Knuppel's, and if I recall correctly, the State Senate in the rejection of a \$93,000,000 savings to the homeowners in this state . . . a great criticism to offer toward that type of tax saving for the homeowners, but this Amendment is something entirely different. This Amendment says to everybody in the State of Illinois that the state will pick up your taxes for two years. Now, if you want to be fiscally irresponsible, if you want to put a budget so completely out of line that nobody could ever live with it, if you want to bring about an increase in some kind of taxes such as income, or sales or something, then you vote for the adoption of this Amendment, because the first year that it's in effect, it will cost \$344,763,000. The second year that it's in effect, it will cost \$505,363,000, more than \$900,000,000,



more than \$900,000,000 in two years. Now, I'm saying to you if you want to come back to a future Session of the General Assembly and do one of two things, either increase taxes of some nature or say 'Okay, we're going to bankrupt the State of Illinois', or 'Okay, we're going to do without all of the other services that we provide today', then you'll adopt this Amendment. If you don't want to do those things, then you're not going to adopt this Amendment; and I would suggest that we do not concur in Senate Amendment #5."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman care to close? All . . ."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am not certain of the figures and I said that I wasn't and I don't believe that anybody else is certain of them because I don't think they're available to actually know, and I don't think that the figures that the Representative gave take into account the new property that would be placed on the tax rolls in Illinois and in all of the areas of Illinois that they would get and would be deductible in this figure, and then I take this also into consideration, the Illinois Senate voted 51 votes for this, and it was their Amendment on that side of the aisle that put it on and there was four Republicans that voted against it, one Democrat that voted 'present', and two or three Democrats that were absent and all of the Leadership on the Democratic side of the aisle in the Senate supported the Amendment and I have confidence in their integrity and in their knowledge; and, therefore, I would appreciate the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 911? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, how many votes does it take to concur in this Amendment which would be final action on this Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "It would take 107 votes and if you don't want to give them to us, why we'll end up killing it, so go ahead and do what you want, Gerry. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Mr. Ewell."



Ewell: "I vote . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out this is another budget-busting Amendment, by the previous Bills that we had, Representative Wolf on the pension, by the doubling of the O.I.S. Council, another Bill to bust the budget of this state by \$1 or \$2,000,000,000 over what the Governor asked for, and I'm going to vote against this concurrence on that ground."

Speaker Blair: "Any further . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as the Sponsor of the Bill pointed out, this isn't a Republican Amendment, this is a Democratic Amendment in which we happen to agree, and I think the Democratic Members over there probably calculated that the Governor's visit there was a half a billion dollars in fact in the previous Governor's budget. Now, we've come up with about \$1,500,000, I suppose \$2,000,000, and they figure this is the difference. So I don't see any reason why we can't support this Amendment and help out the Governor."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to take a couple of minutes to back up and tell you a little of the history. When I . . . before I introduced this Bill in the House of Representatives, I went down and had a meeting with the Governor and with Mr. Goldberg, who is in his office, and I layed a copy of the Bill out and I said, 'Now, Governor, I am not the least bit interested in this Bill as far as a party position, and I'm not the least bit interested in it because it will help me be reelected, because I will not be a candidate for reelection to any office anywhere, anywhere. I would certainly appreciate it if you'd look the Bill over and help me work out what you can live with'. I never heard one thing from the Governor's office about the Bill anytime that we had it in Committee, anytime that it was on the floor of this House or anytime that it was in the Senate. We . . . they talked to us not one time about anything that they



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

wanted. I even told them today when I talked to them today, I said, 'I think that the Governor needs this Bill, I think he needs to sign the Bill, and if there are parts of the Bill that the Governor can't live with that if he'd . . . that if this Amendment offered by his own people in the Senate wasn't satisfactory to him if we were able to concur in this Amendment tonight that I pledged to him that I'd do everything in my power to support him in his Amendment to his veto of a section of this Bill and try my best to convince the Republican side of this aisle to be very reasonable in that; and I think that just the fact that he still has another opportunity if he doesn't like the Bill, he can take any part of it out that he wants and neither . . . you know that we can't keep from going along with him, and I think that we don't have any time and I think the people in Illinois, I think the people whether they're in Chicago like these people up here are or whether they're in Carroll, or Saline, or Effingham or anywhere else are expecting a freeze Bill this Session and if we fail, Mr. Speaker, I think we've failed every homeowner, every taxpayer in Illinois and it certainly won't be my fault."

Speaker Blair: "The . . . ah . . . Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think you know that I have in every Session that the issues come up in support of the tax freeze in the State of Illinois. I think this is what the people of the State of Illinois want, and this isn't the first time that the Senate has been in error, nor that the Democratic side of the Senate has been in error, and I'm sure from our experience this won't be the last. I'm for a tax freeze, but I am not for a raid on the State Treasury by the municipalities of the State of Illinois. The municipalities of the State of Illinois just as the state needs to see to it that they impose the least amount of taxes upon the people of this state that they can possibly impose. They need to see that they belt-tighten as well as the state belt-tightens and that the people of the state and the taxpayers of this state for once get a break. This Amendment



will not give a break to the people of the State of Illinois. This Amendment would bankrupt the State of Illinois and at the same time merely try to tell the people that they're getting a tax freeze, and you'd have to raise the taxes to an unlimited extent in other areas of state taxing power. We want a real tax freeze, and, consequently, on this Amendment I am voting 'no'; and I will vote 'yes' on the tax freeze Bill if this Amendment is knocked off of it and try to get a tax freeze even though the Speaker says he's going to deny it to the people of the State of Illinois."

Unknown: "Tell 'em they got time for a Conference Committee, they got three hours yet."

Speaker Blair: "The Lady . . . ah . . . from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am not going to have it on my conscience; and I'm going to do anything to stop the concurrence of a Bill that's very badly needed. I'm sure the Governor in his good wisdom is going to make some line changes, but at least we're in this . . . taking a step in the right direction. The people have been promised a tax relief and this is part of it, and I think we're just fighting for nothing because the Governor is going to do what he wants to anyway. So why not let the Governor take a chance to do what he wants to do, and vote for the thing the way it is."

Speaker Blair: "Take the Record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 28 'nays'; and the House does not concur in . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 911. All right . . . ah . . . yes, why don't we take . . . on Supplemental 2, is Mr. Calvo here? Would you like . . . Mr. Piotrowicz."

Piotrowicz: "Mr. Speaker, there are a number of us who worked long and hard on this measure. There are a number of us who resisted pressures of all sorts. To stand for the homeowners and the taxpayers of our district and for the people of the state, we have shed crocodile tears for the taxpayers, we have taken the Roll, and I, for one, resent any Members of this Assembly once that Roll has been put up from coming up and now stating how they are voting on that issue. The time to have stood up was when . . ."



Speaker Blair: "You . . . your point is well . . . Mr. . . . your point is well taken, and I did not give leave for that. So . . . ah . . . Mr. Clerk, add no names to that Roll Call one way or the other. We'll take it just as it was given. Send the message over to the Senate, by the way, with respect to that Bill. Now, is Mr. Calvo here for the E.P.A. appropriation? It's . . . it's on SEntimental . . . Supplemental Amendment #2 for the E.P.A."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 608, Calvo, . . . how about Merlo . . ."

Speaker Blair: "How about Mr. Merlo? Would he like . . . well, do you want to concur? No . . . 70 . . . House Bill 70."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 70, Merlo."

Speaker Blair: "The . . . ah . . . Gentleman from Cook. We're on Supplemental . . . ah . . . #2 . . . ah . . . on Concurrences on the House Calendar."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 70 originally appropriated \$2,000,000 to the administration of the nondro . . . nondrivers I.D. card Bill. We had planned to use these funds to implement the photography . . . ah . . . required of both the drivers license and I.D. card. It now appears that the I.D. Bill will not come out before September at the earliest. Accordingly, the attached or the Amendment that's being proposed here, Senate Amendment 11, takes the \$2,000,000 out of the House Bill 70 and appropriates \$180,000 to implement the photo-requirement for the drivers license . . . ah . . . card, and I move concurrence to Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I'd like to point out that there are two distinguished leaders from the Chamber across the Body who certainly did not hold their meetings in back rooms, the distinguished President of the Senate and the distinguished Minority Leader, President Harris and . . . ah . . . and . . . Senator Partee, they . . . they're holding their meetings right out in the open, right there in the center aisle. They're not quite ready to adjourn they advise . . . ah . . . any further discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 70? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed



'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 149 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 70."

Clerk Selcke: "No additions are subtracted, you're either on or off.

Bull shit of votin' one way and changing to . . . I know, I'm just telling you how it is. How about 820?"

Speaker Blair: "On the . . ."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now we're going back to Senate Bill's Third. On that order of business appears Senate Bill 419."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 419, Day. An act relating to grand juries with State wide jurisdiction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Stars.. Mr. Stars.. Mr. Day."

R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 419 is what is generally referred to as a State-wide grand jury Bill. It is patterned after the New Jersey Law which has worked very successfully, and other States have similar laws. It simply authorizes the Attorney General who has been elected by the people of this State to indict and prosecute multi-county crimes. This Bill is really in response to public demand for a stepped-up program of law enforcement. I noticed in the papers recently where a nation-wide mayor's conference was held and almost 70% of the mayors listed crime as the number one problem in their communities. Now, this Bill is not intended in any way to replace the badly needed services of the State's Attorneys throughout this State. The main need for the State wide grand jury is to protect the people of this State from the giant financial crime such as the city saving swindle and the incredible equity life insurance fraud---the largest in the history of the United States. Every tax payer in this State is subject to tremendous liability and



dozen of suits already filed against the State of Illinois, and which the Attorney General is now pending in suits all over the State. This Legislature just put on the Governor's desk a Bill to provide \$12 million of the tax payers' money to reimburse the people who were cheated out of their life savings by Orin Menzick, who looted millions of dollars but never has been indicted or convicted. The Legislator.. this Legislature by statute has set up the duties of the Attorney General and has said that the Attorney General should prosecute the filing of any fraudulent records by a corporation, but we do not give the Attorney General the tools to carry out that responsibility. The Attorney General, although he is charged with the prosecution of these offenses, does not have the right to indict or prosecute. And it is good policy to place this responsibility on the State-wide elected official and then not give him the tools to get the job done. Take a look at the equity life insurance fraud. The headquarters was in DuPage County. That organization sent fraudulent statements to the banks in Cook County, and even set up a phoney bank in another county. Now we need, we need a State wide grand jury to get at crimes of this nature because again, every person in the State of Illinois is potentially liable for more taxes under the principles in the Menzick's case. The Attorney General is the people's attorney elected by them to represent their interest. We should give him the tools to get the job done. This is a Bill that I am sure, if you vote



for will meet with the complete approval. May I have a little order, Mr. Speaker? This is a Bill that I am sure, if you vote for it, will meet with the approval of the people in your district. There have been numerous editorials written and papers from all over this State in favor of this Bill. Let me quote to you from the Illinois State Journal of June 14th wherein they said: 'States' Attorneys in the two counties in which most state cases are tried, Sangamon and Cook, earlier had taken positions different from their predecessors.'* Sangamon County State's Attorney Joseph Cavanaugh and Cook County State's Attorney Bernard Carey have publically endorsed the Attorney General Bills. The reason they have done this is because they are dedicated conscientious dedicated public servants and realize the need for a Bill of this type. No State's Attorney appeared at the hearing in committee to oppose these Bills. The 'Daily Dispatch' for Moline, Illinois has urged the adoption of this Bill. 'The Rockford Morning Star' in an editorial on May 10th has urged the adoption of the Bill as has the 'Decatur Herald'. Now, I know that we down here aren't obligated and shouldn't be obligated to vote according to editorial comments, but I cite these for the purpose of indicating to you that this is a Bill that the public wants. This is a Bill that stands for a stepped up program of law enforcement against the big boys. This is a Bill that the people of this State want and I would certainly appreciate it if we could get the unanimous consent of this House to



pass this important piece of legislation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos. Now there are a number of Members that wish to speak on this issue and we want to give them a chance."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, I realize that everybody wants to get the roll call. Move ahead. However, this is one basic issue that we all have to fully explore and debate because we are starting for the first time a bad precedent for the State of Illinois. And giving up the liberties and the freedom that we've had and enjoyed in this State since 1818 and which we have joined in this country since the founding of our Constitution. Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, this has nothing to do with the present occupant of the office of the Attorney General who is the man of high caliber and good intentions. However, I'm not concerned for the next four years or even the next eight years. But Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm concerned about the future, what this power, put into the hands of a scrupulous Attorney General can do to destroy our Grand Jury system as we know it in the counties of this State. As I stated yesterday, when we had many amendments to this Bill all of which were defeated, there are no proper safeguards in the actions that the Attorney General would take before he could take.. call this Grand Jury. He could run ruckshot over the State's Attorney of any county in this State. The ironical part is that I would say at least 80% of the State's Attorney of



the same political party as the Attorney General is. So this is not a partisan issue when I speak it tonight. I'd like this State wide Grand Jury system. As popular and as affable as our Attorney General is, do not for one minute try to put on this issue because of his good personality. But rather think of the issues that are involved and the freedoms that you are giving up which have been established by our forefathers for many years. We have many safeguards right now if the job has to be done in the case of the federal system. We have seen time and again where the federal prosecutor and the federal district attorney in Cook County in the northern district, as well as the ones here in Sangamon and the southern district in Illinois, have prosecuted crimes which involve more than one county and one region. We have also the rights that the grand jury can go beyond its powers and boundaries and the county can do the same job at the same time. But this is the first time, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, and Mr. Speaker, that we are going to give the power of indictment to the Attorney General. May I have some order please, Mr. Speaker? One of the occupants of this House realizes they are making a basic step tonight if they approve this Bill to give for the first time in the history of this State, and for the few times throughout the United States, the power to indict the Attorney General with State wide juris.. jurisdiction. Please think of these facts seriously. Do not give your vote lightly to this Bill because you may like the present



occupant of the Attorney General's office. He has done very much and I supported him in the judiciary committee on the Floor of this House on many of his Bills which I thought were constructive and consumer oriented. But I hesitate to give him the power to indict State wide when he could still go in with the cooperation of many of the State's Attorneys throughout the State on the county level and get the job done as easily with less cost for the State of Illinois. We do not know in this case what the appropriation is going to be to hire the staff to handle grand juries. We do not know many of the factors that comes to us only as a hidden issue of good government quote, unquote, without the rest of the ratifications be thrown in. Mr. Speaker, I ask you at this time to vote 'no' on Senate Bill 419 because I think this is not the proper approach to deal with the problems that we are trying to solve. Do not give the power to indict to another level of government which would then destroy our freedom even further. And we can see as we see in Watergate and many of us better believe this, this wouldn't have happened if we do give too much power and concentration to an individual who would not in a local area. And as I stated yesterday, many of us, many of you want to preserve township government. You want to preserve local control. But at the same time you are willing to give the biggest freedom, the precious freedom, freedom from indictment to a State authority rather than a local authority. And if you're given an



unscrupulous Attorney General in the future, Ladies and Gentlemen, he could run ruckshot over all your liberties at the county level because he cannot be stopped because he has no safeguards. We tried to amend it yesterday, but all of our amendments were turned down. Please think seriously, and vote 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Katz."

H. A. Katz: "Mr. Speaker..."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "What, Harold? I have a list I'm trying to follow. And I have Rep. Sangmeister on the list and others also. And I'm going to try to jump from one side of the aisle to the other whether opponents or proponents. "

H. A. Katz: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 419. It is not a new Bill. We had this Bill here two years ago, and it was rejected by the General Assembly. The Gentleman from Peoria wants to know if we have noticed in the paper recently about equity insurance. Did you notice in the paper yesterday that the assistant to the President of the United States called the Attorney General of the United States and asked the Attorney General's cooperation in doing in a Republican Senator from New Jersey? That the assistant to the President of the United States so repetitiously recorded the conversation and that is the only reason we know about that conversation. The grand jury is one of the most fearsome instruments of tyranny. We in fact,



have a Bill introduced in this session by my distinguished colleague from the first district to eliminate the grand jury, and we were told in the hearings in reference to it that a prosecutor can secure from a grand jury any indictment that he so desires. Do we want to give at the very time when we are considering eliminating the grand jury in every county? Do we want to extend the power of the grand jury to a new and higher level? To an individual who by the power of the office he occupies is in a position as an elected official always in contention for the governmentship? It is not a question even, Ladies and Gentlemen, of one party trying to do the other in as I indicated to you yesterday, the testimony was a Republican United States Attorney General being asked to do in in his own way a Republican Senator. For those of you who are not lawyers, you should understand that there's some nature of a grand jury and an individual summoned before a grand jury has no right to counsel. There is no judge there to protect the individual. He is alone with a prosecutor who has complete control over the grand jury and there is no protection for him. If he chooses not to answer the summons to the grand jury, he is branded by other citizens as guilty, and so in fact he is in the situation of being damned if he does, and damned if he does not. We were told by the Gentleman from Peoria that this Bill was patterned by the New Jersey Law and other states, we're told. According to a memorandum I have here by the Legislative Council, there are only two States in the United



States that permit the Attorney General to summon a grand jury. Those two states are New Jersey and Colorado. Isn't it interesting that 48 of our States have refused to extend to Attorney Generals the right to seek grand jury action? And as far as the New Jersey Law, which we are told is the basis of this one, there is a substantial difference between the New Jersey Law and this Law. The New Jersey Law requires that before the Attorney General may take a case, that a showing must be made that the case is not handled by the State's Attorney of the County. In this Bill they have conveniently eliminated that prerequisite to the Attorney General's power. And when yesterday when some of my colleagues sought to do that, to limit it to situations where the State's Attorneys were not able to handle the matter, that amendment was rejected. We have here a Bill that is absolutely without limitations. The only limitation proposed is one that it has to affect more than one county. And is there one of us who does not know that anything can be held to affect more than one county? Some of my colleagues sought to amend the Bill to limit it so that only appellate judges would be able to utilize this power, and this was rejected. So now any of several of any hundred judges in Illinois would be able to exercise this power. I would urge you before you move here in the direction of extending the powers to an elected official. I would urge you here to bear in mind that we are all in political light. We know that men elected to high political office desire head-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

lines and a rush for publicity and what may happen in the political arena when we seek headlines does not damage an individual. But when those headlines are sought by convening grand juries to investigate political matters that may embarrass politicians in their own party or in another party, when the grand jury has been used as it has been as an instrument of obtaining high office, it threatens the very foundation of our society. I have here in my hand a half a dozen written articles written by the men who have studied grand juries in recent years. Every one of them, so far as I know, has concluded that grand juries are the tool of a prosecutor and offer no protection to the citizen. I would urge you and some of my liberal colleagues who seem to forget that the protection of human liberty is the basic tenant of the liberal philosophy, that we pause before we extend to a high elected political official the power to destroy reputation and to achieve high office over the wreckage of innocent careers. The step we take today has not been adopted in any one of the 50 States. Only two States have done it and they have a most limited form of State grand juries. I would urge the defeat of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Rayson."

L. H. Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, and to Members of this House, I rise to support this Bill. Seldom do I disagree with my distinguished colleague, Harold Katz, and I don't really disagree with him. I am sure I can agree with my appre-



hensions that this Bill might lead to, but I think that there are other consequences and other factors to be considered in this Bill. First, as many of you know, I was one of the vehement people to oppose the Bill that was up two years ago because it was hailed as the great Bill to fight organized crime. And when we try to amend it and circumscribe it to the area of organized crime, the ah.. sponsors of the Bill then wouldn't hold still for that kind of reasonable suggestion. Now times have changed. Now they don't hold out that this is ah.. a dual for organized crime. Now in the last two years we've seen corruption abundant in public life. We've seen, as the Sponsor indicates, the wrangling and the ah.. defections of the equities of people intermingled in the equity funding case and the city savings case. And I think we are in a new era where we have to reach out for the public right to know. Dr. Rhiner's neighbor once wrote a book called 'Moral Man and Immoral Society'. And it was greatly hailed and the aware liberal realized that this was the reality of life. But now I am afraid to say in the age that we live in watching the Water-gate proceedings unfold, we're really living in the age of amoral man in an immoral society. And I suggest to you the two fundamental rights in America that is eroding in its liberties is eroding justice and all factors that do. Fundamental rights that must be preserved are the right of privacy and the right to know. And I think our path is to make sure



that these rights aren't in conflict. Now this Bill merely sets forth the power. Of course it's an adequate Bill. Of course there's so many things that must be in the Bill. Rep. Katz eluded to some of them. Of course there should be more safeguards. Of course the question in evidence must be explained in a Bill. What do we do about immunity? What do we do about factors of double jeopardy? What do we do about.. when there's a dry county proceedings but yet the State's Attorney in one county brings out his own indictment? What do we do about the rules of evidence? These are very important questions. But I say to you, that there's been six kinds of proceedings in the last year on the Watergate proceedings which have generated the revelations of our corruption in government from the White House on down. And I suggest that without the media and without the thrust of these kinds of enforcements the public would be duped and they would be denied the right to know. And I say to you that if the Attorney Generals can proceed in matters beyond the inaptness of State's Attorneys, that the public interest is better protected than not having this legislation. Now the question was raised 'what about the unscrupulous Attorney General?' What about the guy who's going to run for governor? I say to you, if he's unscrupulous and that if the public enfolds what he's doing, he can meet his own demise. And for those who say that this is a political tool for Bill Scott, I'd like to say that Governor Walker last week said that he's



for the Bill. The United Auto Workers say that they're for the Bill. So I don't think it's the kind of thing that all my colleagues here ah.. should pulverize this issue. I think it's a fine issue either way. For those civil libertarians who are frightened about their liberties that may be curtailed because of this extra power that may be unleashed, I suggest to them that in this age when we have the days of war will upon us, that unless we protect the right to know of the individual, unless we protect the right of privacy of the individual, this country is going to go to hell anyway. So I suggest if we're going to err, let's err in the sight of this enforcement power."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen.

Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all my life in Chicago, I've heard about vote fraud downstate. Whenever we Republicans point with alarm, of you with alarm, the vote fraud in Chicago, we're told rather vigorously, what about downstate? What about Republican counties with Republican election officials and with Republican State's Attorneys? What about down there? Well, now here's your chance, Ladies and Gentlemen, because if you will give the State's Att.. the Attorney General of Illinois the authority to ~~amp~~panel grand jury anywhere in the State of Illinois, then these sweetheart deals between ah.. county officials and State's Attorneys of one party will be exposed to the searching gaze of the



grand jury. And, so, if you're sincere about doing away with vote fraud, it seems to me this is a golden opportunity. Ah.. it is ah.. ironical that so many regular organization democrats have suddenly become civil libertarians and ah.. ambulatory members of the A.C.L.U. ah.. referring to the grand jury as a weapon of fearsome tyranny. Ah.. but ah.. let me point out to you that the grand jury.. ah.. will be impaneled by a judge or an appellate judge, not just the Attorney General. He can't go around in his station wagon impaneling grand juries. A local judge where an offense has been committed ah.. must authorize this grand jury, and it seems to me ah.. that ah.. we should be more concerned about crime and corruption and the sweetheart deals between politicians of the same party who happen to control the machinery of law enforcement ah.. rather than concerned about ah.. abuses that might occur from a grand jury. It seems to me the press is very vigilant about this sort of thing and ah.. the fears are very exaggerated. I suspect they are purely political because the last thing in the world the democratic organization wants is a Republican Attorney General with a grand jury power. Now, grand jury crime crosses county lines. Political corruption crosses county lines. And it seems to me that ah.. my good friends ah.. on the other side of the aisle who oppose giving the Attorney General grand jury authority are much more fearful, although why I don't know, of law enforcement than they are of political corruption, nourished, sheltered, and permitted



to flourish because of friendly relationships with the judiciary and with the law enforcement officials. Is there crime in Illinois? Is there political corruption in Ill.. Illinois? Then why do we fear to give an elected official of all the people of Illinois this very useful respective weapon ah.. to fight this cancer that ah.. the people are weary and sick of? Believe me, politics can no longer operate on the honor system. And I respectfully urge a resounding 'aye' vote for this excellent bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. The Gentleman ah.. from Moultrie, Rep. Stone."

P. Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. Do you want a roll call? Paul, a number of members are violently seeking recognition. Do you want to withdraw your motion? Wait a second, now. A number of.. a number of members are anxiously seeking recognition. Do you want to persist in your motion?"

P. Stone: "Mr. Speaker, there are a lot of members around here anxiously demanding that I do not withdraw my motion. So let's put it to a vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. All th.. Rep. Duff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

B. B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege, because as the other Representative from the first district who did put in a Bill respecting the grand jury, I was referred to in debate."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. Proceed in your point, Sir."

B. B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, everybody in this assembly knows of my concern of the grand jury. Every member of this House knows of my concern of the justice system. I wished, a week or two ago, the Gentleman from the first district who spoke of me earlier, would have used the same eloquence in reference that I was trying to accomplish. I differ with the Gentleman very very seldom, and I have the greatest respect for his ability, and for his intelligence. But the good lawyer that he is, he should be able to distinguish in this case the fact that we did not, and we did not propose earlier as he suggested that I did, the elimination of the grand jury, but the modification of the grand jury. He did not refer to the fact and then later said that when the great concern is that the candidate for grand jury is always in contention for the governorship. I wonder why, in this case, we gain the strong eloquence when we lacked it when we needed it. The Supreme Court will be involved in this court."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "One second, Rep. Duff. Please, Sir, please. Now let's kind of, you know.. We've got a long night ahead of us. Rep. Laurino, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. J. Laurino: "Mr. Stone has moved the previous question, and Mr. Duff hasn't even explained his vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I think your point is well taken."



Now, Rep. Duff, if you have a personal point."

B. B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I rose on a point of personal privilege. And I.."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, what's your point?"

B. B. Duff: "And I am referring only to the remarks that were made in reference to me and the Bill which I proposed and then this Bill. The suggestion was made that we suggested eliminating the grand jury, and that is not true. We maintained the investigative thrust of the grand jury, and this Bill includes the investigative.."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Duff, will you please be quiet for a moment? Can you do that? Now, will this side please be quiet for a minute? Now, let's settle down. We've done an awful lot of work, and we're going to handle this Bill in a proper way, and everybody will get a chance to talk.. Now, Rep. Stone, do you wish to withdraw your motion? Clearly, no. OK. Now Rep. Duff, I'm going to implore you to state your point of order. State it, get to it, so we can return to Rep. Stone's motion on the previous question. Now.."

B. B. Duff: "Very well, Mr. Speaker. I would suggest that, and I did not rise on a point of order, but on a point of personal privilege."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, get to your point of personal privilege, Sir."

B. B. Duff: "I would suggest that to recommend that the fact that there was another Bill in this House to modify the grand jury is comparable to this Bill does an injustice,



and the Gentleman does not adequately distinguish. I will also say that when I stood here at the beginning of this debate, I had not thoroughly decided. I have indeed decided, Ladies and Gentlemen, and I think that there's no question that the scope of this Bill demands our support of it."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. Now, back to Rep. Stone's motion to move the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. All right. The Gentleman's motion.. Do you want a roll call? Rep. Day to close. And I'm going to try to pick up the rest of the members on my list to explain their votes.

R. G. Day: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was a little bit surprised when I heard one of the arguments against this Bill which in effect said that we in this legislature cannot trust or put faith and confidence in.. in an official who has been elected by the people of this State. Now, we have to make a decision. Either we're going to give an elected State official the tools to get his work done in order to satisfy a crying demand on the part of the people of this State that we in this legislature do something about at this time organized crime. The people want us, the people want us to take some action in this regard, and the people aren't afraid to place their confidence and their trust in their own elected officials. Now, you can approach legislation in the same way that you can approach life. You can look for the good in people or you can look for the bad in people.



This Bill doesn't take anything away from State's Attorneys. State's Attorneys can still prosecute these same crimes, but where we have a situation which is big, which is organized, which is well healed and well financed and well oiled, it's a little bit too much to expect State's Attorneys who are already overburdened to devote the major part of their forces to one single crime. And wouldn't we be better off if we had a State wide organization that could get at the offenses of this nature? Now, this doesn't have anything to do with the Bills that would eliminate grand juries. Those Bills, if you will recall, did not propert to eliminate investigatory grand juries which is the type of grand jury that we're talking about here. So there isn't any real conflict there. So I would simply urge you to keep in mind that we're dealing with one of the most serious, one of the most despicable types of human activity in this Bill. And we here in Illinois, have certainly had our share of big time offenses such as we're trying to get at in this Bill. So I would urge you to give this Bill your support. Let's all get back of it. Let's let our constituents know that we're going to give their Attorney, the people's Attorney, the tools so that he can get the job done that they expect him to do. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The question is 'shall Senate Bill 419 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Rep... The Gentleman from Will, Rep. Sangmeister, to explain his vote. Wait one minute.



Rep. J. J. Wolf. Are you seeking recognition on a point of some sort?"

J. J. Wolf: "Ah.. yes.. yes Mr. Speaker. I was just going to point out before we get on explanation of votes, that there are no television cameras in the balcony to ah.. to ah.. put us on television so I thought maybe to save some time, we could recognize everybody that wants to explain their vote at once, and turn all those microphones on and they could all explain together."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. Rep... Rep. Sangmeister to explain his vote."

G. E. Sangmeister: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I guess that's an indication we ought to make it brief. Mr. Speaker, and Members of this House, this Bill is being counted, of course, as an anti-corruption organized crime Bill. And of course this is like motherhood. You ought to be voting for this. But I ask you to take a good look at this Bill and see if anywhere in that Bill you see anything that refers to organized crime. This Bill is being proported to have to be a law necessary because of the venue requirements. All you have to look is a Chapter 38, Section I-6, and you'll see that there's plenty of venue requirements and any State's Attorney can handle any requirement there is. I think one thing you people who are supporting this Bill had better realize is that is, and I stated on a... and when we were arguing on an amendment, that the power to indict is the power to destroy. And you know as well as I do, that when



a person has once been indicted, it doesn't make any difference if later on he is acquitted. That man or that woman is there after destroyed. We have to put this power in someone. We have put it in our State's Attorneys. I see no reason to give someone in a State wide office the authority to do likewise. There's no big problem to indict anyone, but it's a far cry from a conviction. I call to your attention, there's a very interesting drafting in this Bill. Section 7 of this Bill gives the Attorney General the exclusive authority to present all of the information and the evidence before the grand jury. And very interestingly, in Section 8 we proceed that the Attorney General may request the assistance of the State's Attorney in the prosecution. What this means to the local State's Attorney is even if he fakes the facts and comes to the conclusion that he may have enough evidence to return an indictment and cannot get a conviction and therefore doesn't want to go through with it, now the Attorney General can come in, get the indictment returned by the grand jury, get all the publicity that goes with it, and then turn around to the local State's Attorney and hand him the indictment and say 'here, go prosecute it. If you don't get the conviction, you're the bad guy!'. And I want to say that any State's Attorney that would lend his endorsement, to this kind of a Bill is not only a hypocrite but a whore. Vote 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Mann."

R. E. Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House,



I'd like to ask my colleague from Findley Park, since when the people have the right to know what's happening in a closed grand jury room, when.. and when an ambitious State's Attorney can get any verdict he wants, there's no opportunity to cross examine. This is not a basic principle of.. of American justice. Anybody in this House can be smeared and destroyed by an indictment. And just because you have a Republican State's Attorney now, and a Republican U. S. Attorney, the same thing could happen with a democratic one. And now, we're talking about trusted officials. It's a funny thing to hear about trusted officials when the national level we have of the United State's Attorney General who is being indicted himself, Mr. John Mitchell. And if there's one thing we've learned, Ladies and Gentlemen, from the Watergate, it demonstrates the results will be when a legislative body like ours, permits other branches of government to usurp broad sweeping powers as those delegated in this Bill. Until this Bill is redrafted to perform the narrow function of delegating authority and responsibility of investigation of organized crime of officials' misconduct, I must vote 'no'. One more thing, Mr. Speaker, here's what we're going to spend in organized crime this year. \$49 million. The Department of Law Enforcement. \$4 million. The Law Enforcement Commission. We've got the I.B.I. We had what was once a criminal investigating commission. We have the Department of Justice, the U.S. Attorneys, the organized crime strike force, the F.B.I.



What more do we need in this Country? What liberties do you think we'll have left after this Bill passes? It's a bad Bill; it's a stinkin' Bill. And it ought to be defeated."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Mugalian."

R. A. Mugalian: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very briefly I'd like to explain my vote. I consider myself a civil libertarian. My top priority is individual liberty, and individual opportunity to seek fulfillment. One enemy of individual liberty is the force of the State. This would include the abuse of process and the abuse of prosecutorial discretion. Also, the writ of an uninformed or reckless grand jury, a State wide grand jury, or a local grand jury. But no less an enemy to our liberty or personal integrity or privacy is the power of organized crime and political corruption. In my humble opinion, Ladies and Gentlemen, our greatest present danger is political corruption, including its relationship to intolerance of organized crime. Our 102 counties and their enforcement powers as much as they try, are islands, insulated, often, one party establishments for four or five generations. There are risks in S.B. 419. I recognize them. But life itself is a risk. We live in a fibernetic, mobile, highly technically.. technical organized society. We need a new modern tool to break the awesome power of State wide organized corruption. Therefore, I am voting 'yes'."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Rep. Gibbs."

W. J. Gibbs: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I have looked at this Bill and reviewed it, and I asked myself the question 'what crimes could have been prevented if we had this type of legislation over the past 10 years?' I wish that we could have asked Rep. Day that I know would have some answers for that, but I cannot come up and say that we could have prevented any accidents or any crimes. I also look at what's happening, which has been mentioned many times tonight--look at the power that we gave the Attorney Generals of the United States, John Mitchell. And now we're ashamed of them. And what if he.. and if we give them more power, what we're going to do. I think when we pass this type of legislation, any attorney that's had anything to do with the grand jury knows, he knows that the State's Attorney can go in there and get an indictment from a grand jury. And whether the Attorney General be a Republican or a Democrat, he can do the same thing. I don't think we want to give them anymore power than what they have today. And I think we should vote 'no' on this legislation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Anyone.. ah.. The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Getty."

T. M. Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have been told that this is an act to permit the Attorney General to prosecute multi-county crimes. It just simply isn't quite that simple. Unfortunately, they go off and



then say crimes affecting the government or citizens or residents of more than one county. This is so vague, as I believe, to make this Bill unconstitutional. When you put a vague provision in, it certainly means that in the future any indictment under that could be contested and you could end up having that indictment thrown out. And no successful prosecution. Moreover, it's been suggested that this has been modeled after the New Jersey Statute. The New Jersey Statute specifically requires that there be a showing and a finding by the judge that there be a need for that, that the showing of the matter cannot be effectively handled by the county grand jury must be made. And I suggest to you this Bill does not require that, and I urgently suggest a 'no' vote for these reasons."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lin.. from Ogle,
Rep. Brinkmeier."

R. E. Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, very briefly, the last time this proposal was presented, I was one of two Democrats that provided the 88th and 89th vote for passage. I was requested at that time that I should not vote for this particular legislation because they said it was to promote the political functions of the present Attorney General. My question at that point was would this be a good Bill, would this be a good law if we had a Democrat as the Attorney General? And the answer was yes. I submitted then and I submit to you now, the good Bill, a good law under Republican Attorney General. would be a good Bill and a good law under a Democrat. And I'm going to vote 'aye'."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Rep. Matijevich."

J. S. Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I hate to take up the time of the House, but ah.. it is a close vote now. And I.. I recall as I stand here speaking to everybody, ah.. just last session a Gentleman sat right in front of me, sat right in front of me, and that man was indicted. The man was indicted. Now, everybody knows what happened to him. What happened to him? He went to.. to court. The man was found innocent. There's not a Gentleman or a Lady in this House that will admit right now that in the minds of the people in his district and the minds of the people in Illinois, he's guilty. Without a doubt, he's guilty. He lost his office; he's not here. So he's guilty. I say that this can happen to anybody. Any individual, any individual going into a hearing the grand jury is secret. Everybody knows that. You have no right, you have no right at all. Think about that. If you're interested in the rights of people, you ought to think about that. Now, early in this session, I had a grand jury Bill to study the grand jury. Why was that Bill defeated? Of all times, we ought to be studying the grand jury system. Do you know why I put that Bill in? I put that Bill in because after the Black Panther grand jury I was convinced in my mind that any prosecutor, any prosecutor could turn the grand jury any way he wants. You know what happened. You know what happened. There were two separate grand juries.



Two separate conclusions. That ought to tell you that any grand jury could turn out any indictment it wants. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, I say to you, that over a hundred years ago, over a hundred years ago in the 1870 Constitutional Convention, the Members of that Constitutional Convention were going to eliminate the grand jury in Illinois. They were going to do it. And then one of the Members, they even took a vote on it. It passed. And then they reconsidered the vote and they ended up saying, 'well, it's so bad we'll give the General Assembly the power to do it. And you know they're going to do it because it's so bad; and it's been over a hundred years and they haven't done it yet. We've had the power to do it. And that's why I thought we ought to study it. But no, what are we doing now? Are we going forward? No, we're going backwards. We're going to extend that power, we're going to extend it State wide, and I say we're making a mistake if we're concerned about the rights of people. And I ask you to vote 'no' if you're concerned about anybody's right, and individual."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Rep. Alsup."

J. W. Alsup: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know how many of you here remember Jerry Carter from last session. As a matter of fact, one third of you don't. But about two thirds of us do. And I would like to point out to you that all that has to happen is that you are subpoenaed to appear before the State grand jury



about two weeks or ten days before election. And if you don't think you wouldn't get headlines in the paper, now what was the result of the Jerry Carter affair? They wouldn't hold his trial to last for the election, and thus, the result, the direct result is the fact that today the Republicans control the Senate. And if you don't think that this is likely to happen again in the future, you've got to be more naive than you deserve to be and serve in this General Assembly."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook. Representative Duff."

B. B. Duff: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to make just five brief points in explanation of my vote. Everybody in this House knows that I believe that the Grand Jury System itself, Federally and on a State Level, needs modification and reform. In this case, I will point out to you that the Bill says on Page 2, Lines 4, 5 and 6, 'the Laws applicable to Grand.... County Grand Juries shall apply to State Grand Juries except insofar as they are inconsistent'. I would suggest to you that if you fear the powers of the Grand Jury, then join with me next year when I come back again and try to modify the Grand Jury which, I do believe, needs a change. As to respects of this Bill, however, the other points are pertinent. First, we are dealing with a different level of jurisdiction. Second, in my proposals, I did maintain the investigative capacities of the Grand Jury and this Bill has essentially an investigative thrust.



Next, the Bill is different in character because of the scope of the power. We do have problems in Illinois of vote fraud, widespread Government corruption, and of crime syndicate activities which go way beyond the bounds of any single County and are pertinent and relative to the powers in this Bill, regardless of the powers of the Grand Jury at the County Level. I would further point out to you that just two weeks ago in this ah... esteemed Capitol Building, Bills came out of the State Senate and to the House Judiciary Committee which proposed that you could go find a favorite County States Attorney, that you could go get your venue, and you could go get your ah... your ah.. prosecutions or indictments at the County of your choice. Some of you can look up those Bills which were defeated. Essentially, this Bill would prevent that and it makes it a good Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bob Holloway."

R. H. Holloway: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's a great disappointment to see ah.. the 'green lights' fading. Ah.. this is a critical time and a critical hour for the people of the State of Illinois. And, I might say to you that in every field of endeavor, those who are engaged therein are equipped with the best and the latest tools. The giant Corporations spare no expense to equip themselves. Survival in the market place demands it. There's no business in this State, no combination of businesses that have as much at stake in this State as the people of Illinois."



In an age and at a time when the criminal community has at its disposal the most sophisticated means and personnel, the opponents of the State-wide Grand Jury would deny us the use of this new and great tool. The nature of the enemy, organized crime and corrupt public officials, make it clear that there's no other answer than a State-wide Grand Jury. What chance has a Local States Attorney against the onslaught of organized crime? More often than not, he is surrounded by corrupt public officials. And if not, at best, he can only see the tip of the iceberg of crime. A State-wide Grand Jury in the hands of a capable and dedicated Attorney General is the best defense that the people have against crime and corruption. Ladies and Gentlemen, let us have the State-wide Grand Jury at a time when we have the greatest Attorney General in the United States. Let's vote 'green' and let's have a State-wide Grand Jury."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

H. L. Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hate to explain a vote. I was for the man's motion the other day that we do away with explaining votes so that we can get this work completed. But, this is a close vote and I feel compelled just to say one thing. You know, I don't know where we were yesterday, but I see some of my friends from Downstate Illinois on that... on that 'green'... with a 'green light' up there. And, the Attorney General refused to accept my Amendment to make this a workable Grand Jury



that would do something for the people in Downstate Illinois by providing that that State Grand Jury could be impanelled to come into these Counties when they had an extreme emergency that their Local States Attorney could not handle from a lack of employees and a lack of funds. And, that was refused. And, I tell you people in Downstate Illinois that this State Grand Jury is not going to do anything for you. They refused to provide something that could help you. That's what the State Grand Jury should be able to do and should... and... and that Amendment should have been accepted so that they could do it. And, I'm happy to vote 'red' and I ask you to help us to defeat this Bill until they put it in a shape that'll do something for the people of this State."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

H. Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have cast a vote of 'green' for this Bill. I'm going to leave it 'green' and I'm going to tell you why. Bob Mann listed some figures and names of organizations which were structured by the General Assembly to take care of organized crime and to protect the people in their rights, civil and otherwise. The thing that was not mentioned is that none of these Agencies throughout this State, and particularly in the City of Chicago, have adequately functioned to protect the civil rights of black people. I wanted to ask the key Sponsor of this Bill a question. One was this. If this Bill is passed into Law, would the abominable situation existing in Cairo



for the last eight years in which races have killed, maimed and beaten black citizens while they were in the pursuit of their happiness. And, if this Bill covers that, I would vote for it. I was going to ask him this. Under the writing of this Bill, could he have gone into the City of Chicago where Hampton and Clark were brutally assassinated by Members of the Chicago Police Department? We have gone everywhere in Chicago, to the Local Police, States Attorney, to the Coroner. We've gone everywhere. The U.S. Attorney General has come in on several instances and attempted to do something about the horrible treatment that black people are getting in Chicago. But, Federal jurisdiction is limited. They can go just so far. Now, I'm familiar with the shortcomings of this Bill and I hope that the Sponsor would have adopted the Sangmeister Amendment No. 6. He didn't see fit to do so. I say no matter, no matter, even though the Bill has some minor defects in it, I'm voting for it because it offers an array of hope. I hope that somebody in this State of Illinois, Attorney General, States Attorney, Mayor of the City of Chicago, Cook County Board Presidents, Coroner, I don't give a damn who he is, I want somebody to stop this systematic killing of young black men for no reason at all, no reason at all. More from random on the speed of Chicago is simply this. A young black boy shot in the back of the head and the answer on the police officer is 'I dropped my gun'. We can go no farther with this. I will vote for this Bill. I don't think it's that defective. But if we stop one, one ~~brutal slaying of a black boy innocent in Chicago, then I think~~



it's worth it. I'm votin' for this Bill because nobody, absolutely nobody has undertaken a task of cleaning up the racist brutality of blacks in Chicago and Cairo. I proudly vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. Is there anyone else before we take this record? The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Rayson."

L. H. Rayson: "I don't see enough 'green' votes on that board, Mr. Speaker, so I'm explaining my vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Farley, for what purpose do you rise?"

E. A. Farley: "Did Rep. Rayson ah.. already speak?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, ah.. he spoke on a debate to the Bill. Ah.. he didn't explain his vote yet."

L. H. Rayson: "Thank you.. thank you, Mr. Speaker. Listening to the words of the last Gentleman, a Gentleman who I have the greatest respect for, I kept count.. countenancing the fact that the State's Attorney down in Cairo was the head of the White Hats down there. We sit here and heard talk about Hanson and Clark, and we had an unscrupulous State's Attorney in Cook County, and if the word for the media who helped give the people the right to know, we wouldn't have impaneled a Blue Ribbon Coroner's Jury and we wouldn't have let the Barnabus steer us and a new investigation, and all that bru-ha that followed. But out of all of that mess, the people found out something. They found out about the brutality, they found out the conspiracy against the black panthers, a conspiracy which we have learned under our right to know that emanated from



Washington. These are the things in this day and age we got to have. And if we have an Attorney General who can look over the shoulders of State's Attorneys, maybe we can have a little checks and balances in this area of law enforcement, that I think is run rampid really in abuses. And I say further, that many of us are concerned about corruption in public life, and we've heard talk about indictments. You know, Harry Truman once said, 'if you can't stand the heat, get out of the kitchen!'. Well, this is a day and age where, you know, everybody gets heat. And the lives, the indictments, the.. the ah.. aspersions will continue. And ah.. from all of this, the interest, and this is it as I see it, the interest of the people are better served by this tool than they would without it. in the hands of inept State's Attorneys, most of who I understand, oppose this Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Tazewell, Rep. VonBoeckman."

J. VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I'll just say a few words. The lust for power does strange things to men. And I for one, don't want to give this power to any one man. And the shining example can come well from the Watergate affair. For the lust for power by one man has destroyed himself. Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I proudly vote against this type of legislation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Rep. Douglas."

B. L. Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, it's not easy for me to explain my vote because it's a very personal explanation. But I want everyone in this General Assembly, and I've not told this story"

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



before, to know, that when I was running for re-election last year, I was called before a grand jury in my county to have my dental practice examined. And it was discovered and it was found clearly, that the reasons for this were purely political. My inclinations have been to vote for this Bill. But as I've sat here, and I've listened to those explain the dangers of the grand jury system, I can't help but remember the terrible experience that I and my associates had when we.. when I was running for re-election, were subpoenaed to go before the Cook County grand jury, to investigate the records of my dental practice. Try and figure that one out. Now, that may not be a common occurrence. But I'll never forget when a reporter of the Chicago Tribune called.. called me to let me know that he heard that I was being investigated, and he wanted to know from me what it was all about, and I still don't know what it was all about. And with that awful experience behind me, and some of the stories I've heard here today, I can't find it in my heart to trust the potential dangers of a politically oriented grand jury system, and I'm going to vote 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Anyone else? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take.. Ready? Take the record. What do you want, Rep. Maragos? What? On this question.. Have you taken the record? What is it? The Clerk says that the thing isn't working or something. Is this the record, Fred? Don't turn it off. All right. Was that the record, Fred? OK. Now, this is the roll call. On this question there are 88 'ayes'.. Rep. Day, for what purpose do you rise?"



R. G. Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I request a poll of the absentees."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. These are.. there are currently 88 'ayes'.

Rep. Maragos, for what purpose do you rise? Rep. Farley, are you seeking recognition, Sir?"

E. A. Farley: "Mr. Speaker, if it's necessary, I'd like to request a verification of the affirmative vote"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All.. all right. That already has been done. Now, the Gentleman from Peoria, Rep. Day has requested a poll of the absentees. There are currently 88 votes on this Bill. Will the Clerk please poll the absentees."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Arrigo. Barnes."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Rep. Barnes as voting 'present'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Beatty. Boyle. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Choate."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Rep. Choate as voting 'present'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Deavers. DiPrima. Ewell. Giorgi. Granata. Kennedy. Kosinski. Kozubowski."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record.. One moment. Record Rep. Kozubowski as voting 'present'. Take it easy. Everybody..

Rep. Kosinski wishes to be recorded as voting 'present'. Now.. One minute, now. Rep. Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

J. S. Matijevich: "May I make a point of order?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "State your point."

J. S. Matijevich: "At this time with this Bill, I think that the Attorney General is in no different position than any

lobbyist. He's on the Floor looking for votes. I think that's



out of order. I'd like to have a ruling on that."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Attorney.. Rep. Matijeovich, the Attorney General is a State officer. The question was asked a few moments ago here at the podium, and he has the right to the Floor as does every State officer. Rep. Matijeovich."

J. S. Matijeovich: "He has the right, but I still think it's unethical."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman is here, and he has the right. And he's simply exercising his right as does many if not all of the State officers."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Laurino. Leinenweber."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Wait. Laurino, 'present'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Lemke. Madigan. Martin. McAuliffe. Merlo. Nardulli. Patrick."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "One moment please. Rep. Merlo, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

J. Merlo: "Please.. please record me as voting 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Rep. Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

E. E. Bluthardt: "It seems to me that I see a lot of Governor's aides around the Floor, and I would ask that they be removed from the Floor."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, if they have passes, you know they're entitled to the Floor. If they have the passes."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Redmond. Sevcik. Ike Sims."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Wait. Wait. Wait. Someone want recog-



dition? Rep. Redmond, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. R. Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, I've been recorded as not voting, and at this time, I would like the indulgence to explain a vote. I've been agonized with this Bill for the last four years. I didn't vote for it before, because then, the State's Attorney of DuPage County didn't think it was worthy of support. He is now a Federal judge, and I believe his political persuasion is Republican. His successor also did not think the Bill merited his support. I have such fine thoughts and affection for the present Attorney General, that I had intended to support it. But the Watergate hearings, when I see the abuses that are perpetrated on innocent people and the power of indictment and the power of electronic surveillance, and all the other horrible and desperate things, I just couldn't get myself around to support. It's difficult to vote against the Attorney General. So I'd like to be recorded as voting 'present'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'." Will the Clerk please proceed with the ah.. Record Rep. Redmond as voting 'present'.

Fredric B. Selcke: "Terzich. Thompson. R. Walsh."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Rep. Thompson as voting 'present'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Williams."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "What? Ah.. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Springer, are you seeking recognition, Sir? No. Anyone seeking recognition? Give me the roll call.

Rep. Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"



H. Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is Rep. Yourell recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

H. Yourell: "Vote me 'present'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Rep. Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

D. M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

D. M. Pierce: "Well, you have 88 votes. So, I'm going to vote 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Anyone else? Rep. Martin, for what purpose do you rise?"

P. S. Martin: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

P. S. Martin: "Please record me 'present'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'present'."

Anyone else wish to get on this roll call? 'No', 'yes', or

'present'? No one else? Record Rep. Boyle as voting 'present'."

Mr. Clerk, would you.. Rep. Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? Give me the count, Mr. Clerk, when you've got it ready. Rep. Kempiners is seeking recognition."

W. L. Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is Rep. Kempineæss recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

W. L. Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, it's been mentioned by one other

Member in this House that he's been going through a little

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



agony in this. I, too, have been going through quite a bit of agony. There's a philosophy involved here, and I'm not quite sure where I stand on it. We've got a tremendous Attorney General here in the State of Illinois, and I respect him and I respect his judgment. And I'm recorded as voting 'no'. However, I think in a case like this, we've got to look to the future. And we've got to once in a while, take a chance. Now, I think I would like to change my vote to 'yes'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Rep. Kempiners as voting 'yes'. Is there anyone else? Rep. Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

S. C. Maragos: "I request a verification of the roll call."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All right. Mr. Clerk, what is the count right now. There are currently 89 'ayes', 54 'nays', a certain number voting 'present'. All right. Now, the affirmative roll call has been verified. Who.. who.. who are you all pointing at, now? A verif.. No one is seeking.. A verification has been requested. Rep. Deuster, are you.. The Gentleman from Lake, Rep. Deuster."

E. E. Deuster: "Ah.. how am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

D. E. Deuster: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, ah.. this is on my conscience a great deal.. ah.. weighing the aspects of this and there is corruption here, there, and everywhere, and I have given this careful thought. And I think that I would like to change



my vote from 'nay' to 'aye'. Please record me 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman 'aye'. The Gentleman has requested a verification. Rep. Gibbs, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. J. Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

W. J. Gibbs: "Mr. Re.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm probably the only Republican left voting 'no'. I want to continue to remain 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "OK. The Gentleman.. the Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative roll call. Will the Members please be in their seats. And the Clerk, read the affirmative roll call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Anderson. Arnell. Barry. Bluthardt. Borchers. Brinkmeier. Campbell. Catania. Clabaugh. Collins."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

G. W. Shea: "Now, I know it's late in the evening, and a lot of us are awful tired. But I'm wondering if you would clear the Floor and have the Members in their seat, because I think that this is a very important roll call. And I'm sure that we'd just appreciate that."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman's point is well taken. Will the people who are not authorized to be on the Floor, please leave the Floor, clear the aisles, and will the Members who have voted the affirmative, at least, please be in your seats. Now, proceed with the affirmative roll call, Mr. Clerk."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Collins."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Wait, Ger.. All right. The Assistant Minority Leader still says that the aisles are not cleared and the Members are not in their seats. Would you please comply with that request? OK. Gerry. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Cox. Cunningham. Day. Deuster. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Ebbesen. Epton. Fleck. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Harpstrite. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. J. Holloway. R. Holloway. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Dave Jones. Juckett. Keller. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Mahar. McAvoy. McCormick. McCourt. McMaster. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Philip. Peters. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Timothy Simms. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stiehl. Telcser. Tipsword. Totten. Tuerk. Waddell. Wall. W. D. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washington. J. J. Wolf"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ok. Now, who's going to ask the question? Sam, are you? All right. The question is the affirmative vote. Will the Members who have voted in the affirmative please be in your seats. Rep. Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "Well, before we begin, Mr. Speaker, would you tell us the count at the present time of the affirmative vote?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well now, Sam, I know there's a lot of interest in this Bill. There are 90 'ayes'. Now, let's



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

remind ourselves. Sam, once someone has verified, they're verified. And once you're finished verifying, I'm going to get that count from the Clerk and announce it. OK?
Sam, all right. OK. Now."

S. C. Maragos: "Mrs. Catania."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ah.. she's on the Floor."

S. C. Maragos: "Mrs.. ah.. Mr. Fleck."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Fleck on the.. How is Mr. Fleck recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'!"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. Fleck on the Floor? Rep. Fleck. How is this Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off of the roll call!"

S. C. Maragos: "Harpstrite."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ah.. Harspprite is down the center aisle."

S. C. Maragos: "Hill."

Rep. Archur A. Telcser: "Hill. Jack Hill is by his seat. Right there. Right by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Hirschfeld."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. Hirschfeld on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "R. K. Hoffman."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. Ronald Hoffman on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "J. D. Holloway."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. J. D. Holloway. Rep.. Is the Gentleman on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take the Gentleman off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "J. M. Houlihan."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. J. M. Houlihan on the Floor?"

He's sitting ah.. next to.. ah.. right here on the aisle."

S. C. Maragos: "Juckett."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing right here by the podium."

S. C. Maragos: "Is Kempiners in his seat?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's on the Floor."

S. C. Maragos: "Rep. Klosak."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Kriegsman."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Kriegsman is in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Kucharski."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Kucharski is standing by the.. the ah.. post."

S. C. Maragos: "LaFleur."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. LaFleur is in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "I can't see, Mr. Speaker. That's why I challenge.."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. LaFleur, would you please stand up. Rep. LaFleur. Rep. LaFleur, would you please stand up, please."



S. C. Maragos: "Thank you. He's behind the big shadow of Mr. Philip, there. I didn't see him. Mr. Lauer."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Lauer is in his seat. Now, Sam. Let's.. One second now. Is Rep. Fleck waving his hand? I ah.. he was taken off the roll call. I assume he wants go back on. Is that right, Charlie? Put Rep. Fleck back on."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Londrigan."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Lundy."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Mr. Lundy is right down here."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Mahar."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Will the Members please get in your seats, and whatever that situation is, it will be taken care of. "

S. C. Maragos: "McCormick. McCourt."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. McCourt is standing by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "McMaster."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. McMaster on.. He's standing by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Rep. Thomas Miller."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing in the aisle."

S. C. Maragos: "Pappas."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. Pappas on the Floor? Rep. Pappas. How was the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off of the roll call. Where are we? Randolph is in his seat."



S. C. Maragos: "Rigney."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Rigney is in ah.. is sitting in Rep. Murphy's seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Rose. I see him. Sorry. He came back. McAvoy."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing in the back. Any further questions, Sam?"

S. C. Maragos: "Schlickman."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Schlickman is standing in the back by Rep. Hyde."

S. C. Maragos: "Schoeberlein."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep. Schoeberlein is in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Schraeder."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Schraeder is by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "W. T. Simms."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's sitting in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "I. R. Sims. Oh. Ah.. Sorry. No. That was a mistake. Springer."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing by his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Geo-Karis."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. Geo-Karis on the Floor? How is the Lady recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take her off of the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Kent. Mrs Kent."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "She's in her seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Grotberg."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing right here on the podium."



S. C. Maragos: "That's all, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Now, Mr. Clerk.. Rep. William Walsh,
for what purpose do you rise?"

W. D. Walsh: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

W. D. Walsh: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'.
Rep. ah.. Dyer, for what purpose do you rise?"

G. Dyer: "How am I recorded as voting?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is Rep. Dyer recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

G. Dyer: "Ah.. please change my vote to 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record her as voting 'aye'. Rep.
Ron Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

R. K. Hoffman: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is now shown as being taken
off the roll."

R. K. Hoffman: "Vote me 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'.
Rep. Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. G. Sevcik: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is Rep. Sevcik recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

J. G. Sevcik: "Vote me 'aye' as the Speaker votes."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record me.. the Gentleman as voting
'aye'. Ah.. Rep. Maragos."



S. C. Maragos: "I'm sorry. I overlooked one name here. I was looking through my list. I missed it. Rep. Keller."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Rep. Keller on the Floor? How was the Gentleman.. how was the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take Rep. Keller off of the roll call.

Any further questions? Anyone else? Mr. Clerk, what do you have? The Clerk wants to audit his figures first. What? Well, the Clerk.. I've got to get it from the Clerk, Sam. He's standing right by his seat, Sam. On this question there are 88 'ayes', 52 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional majority is hereby.. What?.. is hereby declared lost. Now wait a second. Does the Gentleman want to go on postponed? No? OK. We've declared it lost."



Speaker Telcser: "Give me the other Bill. Give me the other Bill, I'll call it. Senate Bill 621."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 621, an Act making appropriation to the State Board of Vocational Education. Third Reading of the Bill. Huh? What's the matter with it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley. All right, okay, let's wait a second. Now, a request has been made to take a five minute break, someone is ill on the floor, if the Members will be in their seats, if the Members will be in their seats and don't crowd around, we'll resume in five minutes. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when I was out because I was ill, my vote was taken off, at the same time I had a chance to do some thinking. I respect the Attorney General, I told him I would vote for this Bill, but my conscience got the best of me because I did not think it was a good Bill and I want everyone to know that if I would've been here, I would've voted 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . All right, in the . . . during the course of the recess, . . . ah . . . Representative Duff wants to . . . ah . . . make an inquiry now, and while he does that . . . turn on Representative Duff, please."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, for some days now I have really tried hard and tried to cooperate with the Speaker or whoever was in the Chair in requesting that my Resolution . . . be called."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait a second, Brian, will you wait a minute please? Just wait a minute."

Duff: "Ah . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Turn him off, Chockey, . . . he ought to know better than that, eeh, God."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, . . . ah . . ."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I did not know that there was somebody ill on the floor, and I will not make my request at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Senate Bill 379. Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you arise? Recall 621, I'm sorry. Senate Bill 621."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 621, an Act making appropriation to the State Board of Vocational Education of Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker; and Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if I could have leave of the House to hear . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 620, a companion Bill, along with 621?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? What was that other Bill, 628 . . ."

Bradley: "620."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . 620, will the Clerk please read Senate Bill 620?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 620, an Act to amend an Act relating to disabled persons. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; and Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 620 is a piece of legislation recommended by the Urban Education Commission. It will distribute state funds to local public education units based upon the average added differential costs of occupational education programs compared to academic programs; and Senate Bill 621 is the appropriation Bill to supply the funds when 620 is enacted. It appropriates \$7.2 million to the Board of Education and Rehabilitation. The . . . as I had said, both Bills were recommended by the Urban Education Commission. It came out of the Appropriation Committee with a unanimous vote, and I ask for the support of the House . . . ah . . . to pass Senate Bills 620 and 621. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bills 620 and 621 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take the Record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Hey, now, wait a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, wait a second. Mr. Clerk, what's the problem?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . It's still . . . try to push it down and nothing



happens . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "There's something wrong with the Clerk's thing here, let's just see . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . well, is it this side. What do you want to do, Ralph?"

Unknown: "Well, I wanted to run two copies."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, I wonder if it's this side . . . well, shit! Yeah, both of them. What? Did he . . . no, what do you want now, Arthur?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, what's the Roll Call on those . . . on Senate Bill 6 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "133 'ayes', 1 'nay'."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . on these two questions there are 133 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. Grotberg 'aye'. Senate Bill 379."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 379, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Personnel. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 379 is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Personnel. The original Bill was for \$34,300,000. It was kicked up in the Senate Appropriation's Committee to \$36,700,000. Our fiscally responsible Appropriation Committee under the leadership of my colleague from the 43rd District, Representative Washburn, reduced the amount to \$31,670,000. This is an agreed Amendment. I move for passage of Senate Bill 379."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 379 pass? All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take the Record. On this . . . why don't you come up to the Clerk, come on, . . . on this question there are 134 'ayes', 3 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."



What do you got next? Okay, the Clerk tells me that the machine is not punching out the Record Roll Calls, and the question is, shall Senate Bill 379 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . nothing happened . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 1 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . just a minute, Arthur, do it again, tell 'em to do it again, ring the bell again . . . yeah . . . well, your switch is locked, please vote. Arthur, tell them to vote . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . the machine is broken and we're trying to get it to operate so if we ask you to vote again, will you please vote again?"

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 379 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Now, we're going to see . . ."

Unknown: ". . . merit selection . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . now, we're going to see if it works, take the Record. Wait a second, the machine is 'Becocked', those of you who know what that means . . . it's 'Becocked' with a capital 'B'. Representative Walsh? Bill, it didn't count. That wasn't for real. Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise? Yourell, Yourell, Buz, what . . ."

Yourell: "Yeah, that grand jury Bill scared the hell out of the machine too, didn't it?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, now, wait a second now, Ladies and Gentlemen, look, Fred, you've got to be kidding now, come on. Now, what . . . we have a little problem here. Fred, this machine is . . . it won't work?"

Clerk Selcke: "I tried and tried and tried to tell you all the time, but you wanted that totalizer runnin' at the same . . . it's gonna' burn up."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, fellas'?"

Clerk Selcke: "You'll be here 'til Labor Day."



Speaker Telcser: "Well, this is a fine kettle of fish. Representative Lechowicz, what can you do to add to the . . . ah . . ."

Lechowicz: "We're going to have a grand, Mr. Speaker, we're going to a grand jury investigation on that machine."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Borchers, can you add something to our dire strait?"

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker, I'd be willing to carry 177 proxies over to the Senate."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there . . . what do you mean it's leaking, I asked this morning."

Unknown: "Yeah, I've noticed the same thing, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if you ought to have somebody check the ceiling."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, for those Members who are getting water dripping on their heads as we do on the podium from time to time . . . the ceiling leaks, the ceiling leaks. Okay? I did, Representative Borchers, did you want to say something?"

Borchers: "Yes, I did, after a long while, between bites of potato salad, I'd like to just make a suggestion that since the thing is broke down we . . . ah . . . let them fix it and come back tomorrow at 10 o'clock, just stop the clock."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now . . . let's . . . let's be at ease . . . ah . . . Fred sent somebody upstairs to fiddle around a little bit . . . to fiddle around . . . fiddle . . . with the machine, unless you want to go to oral Roll Calls, I . . . and be at ease and see if Fred or the electrician can get the machine fixed . . . all right, now, let's all please all be at ease. Let's take a . . . what do you need, Fred, 10 minutes . . . on oral Roll Call? . . . okay, do you want to take some oral Roll Calls? All right, Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise? Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "The release of the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "We'll leave for the last partisan Roll Call. All right, let's . . . do you want to take an oral Roll Call on a simple Bill maybe? All right, we're going to take . . . ah . . . an appropriation Bill, now, what was that number, Bill, Bill, Bill



Walsh, what's the number? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "1173."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Senate Bill 1173. Can you read it, Fred? Here, Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "That shows you my mechanical aptitude. Huh? Take this one out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "I know, take 379 out of the Record. Whatever you want, Bill. Okay, we've called 379, it's been read a third time. Now, the question is, shall Senate Bill 379 pass? The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. Wait a second, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Well, Bill, is it my understanding that we are going to establish on one oral Roll Call for this Bill on an appropriation Bill and then use that Roll Call on each appropriation Bill and give those people that are on that Roll Call an opportunity to say, 'I do not want to be voted', or somebody that didn't vote it, the opportunity to get on. That way we can at least continue to work while they fix the mechanical gadget."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, did all the Members hear that? The question is, shall Senate Bill 379 pass? We're going to take an oral Roll Call and establish this . . . and establish this Roll Call as sort of a unanimous Roll Call that we use in our Committees, and we'll use this for . . . ah . . . subsequent appropriation Bills. Is that all right? Right. Okay? Okay. Now, the Clerk will read the names of the Members. All right, now, we're going to have to be quiet because . . . because the Clerk will not be able to hear your voice. So please let's try and be quiet so we can establish this Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Anderson. Arnell. Arrigo; and tell them please not to answer for anybody, you know."

Speaker Miller: "And the Clerk requests that please don't answer for anyone . . . any other Members, please."

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Bradley?"



Speaker Miller: "Did Mr. Bradley answer? I guess not, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Brandt."

Speaker Miller: "'Aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell.

Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate.

Clabaugh. Collins. Cox. Craig. Cunningham. Davis. Day.

Deavers. Deuster. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L.

Dunne. Dyer. Ebbessen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey."

Speaker Miller: "Fennessey 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Fleck. Flinn."

Speaker Miller: "Fleck 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Flinn. Friedland. He's right here."

Speaker Miller: "Friedland 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Garwisa. Sparky's back there. Geo-Karis. She's not here. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. He's here. Giorgi."

Speaker Miller: "Giorgi 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Granada. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. He's not there. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. 'Aye'? 'Aye'. Hirschfeld.

Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Let's have a little order again."

Speaker Miller: "Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, if you're gonna' all talk on the floor, the Clerk can't hear, can't hear at all. Will . . . can you please cooperate."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde."

Speaker Miller: "Hun . . . Hyde 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Jacobs."

Speaker Miller: "Hunsicker 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Jacobs. Jacobs 'aye'. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Dave Jones."

Speaker Miller: "Do you want to record Mr. Choate as 'aye'?"

Clerk Selcke: "Dave you . . . 'aye' . . . Dave Jones 'aye'. Juckett. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent."

Speaker Miller: "Kent 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kriegsman 'aye'. Kucharski. LaFluer. Lauer."



Speaker Miller: "Is that . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "He's here. Laurino. Huh? Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan."

Speaker Miller: "Laurino 'aye'. Londrigan 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Lundy. Do you want to vote. Macdonald. Macdonald 'aye'. Madigan. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. Huh? 'Aye'? Okay, he hollared. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenney Miller. Tom Miller. Yeah, put him down 'aye'. Molloy. Mugalian. Huh? 'Aye'? Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Philip. Pierce. Peters. Polk. What is he . . ."

Speaker Miller: "'Aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Poik votes 'aye'? Porter. No, hell no. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. I didn't get Rayson. Did you get him? He's not there. Rigney. Rose. 'Aye' for Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schickman. Well, yeah, but that don't mean . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Schick . . . Schlickman 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Schneider. Schoeberlein. Good for you, Hal', we can at least hear you. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea."

Speaker Miller: "Record Mr. Shea as 'aye', he requested it."

Clerk Selcke: "Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Is she there?"

Speaker Miller: "I don't see Mrs. Stiehl."

Clerk Selcke: "Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Was Telcser . . . he was here. Huh? Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Totten. Totten? Totten 'aye'. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe."

Speaker Miller: "B. B. Wolfe is here, so 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Yourell. Mr. Speaker. Now . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Miller: "I have several as . . . I think that's the best way. We'll poll the absentees now on this list, and this will be our attempt for a unanimous Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "Arrigo. Barnes. Barnes 'aye'. Bradley. Brinkmeier.



Calvo."

Speaker Miller: "Brinkmeier is here . . . is 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Calvo is 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Calvo is 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Carter. Day. Day is 'aye'. Epton. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs, 'aye'. Granada. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman, 'aye'. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Yeah, Where's Aaron? Jim Houlihan. Keller."

Speaker Miller: "Keller is here so record him 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Lundy. Ah . . . He got on, he got on."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Hanahan is here, Mr. Clerk, and wants to vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . He didn't answer, he didn't answer. Where is he? No. Lundy. Porter. Rayson. Sevcik, 'aye'?"

Speaker Miller: "Sevick 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Stiehl 'aye'?"

Speaker Miller: "Stiehl 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'? Rayson 'aye'? Rayson 'aye'. Okay, now, then . . . Bernie Epton is 'aye', he's comin', he's voted already. Now, let's see, what do you got . . . ah . . . wait a minute, I've got to . . . well, let's count Arrigo, Bradley, Carter, . . . ah . . . are you keepin' count, I mean, the numbers, let's see . . . well, I mean, do you count the numbers, I'm not countin' the numbers. Let me count . . . Arrigo, Bla . . . Blades, Bradley, Carter . . . ah . . . Geo-Karis, Getty, Granada, Harpstrite, Hirschfeld, J. Houlihan, Lundy, Porter, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker. Roll Call . . . well, I think he's . . . Murphy, Murphy . . . ah . . . yeah, all right, on this question there were 165 'ayes', wait a minute, 'yeas' 165, nays none, and answering 'present' none."

Speaker Miller: "Will the Members . . . I know you are . . . but please stand at ease for a minute. We're trying to develop a system here we can use to satisfaction. Mr. Bill Walsh, will you come up here please and Gerry Shea?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"



Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, hey, give me a little more volume, give me a little volume, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would hope that the Members of the General Assembly would just give us a slight amount of attention if possible. We . . . we just had a . . . a meeting and a Roll Call on the Senate Bills in reference to the Department of Personnel, their annual appropriation, and Mr. Speaker, with your indulgence and the indulgence of the Members of the General Assembly, we would like to expand that list for the appropriation matters only, and I'll be very careful and read the Bill number and the Sponsor's name. We'll take it rather slowly so everybody has an opportunity to take a look at it in . . . in their Digest. Now, this is the Agreed List between . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a minute, Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Fleck: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before Representative Lechowicz gets into this . . . ah . . . agreed Bill for the appropriations, I feel like I'm . . . ah . . . a stranger in Alice in Wonderland because there are so many people on this floor who have no right to be on this floor; and when we start going through this list, I think we should have a little order. So let's move the people who have no right to the floor right now."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the . . . will the Doorkeepers . . . ah . . . clear those people off of the floor who are not entitled to the floor, and that includes the area on the side of both railings. I got to call your Bill next, Pat. Okay? Now, if the Members will try to be in their seats and . . . and remain quiet . . . all right, okay, now, Ted, now I . . . do you want the Clerk to read these Bills a third time or are you just going to read the Bills first and then the Clerk reads them a third time? Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

Peters: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, if I might just make a suggestion. If somebody can read the Bills and then if we might pause just for five minutes or so . . . ah . . . to see what we've got on the



list and then we can . . . ah . . . ask . . . Fred go through with them."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I'll read the list and then . . . and this time . . . and that'll give the Members an opportunity to go through the Digest. After I give . . . give the Bill number and the House Sponsor's name, is . . . the . . . Fred Selcke, the Clerk, will read the Bills after I get through with the whole list for a third time so you . . . you'll have an ample amount of time to look in your Digest and see how you want to be recorded on that matter; and if I . . . if I may proceed, Mr. Speaker, with a little order."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait . . . if there's . . . representative Schlickman, you wish to . . . ah . . . make a comment now?"

Schlickman: "I only have one question, Mr. Speaker. Is this list of appropriation Bills restricted to existing agencies and applicable to previously established programs?"

Lechowicz: "Ah . . . To be honest with you, there are certain items that are included in the . . . that exceed your request, like say for example, if a couple of people has a special road project, we passed it out of the House, there was a duplicate Bill in the Senate, we amended it down to the same version as the House measure and out of courtesy we would be passing those Bills out. That's the only exception, Gene."

Schlickman: "Now, Mr. Speaker, are we going to have the opportunity of not only registering our 'no' vote, but also having stricken a Bill which we object to so that we can have the opportunity of debate?"

Lechowicz: "I don't see any objection to that. If you'd like, if you can do it in a proper amount of time, but these all . . . all of these items were reviewed quite fairly in the Appropriation's Committee, Gene, but I . . . I would . . . ah . . . concur with your recommendation on that."

Schlickman: "Well, let me be more specific, is House Bill 1149 on that



list?"

Lechowicz: "1149?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Sir."

Lechowicz: "Yes, it is."

Schlickman: "Then I would object to that Bill being on that list, because that's for a new program or for a new agency which we haven't even considered, . . ."

Lechowicz: "Well, if you . . . hang on for a second, I'll give you the . . ."

Schlickman: ". . . and I request now that that be removed from that list just as we've had the opportunity of removing from the Agreed List . . ."

Lechowicz: "Gene, out of all fairness, why don't we read the list, you may want to expand it. Mr. Speaker, may I proceed?"

Schlickman: "But I do have the understanding that that Bill is going to be removed?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. 1149, please remove that from the list."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are we in some sort of agreement?"

Lechowicz: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I may? Senate Bill 278, Representative Douglas. Senate Bill 315, Representative Soderstrom. Senate Bill 338, Representative Ryan. Senate Bill 378, McPartlin. Senate Bill 421, Representative Ebbessen. Senate Bill 423, Representative Bluthardt. Senate Bill 459, Representative Shea. Senate Bill 460, Representative Shea. Senate Bill 461, Representative Washington. Senate Bill 481, Representative Walters. Senate Bill 549, Representative Macdonald. Senate Bill 573, Representative Philip. Senate Bill 574, Representative Philip. Senate Bill 581, Representative Barry. Senate Bill 590, Representative Rose. Senate Bill 650 . . . 614, Representative Calvo. Senate Bill 632, Representative Madigan . . . -32, 632. Senate Bill 663, Representative Terzich."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a minute, Representative Calvo, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Calvo: "Oh, . . . ah . . . I thought he was through with the list. I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, but I was kind . . . trying to make a deal, if we'll call 613, I'll table 614?"



Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a minute, I . . . I've inquired about this . . ."

Calvo: ". . . after a while."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . I've made inquiry of the fellow here in the press box with that camera. He tells me that he's not taking pictures, he's looking through the viewer for something. Now, put the camera away, would you please. I don't know what he's looking for."

Lechowicz: "May I continue, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "However, a couple of them want recognition. Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, could . . . ah . . . the Representative read a little slower and could you repeat the last few Bills, please?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. Ah . . . Senate Bill 632, Representative Madigan. Senate Bill 633, Representative Williams. Senate Bill 663, Representative Terzich. Art', they want recognition over there."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ron Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hoffman: "Senate Bill 633 is not an appropriation Bill, it creates a new Acc."

Lechowicz: "But it . . . it's a substance Bill and the 664 is the appropriation."

Hoffman: "I object to it, remove it please."

Lechowicz: "Sure."

Speaker Telcser: "Which one . . . 663, 663 is coming off of that list."

Lechowicz: "And so is 664, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "So is 664. Representative VonBoeckman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "I would hope . . ."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, there's also objection to Senate Bill 315."

Lechowicz: ". . . I would hope that we could read the list and then we can go with the objections, please, or else we'll never get through with it."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mann: "I'd like to ask the Gentleman a question, is I may?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Mann: "Are you going to reread those?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. The Clerk will reread them for Third Reading."

Mann: "Well, what . . . what are we doing now . . . ah . . ."

Lechowicz: "We're trying to come up with an Agreed List. Why don't you check with Gerry Shea, or Schlickman, or the Leadership over there; they've all got a copy of the list. If you've got any objections, check with them. All I'm trying to do is read them. We've got a list of Senate Bills, appropriation matters, that we don't think if they're controversial, we're giving a listing to the Membership and then in turn we're asking if there's any objections, we'll take the Bill off the Agreed List. We'll have one Roll Call on all Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, how long are we going to get to look at this list?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're going to do it right now. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, if we're ever going to get through this list, all we're asking is that one of the Appropriation's Members read the list. If you've got an objection to it, see Representative Walsh, the Clerk or myself; and we'll make sure that we say which ones are off the list. If we continue every time a Bill is read to get up and object to it, we'll never be out of here."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed with the list."

Lechowicz: "May I continue, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, go ahead . . . told you . . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Mr. Mann just tells me he is going to object to every one of them, so why go through the futility?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've been here 12 years,



I don't think I've ever done anything unreasonable or irresponsible, now wait a minute . . . wait a minute . . . wait a minute, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to object . . . tell you why I'm objecting to Senate Appropriation Bills. The Senate has been pushing us around all year, I had an understanding with the Sen . . . with a Senate Member on the cost-of-living increase for public aid recipients, that was an understanding. Now, they're holding that Bill hostage over there, and I'm objecting to every Senate Appropriation's Bill until they release that Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in response to my distinguished colleague, I would hope that we could be bigger and better men, and not play a game of reciprocity, a game in my opinion that's completely futile and a little bit childish. Now, if you have an objection to a Bill, please register it if you don't . . . if you think that the Bill does not deserve your merit, vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, one second, Representative Campbell has an interest in that Bill to which Mann referred to. Let's see what he has to say."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm fully aware of what Representative Mann was talking about, but I have just come from the Senate and if he will hold his damn patience just a little bit, I think the job is going to be done. Now, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, we get to this . . . this is a very unusual situation with this machine broken down . . . ah . . . I think everyone should be a little aware that this is something that is totally unexpected. Now, can Representative Lechowicz proceed with that list? Proceed, please."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know what the rules are, but maybe we should suspend the rules, because I just looked at Representative Mann and I would hope that he would be a bit . . . just a bit more understanding, he said he's going to object anyway. So I . . . when I opened my opening remarks, I ask that



we suspend the appropriate rule in order to consider this type of a motion, and all I'd like to do is con . . . continue if I may, read the Agreed List, if people object to any Bills, they can see the Leadership, either Bill Walsh or Gerry Shea and it'll come off the list. This is the best way that I can see how we can expedite this matter under these trying conditions. Senate Bill 666, Representative Neff. Senate Bill 699, Representative Soderstrom."

Speaker Telcser: "694?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I misread that, 694. Senate Bill 731, -32, Representative Madigan. Senate Bill 733, Representative LaFluer. Senate Bill 737, Representative LaFluer. Senate Bill 735, Representative Madigan. Senate Bill 736, Representative Madigan. Senate Bill 832, C. L. McCormick. Senate Bill 883, Representative Berman. Senate Bill 914, Repre . . . Representative Collins, I believe. Senate Bill 925, Representative Craig. Senate Bill 1022, Representative Beatty. Senate Bill 1024, Kozu . . . Representative Kozubowski. Senate Bill 1048, Representative Ryan. Senate Bill 1096, Representative Boyle. Gene, did you object to 1149? I don't know if I marked it on my list or not. Yes, there was an objection raised to 1149, so that's out; and, Gene, the companion Bill on that is 678, so that should be out as well. All right, all right . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1153, Representative LaFluer. Senate Bill 11 . . . is that --57, Speaker? . . . is that --57?"

Speaker Telcser: "--54."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. Senate Bill 1154, Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Telcser: "Houlihan."

Lechowicz: "Houlihan, I'm sorry. Senate Bill 1157, Representative Berman. Senate Bill 1159, Representative Lechowicz. Senate Bill 1181, is that Merritt or Madigan? Who is the . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Collins."

Lechowicz: ". . . Collins, I'm sorry. Senate Bill 1182, Representative Madigan. Senate Bill 1194, Representative Blair. Senate Bill 1195, Representative Blair. Senate Bill 1199, Representative Chapman. Senate Bill 1173, Representative Shea; and Senate Bill 1174, Representative Lemke. Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of



the House, this is the Agreed List, the Consent List, I should say, the proposed Consent List. Now, if I listen to any more attorneys, I'll probably . . . this is the list that we agreed to. Now, if there is any Member that has any objection to any one of the Bills, please see Representative Walsh or Representative Shea, and ask that these Bills be removed from the Consent List and we'll give you approximately five minutes while the Clerk read . . . reads the Bills on Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, let me go around now. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Fleck: "I . . . I rise for two reasons, Mr. Speaker. Number 1, I think if we're going to avoid any kind of constitutional crisis, the clock setter realizes . . . ah . . . it's in perpetual motion of nothingness right now; and number 2, I would like to make some remarks of my good friend, Representative Mann. We have a very serious problem on our hands right now . . . ah . . . we are really amobbed without that voting machine, and I really think that it would be . . . the total height of irresponsibility for any Legislator at this time to object to all Bills for some reason that they feel that one of their Bills might be held in hostage in the Senate. I'm sure a lot of us might be in the same boat, but . . . ah . . . the work of the state and the responsibility that we bear must proceed, and we are in trouble unless we proceed in a responsible fashion. So I . . . I'm very hopeful that Bob will remove . . . ah . . . will not move to object all these Bills, because it's going to be tenuous and very a difficult situation if he does."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Just an inquiry, what time is it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, it's about . . . ah . . . well, it's about 7:30 or thereabouts . . . well, I want . . . Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you arise?"

Leinenweber: "It was suggested yesterday by someone brighter than I that unless you feel your forensic talents are so great that you



can kill one of these Bills, then probably you ought to leave it on here and just register a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Madigan came and brought to my attention, and I would hope that the Members would also correct their lists if it's at all possible, he stated that I stated 737 and it's a written list and it was my error. It should be 734, Representative LaFleur."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washington, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 461, which is on this list is the Governor's budget, Mr. Speaker,. It's on Third, I have two can in this interval take it back to Second and put those two Amendments on, we might save some time."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz . . . ah . . ."

Lechowicz: "I think that's a real good idea and in fact if there is any other Bills have have any Amendments on them, we should move on their adoption and have them remain on the list."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you arise?"

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I've had Senate Bill 448 that I asked to be called this morning. Somewhere in the neighborhood of 10 o'clock to take it back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. I waited patiently, I made continuous requests. I recall that I voted to suspend the rules to let the Leadership call the Bills in the order that they preceded; and they said if you stay here, you'll get your reward, you'll get your reward. Well, I submit to the Membership, we got our reward, and it's a bale of hay for the jackasses that voted to let the Leadership handle these Bills. Now, my Bill is in jeopardy. If I look at my watch, I've got about 10 minutes. I've done everything I can to get this Bill called, and I don't know what else can be done. That's right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that any Bills that have Amendments



to be added be stricken from this list, that we take them straight on."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Well, I would hope that the Majority Leader would reconsider because we're only talking about a few Bills and as far as the Governor's package, it should be a cost-of-living increase which is put on all the other Bills. So why don't we hear the Amendments and then if they have any objections, they can be taken off the Agreed List?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Washington, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "Now, why is the Majority Leader objecting? This Bill came up the other day and because of his objection, the only one in the House, I took it to Third and left the Amendments on Second. I thought he wanted to speak to Mr. Washburn. Have you spoken to him? What are you trying to do, just tear up the whole dam worse? I suggest you let me amend this Bill and keep it on here and stop these frivolous objections."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "My point is directed at all Bills that require Amendments that are on this list. I think that we have . . . we should have an opportunity to look at the Amendments before we vote on the list. That is it, purely and simply. I didn't realize that this was the Bill that we had talked about . . . ah . . . a few days ago. All right, but I do persist that any Bill that has an Amendment should be taken from this list and let's vote on the . . . ah . . . remainder."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Washington, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "Now, this Amendment has been on your desks as long as it's been on mine, which is about five or six days, I don't see why we have to do that. It won't take but two minutes to take this Bill back to Second and amend it and put it back on this Agreed List."



Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Might I suggest that Representative Walsh and Representative Choate get together and lay down the ground rules for the appropriations. When we find out what we're doing with them and in the meantime, Mr. Telcser, I suggested that perhaps the Leadership, Representatives Walsh and Choate, could get together and lay down the ground rules on the appropriations. We have a unanimous Roll Call, perhaps we could start with the first Bill on the list and just see if it would go up or down."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Good Lord. Now, this . . . look at this . . . this just isn't going to work. Representative Walsh, did you have a . . . suggestion?"

Walsh: "Well, the only point I was going to make is that the . . . the Clerk is going to read these Bills, the Sponsor is going to present them and the question is going to be as our leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Now, if there is not leave at . . . and if there is any debate, let it take place. The question is, will there be leave for the last unanimous Roll Call? If there is not, Members may go up and have their names taken from that Roll Call for that bill, and the next Bill will be presented. This . . . that's all there is to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise? There are about 10 Members seeking recognition and are screaming . . ."

Mann: "All right, point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Mann: "All right, Representative Fleck, whom I admire and respect, told me on the floor that I was being irresponsible. Now, I say to every Member of this House that if they're responsible to expect a four-year old child to live on .21 cents a day for food. Now, that's all we're talking about, and if I am told that the Senate will concur in Amendment #2, I will drop my objection, otherwise, I think it would be unconscionable on my part to let that condition continue to exist."



Speaker Telcser: "All right, Repre . . . all right, let's . . . let's slow down for a minute, let the Clerk read some messages from the Senate. Representative Hill, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise, now, Sir?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I have just been informed by a courier from the mighty Senate that that Amendment was going to be concurred with and I am withdrawing my objections. All I . . . but, Mr. Speaker, I want to say this, if I have to stay here 'til January 1st, if that Amendment is not put on, I'm going to stay right in this room."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Robert . . . well, let me get on this side, Jack, don't play it like that. Representative Robert Dunne, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, now I've had an opportunity to go through maybe about 3/4 of these Bills that were on the list; and there is quite a variety, some of them are state-wide application, and I have no objection to those; but some of them are very clearly pork-barrel district Bills, and I'm going to object to them; and I want to . . . I want to have an understanding here. Do all I have to do is go up and put my name on and I can take them off?"

Unknown: "No, they've got to be errors, Stan . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I got . . . Hill is ready to throw a tomato at me, Schraeder wants to throw a brick at me . . . oh . . . Chuck wants to toss . . . okay, Representative Pappas, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, the animals in the zoo are getting restless. I move that we adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning and let these guys go to church and maybe get a little religion."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, if you . . . Representative Pappas, if you'll hold that motion for a minute, . . . all right, can we read the . . . okay, Representative Hill, for what purpose do you . . ."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, I'd just like to say this, I've been here for 15 years and this is the biggest mess I have ever witnessed. I'd like to point out to you that if the



blame falls on the shoulders of the Speaker, I can remember . . . I can remember at least five different times when we had time on our hands, and what happened? The Speaker of this House gavelled this House shut and we had to walk out of here. Now, we're causing a predicament where it appears as though every one of us are going to be embarrassed because of what's happening here this evening. I feel ashamed of what's going on, and the only reason it's going on now is because of what happened earlier in the Session. I can recall the Speaker laughing when it happened. I recall you Republicans laughing when it happened; but I'd like to see you laughing now because the people back in your districts are going to hear about this, and let me tell you one thing, it's a disgrace. It's not only a disgrace to this House of Representatives, but it's a disgrace to the State of Illinois; and it seems to me that we had better get on with our business and stop foolin' around."

Speaker Telcser: "What . . . what do you . . . well, maybe we'll solve this problem, now . . . will you all sit down for a minute. Let's take messages from the Senate and let me talk to Representative Choate and Walsh . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandez, Secretary . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second, Mr. . . . Representative Campbell, why are you persisting in seeking recognition, Sir?"

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's time that this House settled down, and the reason I say that is that I was the courier that brought back Mann the information the Senate was going to concur; and I've been over there working on that matter, and I want to tell you this. I want to get the . . . this business done, but we can't do it screaming at one another like a bunch of damned apes. So let's settle down here and try to get some of this business done, and Mann if they don't concur, then you get up and just raise all kinds of hell."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, I'm going to ask the Clerk, now,



Ladies and Gentlemen, will you . . . Representative Fleck mentioned it earlier, I did also, no one could predict that our electronic equipment would break down and this is clearly what's caused the predicament in which find ourselves. I'm going to ask the Clerk to read some messages from the Senate and . . . and . . . and talk with Representative Choate and Representative Walsh . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "All right, you want me to go ahead . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "No, no, no, messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . One minute, let . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . from Mr. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 313, together with the following Amendments in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #2, 3 and 5 of the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1158, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 371, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Okay. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment 2 of the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 192, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. George, there's another Conference Committee. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Amendment to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 573, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill #1818, together with the following Amendment in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 798, together with the following Amendment in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. What in the hell is everybody doing back here? Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 462, together with the following Amendment in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 452, together with the following Amendment in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 220, together with the following Amendment in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bills of the following title, House Bill 32, together with the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

following Amendments in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. It passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, oh, wait a second, before I do, now, Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you arise? When he's finished, I'm going to call it Bill by Bill. Your . . . your cheering Gallery is with you."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, you're doing a tremendous job, and I want to compliment you on the face of the . . . the problems you've faced; but there's more to it than this. We've been here week after week, month after month, and here we are at the last night facing a deadline; and I want to say this, I've been as patient as everyone else, I've been as nasty as probably everybody else, I voted 'no' on a lot of votes when no one else did; but I want to say this come up here . . . and for . . . get us all and to have 45 Bills appropriating billions of dollars and the first one I look at appropriates \$4,500,000 for a special interest, and I say this, Mr. Speaker, I am not here to throw away state money. We've done that for six months and I'm not about to agree to this list without having adequate time. Now, we're told we've got five minutes to look at 45 Bills, and I want to say this right now, I won't object to these in and or one time, but believe me when I go back home, I'm going to show these . . . my constituents this list and say I voted 'no' on every one of them, whether they're good Bills or not. I'm not going to give the state billions of dollars away on a five minute's notice."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, I think we've got something better worked out, we're going to go Bill by Bill; and the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I probably am as frustrated as anyone else in this Body tonight, but I want to tell you all one thing, that if this Body is being made a spectacle of here tonight it's because we're all contributing to it. I agree that if the Roll Call machine had have worked that



we wouldn't have been trying to find a way to resolve these matters; but I'm going to suggest this, Mr. Speaker, and I would hope that you Members would listen and I would hope that you then give the House the attention and we can proceed under these guidelines. There is a unanimous Roll Call up there on a Bill a moment ago. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that you start calling these Bills individually, one at a time, one at a time, have them explained, have the objecters heard, and anyone that doesn't want to vote 'aye', then be recognized and vote 'no'. That way you will have an individual Roll Call on every Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, and now everybody is going to comment on this one now. I think it's a good one. Representative North, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

North: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I want a point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

North: "Ah . . . I see by the clock it is now 12:12, and that means it's Sunday, and it's July 1st, are we going to be able to have 107 votes on all of these measures passed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Representative North, the official clock is the wristwatch on my wrist . . . ah . . . and it is . . ."

North: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm looking at the one on the board and they didn't stop it this time. The first time in seven years."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . well, I . . . I'm not sure it's not accurate, and it's long before midnight, Representative North."

North: "Ah . . . Could I have a parliamentary inquiry, and as you . . . what the ruling would be on this procedure?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the official clock is on the . . . recording machine, Representative North, and when I . . ."

North: "What is the recording machine, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . what did you say, what it is?"

North: "Ah . . . Isn't it broken down?"

Speaker Telcser: "It was working fine, it's upstairs in the recording equipment and it's long before midnight."

North: "And your official ruling is still 89 votes . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "That's . . . that's correct, Sir."



North: ". . . are inspirational."

Speaker Telcser: "Constitutional majority. Okay, now, I'd like to go to Bill by Bill, Representative Barnes is looking for recognition. Well, let me get Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I've tried to wait patiently here to make my comment and my comment will be very brief. Now, I along with some 25 or 30 of the Members have labored very long on the Appropriation's Committee with most of these Bills; and my comment is that you simply can't take the amounts and diversions of the amounts of money and the diversions of projects that's . . . ah . . . involved in these 45 Bills and put them together in a con . . . conglomeration like this and expect to have any semblance of any kind of responsibility. So what I will do and I hope that I'm not treading on anyone's individual personal project, but I would suggest that any of the Members that feel as I do so we can move this along very fast is on the 45 Bills that you're going to read, simply record me 'no' on every one of them."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Senate Bill, give me the list, Senate Bill 2 . . . Senate Bill 200. Senate . . . what . . . wait, wait wait . . . Senate Bill 278."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 278, an Act making appropriation to the Board of Higher Education. Third Reading of the Bill. George, Douglas."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wasn't listening, am I just supposed to present this Bill normally . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Your Bill is being called and it has been read a third time."

Douglas: "Senate Bill 278 is an appropriation to the Board of Higher Education for the State of Illinois to fund the Chicago Medical School. The amount is \$4,200,000. This Bill to my knowledge has had no opposition. It's long overdo. Similar grants from the state have been given to every other private medical school in the State of Illinois, the one to the Chicago Medical School is



overdo because of some technicalities from the previous Session of the Legislature and I move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, an earlier Speaker made mention of the fact this is a special interest Bill of appropriating \$4,500,000 and there was an applause from the . . . ah . . . from the audience and from the Membership. I just want to point out the fact, and I'll read you exactly what this Bill does because this is a good Bill as the Sponsor indicated. There are . . . there was 6.1 million dollars as provided in the Governor's budget to provide for the state's share of the matching grant with the Federal Government to construct of or in addition to medical school facilities of the Chicago Medical School. There is \$6.1 million which was provided for in the budget has been appropriated for at least the last three fiscal years. It has never been expended because it provides that expenditure required federal matching funds which are not going to be forthcoming. All parties involved now seem to know that the Federal Government is not going to provide matching funds, therefore, as the Sponsor's indicated there is no estimated amount that can be reduced by 1.6 million dollars to a total of 4.4 million dollars. This Bill . . . this Bill came out of Committee 15 and nothing; and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to observe to the Membership that the Chicago Medical School is the school which employs . . . ah . . . Doctor Leroy Levitt, who has been appointed to head the Department of Mental Health, that he will retain the \$27,500 that he is getting from the Chicago Medical School while he also, excuse me, to \$35 . . . \$27,500 from the Chicago Medical School while he gets \$35,000 from the state. I think we should note that we are in effect making a double appropriation for Doctor Leroy Levitt; and I would like



to be recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "A point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Charlie."

Fleck: "At this time because . . . ah . . . we might have a lot of objections to some appropriations Bills, I would like to suspend the appropriate rules so that in case a . . . an appropriation Bill fails for lack of affirmative votes that this Body may have an opportunity to poll the absentees and verify Roll Calls at a later time on the Roll Call . . . on the calling of Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any comment? Well, Charlie, can we do Bruce's Bill first and get back to that, we're in the middle of Bruce's. I'm not quite sure what you wanted to do. You want to have leave to . . . ah . . . poll . . . if a Bills fails to receive a constitutional majority and you want to have to the opportunity later on to poll . . ."

Fleck: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, just to clear up the chaos which is reigning here and it's because we don't have the electronic machine in operation, we have a unanimous Roll Call and Members can go up to the desk and register a negative vote. Now, we might receive on some Bill: the negative votes to the point that it might receive 88 votes and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait, he's making a good point."

Fleck: ". . . well, I don't want to open a can of worms . . . in one second. Now . . . and, Mr. Speaker, I . . . all I'm asking is that the appropriate rules be suspended so that appropriation Bills which do not reach the 89 votes . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's do it this way, if the Bill . . . ah . . . Charlie and Ann . . . who cares about that, for God's sake, that doesn't mean nothing. Charlie, what about this. I think what you want to do is . . . ah . . . I think we can do it by suspending the rules . . . ah . . . which only lets you postpone consideration after a Roll Call, instead of taking it out of the Record. If we . . . if we hit a Bill that has enough Members coming up to the po . . . podium and it drops below 89, then



we can . . . ah . . . consider that Bill out of the Record. How is that? Okay, Charlie?"

Fleck: "That's fine, that's fine."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay? All right. Representative North, for what purpose do you arise?"

North: "Mr. Speaker, if it does not have 89 votes, and we suspend the rules, and then we verify a Roll Call, does it then because it's after the hour of midnight require 107 votes?"

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait, okay, now, Pat, you said that if a . . . Pat, wait a second, you say if a Bill doesn't get 89 votes and by the time we get back to it it's after 12 o'clock?"

North: "Well, Representative Fleck is talking about suspending the rules on . . . ah . . . getting in . . . involvement on the verification of a Roll Call. My only point is that it's obviously by your clock after midnight, and I'm saying to you then does it require 107 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Who is that? Is that man an employee of this House, is that Webber? Web', you can't fool with this. Don't worry about that, Webber, if you . . . you can't voice that problem . . ."

North: ". . . And, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Borchers . . . Mr. Borchers has stopped the clock but it's too late, it's 10 after 12."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, that . . . ah . . . that clock doesn't count and you can't stop it Uncle Web', so don't worry about it, the clock hasn't worked for a number of years, it's never been accurate. Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas, to close the debate."

Douglas: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in . . . in answer to some of the points that have been raised, first of all, I think it's completely irrelevant and even unfair to bring in the name of the past dean of the Chicago Medical School. I'm handling this Bill for Representative Arrigo, who is out because of illness, and I have no personal involvement in it, but at least I've done my homework and I can answer some of the questions that have been raised. For one thing, the money that's being appropriated is being appropriated specifically on the grounds that the Chicago Medical School is taking Illinois residents and training them with the expectation that all



or a significant number of them will practice medicine in the State of Illinois. The intent of this money is for that purpose. It's for us to get more doctors for the State of Illinois. The Chicago Medical School is the last private school to get this support. I think the matter that's been brought up about the dean is totally irrelevant and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, there's somebody up in that Gallery stooping down with a camera. Now, get . . . ah . . . the Gentleman who is doing that please . . . ah . . . I'll ask you not to take pictures. If you persist in doing so, I'm going to have to . . . ah . . . send a doorkeeper up and have you removed. All right. Now you've been up since early this morning taking pictures. I should think you have enough by now. Now, will the doorkeepers please go up and ask the Gentleman to leave perhaps. He's left. All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 278 pass? Now, we've got a unanimous Roll Call, are there any . . . if you wish to be taken off of the unanimous . . . Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I object to this, and I will not object individually, I would just like the Clerk to record me 'no' on all the Bills that are listed on here, some 45, on some billions of dollars."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Representative, you . . . I don't think that you quite understand what we're doing now, Representative. We're calling every Bill, Bill by Bill . . . they don't understand what we're trying to do now . . . will you listen to me, we're calling Bill by Bill, the Sponsor is presenting his Bill as if the machine were working, questions are being asked, he's closing debate, then we're taking the unanimous Roll Call on each Bill individually, and if you want to get off of it, come up to the Clerk's desk and he'll take you off of each Bill. I want to be sure you realize that."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, you're very reasonable."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 167 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is,



hereby, declared passed. We took Representative Schraeder off of the Roll Call. Fred, Schraeder's off."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 315, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, there goes another 'bruhah', Representative VonBoeckman, are you . . . the Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Excuse me, that's . . . ah . . . that shouldn't be read, that . . . ah . . . was . . . take it off."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, that's okay . . . well . . . we're not . . . gonna' . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "You threw the wrong one up, give me 338, Chockey. 338, Ryan, an Act appropriating funds to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Ryan . . . took it off . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . then the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, and let's figure this out with 315. The man's entitled to have it called."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . what's the situation here? What . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we called Senate Bill 338, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: ". . . all right . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "It's been read a third time."

Ryan: ". . . ah . . . 338 appropriates \$45,000 to the Department of Transportation for use of the Division of Water Resource Management to make an engineering examination and study of Spring Creek in Iroquois County. Now, are we voting on these Bills individually?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, we are, Representative."

Ryan: "Well, I would . . . ah . . . ask for a favorable vote then on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 338 pass? Do I have leave for the last unanimous Roll Call? Okay, if you want it . . . Representative Dunne, if you



want to change your vote, do you want to come here and tell the Clerk? Record Representative Dunne as voting 'no'. On this question 167 . . . R. L. Dunne . . . 167 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you arise? One second, Representative . . ."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order here, I thought that anyone in opposition would be required to go forward and ask the Clerk to register his 'no' vote. I think that Mr. Dunne ought to walk forward, if he can, and register his 'no' vote."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 378, McPartlin, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aeronautics. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

Clerk Selcke: "Calvo . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Repre . . . the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, somewhere there was a switch in that matter, offhand, it was from Mr. McPartlin . . . ah . . . this was before the Appropriation's Committee . . . ah . . . there were agreed Amendments by the Committee and . . . ah . . . there was . . . it passed the Committee without a dissenting vote, I'd appreciate your . . . ah . . . consent to remain on the unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 378 pass? The last unanimous Roll Call has been . . . has been taken. On this question 168 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . one second, Representative Davis, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to inform my friend, Bob Mann, Senator Smith was just here. Senate Bill 899 together with the



\$15,000,000 Amendment or increase in food has passed the Senate, it's on its way to the Governor. My Senator . . . Senator Smith made the . . . so you don't have to worry, we won't have that . . . we can have some peace."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 421, Ebbesen, an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 421 appropriates \$890,000 to the Department of Transportation for purposes of renovating 12½ miles of road in Lee County. It came out of the Senate . . . ah . . . Committee unanimously, approved by the Senate 49 to nothing, and out of our Appropriation's Committee 2 . . . 27 to zero. I'd appreciate consideration for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Would the Sponsor yield for one quick question please?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

McGrew: "Ah . . . Representative Ebbesen, could you give us . . . just a real quick . . . quick background . . . ah . . . are these roads now . . . ah . . . county roads, township roads, why is there a special . . ."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . These . . . these are state-owned roads, they're 12½ miles of one lane of concrete, the other lane is sand and gravel over which travels large numbers of school children and buses, very, very dangerous. The Governor is familiar with it, and he's very sympathetic to it, I would appreciate putting this Bill on his desk so that he can take into consideration working with the people who will improve the road."

McGrew: "Well, in that case, I'm somewhat caught between the quandry of whether to introduce about a dozen of these for roads around home or whether to vote 'no' on this."

Ebbesen: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear what you said."

Clerk Selcke: "Any further discussion?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Okay, we're . . . the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."



Barry: "May I just add my support to the Bill. The three roads in question are Keller's, most of them have at least four or five buses filled with school children running up and down these roads everyday. I would appreciate your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 421 pass? All . . . the last unanimous Roll Call? On this question there are 158 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 423, Bluthardt, an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation for construction of flood control and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Okay, Speaker and Members of the House, Bob, you can go up and vote 'no' now, but this appropriates \$8 . . . \$80,000 in the Department of Transportation for the construction of flood control and drainage facilities for moving flood water from a Lake Street underpass in the vicinity of north Lake and Cook County. Now, this is an ongoing program and . . . and . . . ah . . . it's in the Governor's budget and I would appreciate your approval."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 423 pass? The last unanimous Roll Call, 168 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 459."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 459, Shea, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capitol Development Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I'd like to hear House Bills . . . or Senate Bills 459 and 460 together."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Will the Clerk please read 460 a third time."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 460, Shea, a supplemental appropriations to the Capitol Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these two Bills increase one . . . increase the Capitol Bond Development Act by some



\$23,000,000 in four specific categories. The second Bill makes a supplemental appropriation to the Capitol Development Bond Board, tabled from the Capitol Development Bond Fund is a . . . ah . . . serves a specific project, namely, the Department of Children and Family Services, Corrections and Mental Health. Both these Bills become effective immediately and allow the Capitol Bond Development Board to pay off some \$17,500,000 in notes that the Illinois Building Authority owes."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bills 459 and 460 pass? The last unanimous Roll Call, 168 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional major . . . these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 461, Washington . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait one minute, Fred. Ah . . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "These Bills did pass on June 30th, did they not?"

Speaker Telcser: "Gerry, it is hours before we have to worry about that problem."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Senate Bill 220, I understand that's all ready, Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "Huh?"

Speaker Telcser: "I understand we're ready from 200 so we can go back and pick that up."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 200, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, that was taken off the list some time ago and . . . ah . . . I would hope we would follow the . . . ah . . . I have no objection."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 200, an Act making appropria . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, one minute, Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "When did 200 get on this list?"



Speaker Telcser: "I was just informed that 200 was on the list, somebody objected, and we withdrew their objections . . . well, take it out, do you want to take it out, Gene?"

Schlickman: "Well, why don't we follow the list as it was given to us earlier in chronological . . . or numerical order?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's go on to the next Bill. Take out 200."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 461, Washington, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is that the one?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to take 461 back to Second for two Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Have any objections? 461 returned to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, . . . huh? . . . ah . . . Harold, these are Amendments 2 and 3, is that right? Amendment #2, who's this? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Is this your Amendment, Harold? Washington's Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Oh, Amendment #2, Washington's Amendment . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, am I correct, Amendment #1 was defeated, right?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is that right, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "I don't know, this Bill . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative . . . ah . . . Washington, the Clerk tells me this Bill is in the engrossed form. So for Amendment #1, was it adopted in the House?"

Washington: "No, it was defeated on the House floor."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Clerk indicates it was defeated."

Washington: "All right, fine. Amendment #2 is the appropriation for the Illinois Board of Ethics under the Governor's office . . . placed that pursuant to Executive Order #4 in the . . . January of this year for a sum total of \$52,575; and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."



Hanahan: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Hanahan: "Is there any legislative Act authorizing the . . . ah . . ."

Washington: "There is no legislative Act authorizing this. It was done by Executive Order #4. I move its adoption."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I object to Amendment #2 to this Bill. If the Governor of this state wants a State Ethic's Act for its employees, I think it should be . . . it should have the Leadership encouraged to come to the General Assembly, and ask the General Assembly to adopt an Ethic's Act for the state employees. Right now this Act is in the court, right now this . . . questions are being asked by the State Police, other employees to the state being asked to be submitted to some sort of executive order of ethics questionnaires. I suggest that if the Governor wanted an Ethic's Act, he had plenty of time in the last five and a half months to come to the General Assembly and ask for a legislative enactment of law. I'm tired of seeing legislation by executive order. This is what the General Assembly is all about. Why should we adopt an appropriation that somehow sanctifies the executive order of the Governor. I suggest this is a bad Amendment and it should go down to defeat."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, this is an excellent Amendment. You couldn't get . . . ah . . . you couldn't get a decent ethic's legislation out of this General Assembly with a time bomb. There isn't any . . . any General Assembly . . . any General Assembly here that wants any ethics legislation. This is strictly for the people who want to work with the Governm . . . for the Governor, if they don't want to work for the Governor, they don't have to; but if we want them to be ethical, I think he ought to be entitled to . . . to do that and I think we ought to support it with appropriations."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, to close."

Washington: "Representative Hart has said it very clearly. If the



gentleman wants the ethics rules for the state employees, he can file it himself. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 461. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's . . . there will be a oral Roll Call. Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a Roll Call. Mr. Clerk, it will be an oral Roll Call. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "I wonder if the Gentleman would mind taking this out . . . ah . . . of the Record so that we don't have to have another Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "I see no reason why I should take it out, I've waited patiently trying to get this Bill heard all day. It seems to me this is all in the procedure, those who oppose it can go up and so record it . . . I don't see no reason to take this Bill out."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second, now, wait a minute . . ."

Barnes: "I've . . . been sitting here . . . listening . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . I know how to do it, let's sit down for a second . . . Hershey baby, sit down."

Barnes: ". . . let me finish . . . let me finish."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait a second, I'm going to call for the House to stand on this one. I'll take it that way. The Members . . . sit down now . . . every Member sit down, every one, Gene, you too . . . Gene, come on now . . . what's your point, Gene? What's your point? Representative Barnes. Turn the Gentleman on."

Barnes: "Well, in all due respect, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe I was elected to this Body as an equal Member, and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, . . ."

Barnes: ". . . I believe that in doing so if I have a point of order to raise, I should at least be given that courtesy."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . well, I'm calling on you, go right ahead."

Barnes: "Well, I heard some people telling me to sit down."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you know, everybody is a little excited, don't



worry, don't take it personal, Gene."

Barnes: "Now, my point . . . my point of order is this, if we've been taking Roll Call after Roll Call with the agreed or an oral, unanimous Roll Call and the procedure has been that anyone that wanted to vote against a measure would go up to the Clerk and do so, why are we changing it at this point for this particular Amendment on this particular Bill? What is the reason? That's my point."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Gene, what I'm trying to do on an Amendment, you know, we . . . we . . . ah . . . we accept Amendments on oral Roll Calls all the time. If I ask for the Members of the House to stand up . . . ah . . . the 'ayes' stand up first and the 'nays' . . . ah . . . if it's overwhelming, I'll see it just as though I heard it; if it's close, we'll take a head count or even go in an oral Roll Call. I'm simply trying to expedite it, I'm not trying to hurt Harold with his Amendment, and I can do it under the rules, it's called what? The Division of the House or a Call of the House or whatever . . . Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in all due respect, and I agree you are correct, but it just seems to me that you're singling out one particular piece of legislation . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Gene, Gene . . ."

Barnes: ". . . to do so, and I . . . I don't see the need for it. Now, if we're . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Gene . . ."

Barnes: ". . . if we're going to go by Robert's Rules at this point, well, we haven't went by any rules up to this point for six months, and all of a sudden we're going to go by the rules . . . I would be happy to sit in and pull out Robert's Rules and we will really go by the rules because I will object . . . object for everything to come down the pike, and . . . asked for . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Gene, Gene . . ."

Barnes: ". . . division of all questions. Now, there are many things we can do by the rules, if that's what you want to do."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Gene, now, Gene, you're not right. You're



. . . you're wrong on this issue. Now, this is the first Bill we've had since the equipment broke down . . . well, it seems to but it's . . . you're wrong . . . you're wrong. The Sponsor of the Bill isn't even objecting. Okay, now, the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 461. All in favor of the Amendment would you please rise? Okay, Well, it's close, we'll have to have an oral Roll Call. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise? Oh, okay, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Well, I was just . . . I was just hoping everybody would understand what the Amendment was."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I risen here for quite some time. We've embarked . . . almost on a foolish course, we've gotten ourselves into a tangle on these Bills, we do not have the mechanical procedure to justify the points that are being raised across the aisle on actual Roll Call vote; and I would recommend at this time that we consider recessing this House until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning so that we have the capabilities to truly grant every Member on this House consideration on his wishes on each Amendment and on each Bill. Now, the only thing we can hope to accomplish at this point is get ourselves into an area of frustration and be recorded on Roll Calls that we don't wish to be recorded and get into verbal exchanges from the Speaker's . . . ah . . . podium to the individual Members which end up as fruitless points, and I would ask seriously that the Chair consider this recommendation and recess."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kennedy, for what purpose do you arise? Turn on Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Kennedy: "With reference to . . . ah . . . Representative Hoffman's suggestion. What if the Senate adjourns and goes over about 5 o'clock in the morning, which I hope to God they do, what happens



then, if we recess until 10 o'clock?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I wouldn't . . . I wouldn't even . . . ah . . . worry about the Senate now. Representative Davis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't want to add to the confusion, I do not wish to add to the confusion, but let me say that one other Gentleman in this House who was in here with me before we had the electrical Roll Call, before, yes, before we had the speakers and the sound system, that's right, there were three or four of you were in here as I recall, and let me say this, that if we're not going to get any farther than we are, I would suggest that we have the oral Roll Call and we will proceed much faster than we are now; and if we have one unanimous Roll Call or the oral Roll Call and somebody gets up and explains their Bills and asks for permission to use that unanimous Roll Call, I believe you will proceed much farther if you take those Bills up individually even if you have to have the oral Roll Call use two . . . and have the oral Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now . . . let's just calm down. Do you have someone to take an oral Roll Call, Fred?"

Davis: "Well, you'll get much farther and must faster with an oral Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "A point of information, are we in the middle of a Roll Call, now, on a 'yea' and 'nay' vote . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we're not, everybody's sat down instead of wonderin' around . . . okay, the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 461. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. We're in the middle . . . Representative Washington, it's your Bill, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "It's come to my attention there's quite a few Members don't know what we're voting on, Mr. Speaker, and that's understandable in light of the confusion. This is the Governor's budget Senate Bill 461. One of the departments under the Governor's office is the Board of Ethics. It was instituted by Executive Order #4



during January of this year. The amount of money for that division is \$52,575 . . . \$52,575. This is Amendment #2 which would add this to the Governor's budget , in fact, it already is; and I urge your adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, okay, now, let Representative Hanahan, who is an opponent, remark. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I would suggest, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . like to close now."

Hanahan: ". . . that Gentleman after closing debate was allowed to refresh everyone's mind what we're talking about, I'd also like to address myself to the opposite side since courtesy was extended to the man . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Washington . . ."

Hanahan: ". . . I think it'd be . . . if he wants to raise a point of order, go ahead."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Representative Washington, for what purpose do you arise, now, Sir?"

Washington: "Now, by what right does he have to respond to a simple explanation? I wasn't arguing the budget, I was explaining it. Why can't we close the debate? He has no such right."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait a second, now, Harold, if we let him talk against the Amendment, I'll let you close. How's that? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I see common courtesy calls for an explanation of what we're going on is a very simple explanation is . . . is that the Governor of this state has introduced his budget, he has had five and a half months with ample personnel and the Bureau of the Budget, he has had ample time to introduce the increases that are necessary, just the other night we debated the Bill. There was no input or need for more money in the budget. We have now increased the budget ten-fold since Otto Kerner has been Governor, I don't know how much more this Governor needs to operate his office of Governor. If we need an ethics Act and an ethics appropriation,



I'd suggest that the people of Illinois, the people of Illinois are represented in government by the House of Representatives and the State Senate, that if their legislative enactment is needed, let them come before the General Assembly, ask for an ethics enactment, go try it by executive order, he was only elected Governor, not God, he don't walk on water, he's not always right, this General Assembly has some rights and privileges and I say denying this Amendment is one of them."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, to close."

Washington: "The Gentleman who just spoke is the last person in the world who should ask for common courtesy, he can't spell it, never heard of it, is not a part of it, I'm getting pretty sick and tired of this guy taking cheap shots of the Governor's estate. If he wants to be Governor, then let him run for Governor. You are, Sir, simply one Representative out of 177 and it seems to me you should comport yourself like that. All you seem to want is a form to blast the Governor. I think I'll just throw this out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has taken it out of the Record. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Choate: "Yeah, let me report to the House, Mr. Speaker, that . . . ah . . . I just talked to the nurse and the doctor had advised her that Representative Houlihan is doing fine . . . ah . . . that apparently it is a severe case . . . com . . . combined with exhaustion of being on the floor of the House in the hours that we have been in in recent days and weeks that he feels that he's going to be all right in 24 hours or so."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I think what Representative Washington has done here by pulling this Bill out so that we can go ahead because it just happens to have an unusual situation. We can . . . he has made it so we can avoid a . . . an oral Roll Call . . . ah . . . and we can get on with the business. Now, about 165 people in this House have sat here and taken abuse from some 10 or 15, the same 10



or 15, who have leaped to their feet and caused confusion every time we've had it. Now, can't we just proceed with these . . . these Bills. We got about 8 or 10 of them called and we're in a situation that was not the fault of anybody when that machine broke down. Now, we are stopped, cold still and this business is going to be here tomorrow and the next day and the next day if we don't get it done tonight. Now, can't we please just give up that two or three minutes in the sun, which means so much to all of us, but some of us can't control ourselves, and, Mr. Speaker, I think that we all owe a real debt to Harold Washington for acting in a way that we can proceed tonight."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we all know that . . . ah . . . when the House rules did not apply, Robert's Rules of Order do apply, and I'd like to call the General . . . the House of Representatives' attention to Robert's Rules of Order, Section 44, that we're in a situation like this and they made reference to electronic voting devices that you can't take a vote by the show of the hands. So I would suggest, henceforth, we get into a close vote that all the Members be in their seats and if we have a close vote, they show their hands; and if they're not in their seat, they've vote is a no . . . ah . . . 'no seat', and it does not count. So that is the proper way to proceed in the Robert's Rules and I would suggest that we proceed that way henceforth. Let's get on to the next Bill and move it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Charlie, let me . . . that's a good idea, and I try to that by having the Members stand or sit down, but the problem that I saw when I . . . it was close division, we need proctors to go up and down the aisles to count, you cannot stand up here and . . . and count a close division. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "The problem is that we should stop shooting the 'boo' on the floor and sit in our seats because we have problems and let's get on with the work of the House, sit in our seats, and raise our hands



if it's a close vote."

Speaker Telcser: "You're absolutely right. Read the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 481, Senate Bill 481, Walters, an Act making an appropriation to Clarence S. Ryan. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters. Is the Gentleman on the floor? All right, turn on Roscoe's . . . ah . . . microphone."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is a World War I appropriation for . . . where is Mr. Ryan, he's not here . . . Roll Call for \$221."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 41 pass? The last unanimous Roll Call. On this question 168 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "459, 549."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 549."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 549, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Caldwell, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I rose . . . ah . . . to explain that I had written an objection to this Bill being found . . . this particular agreed list, and I think it ought to be heard in . . . in regular fashion."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Take it out of the Record. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise?"

Fleck: "I would ask for a raise of hands....if all the Members are in their seats."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I know we ought to do things properly and, Fleck, well, you'd be right except that Rule 52 says that when the electronic device isn't working, you take an oral Roll . . . Roll



Call alphabetically. I just wanted to point that out to you. I don't care how you do this, we've got a problem and I . . . but you've got the rule book in your hands so I thought I might tell you the proper rules."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's see, I see more people want to get involved. Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm a freshman Member, and I've only known most of you for five or six months, and I've found that the other 176 people in this House are very reasonable people, and it's hard to understand why we can't follow the Leadership of a Speaker who's standing up there, who has done everything possible to be fair, who has layed out a course of action for us under the most difficult circumstances, and we cannot follow his lead. Now, we have to get this business done. I don't care if there are five rules in Robert's Rules of Order that apply, we aren't following the rule of the clock. I don't know why we can't follow the Speaker who has layed out every possible, reasonable measure to deal with this business. Now, why are we objecting on technicalities when we have to move forward. Art' Telcser has done an excellent job in setting up a way to handle this. As a freshman, I'm not very far removed from that Gallery, I can imagine how people feel when they look down here and see the way we are acting because it hasn't been too long ago that I sat up there, and I would suggest that we follow our Speaker and get this business done."

Speaker Telcser: "Jack, thank you very much . . . thank you very, very much, Jack. Ah . . . Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have a very simple solution really, I have to give 'Billirino' all the credit, we're sitting here talking about raising hands, and I say I'm wrong on that. If we're going to vote for a Bill, let's put our page lights on 'cause that's working; and if it's overwhelming, there's no sense to proceed with any other kind of Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise,



Sir? Representative Stone. Ah . . . The electrician has arrived."

Stone: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker, I listened very carefully to what Representative Beaupre said and I entirely agree with him, you can only have one leader, and I think if 168 of us that are out here sat quietly and . . . and talked when the Leader asked us to talk and only when he recognized us and then didn't try to give him advice that he could do very well. I would like to let us give him a chance to see how he could do without advice from any of us out here for the next 20 minutes. I think he could move some Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you very, very much, Paul. All right, Fred, can you read the next Bill?"

Clerk Selcke: "Huh?"

Speaker Telcser: "Can you read a Bill. the next one? Ah . . . Where . . . Senate Bill 573. What?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 573, whose is that? . . . Philip, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Take Philip."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Philip, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation for acquisition of land and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I have leave to consider . . . consider Senate Bill 573 and 574 together?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any . . ."

Philip: ". . . money for the same . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Representative Macdonald, for what purpose do you arise?"

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . you skipped 549."

Speaker Telcser: "I'll go right back to it, okay, Ginny'? Now, wait a second, . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Representative Caldwell, is rising to his feet now. Are you going to object if I call Representative Macdonald's Bill?"



Caldwell: "Yes, I object, I think it should be heard and I . . . I . . . I . . . just read . . . just read my instructions and I . . . I see no reason why this Bill like dozens of others can't be heard in regular routine. This one I'm objecting to. That's what we've been doing."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's take care of Pate's two Bills. Read 574."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I have no objections to consider the Lady's Bill first, that doesn't bother me at all."

Clerk Selcke: "Oh, come on."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, okay, now, here's what's going to happen. Here's what'll happen. Ginny' will stand to have her Bill called, that's her right . . . ah . . . Representative Caldwell is going to object the way Representative Schlickman objected to 200, and I'm going to get into a wrangle here on . . . is this a list agreed or not agreed or objected to or notobjected to. Representative Macdonald, for what purpose do you arise? . . . and hopefully this machine will be fixed in 15 or 20 minutes, by the way."

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker, I don't care when you call the Bill, if you had called it and I had . . . Mr. Fleck had asked for the microphone, I thought that you inadvertently had passed it over. I don't care which Bill is . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you very much. 3 . . . 574."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 574, an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you're probably aware . . . ah . . . last Fall we had very heavy flooding in the County of DuPage and primarily in the 40th Legislative District. These two Bills . . . ah . . . tend to . . . to . . . to stop and help that problem. Now, they appropriate monies for . . . to the Department of Water Resource Management . . . ah . . . 573 appropriates \$9,719,000, the second



Bill appropriates \$4,107,000 to the Department of Transportation for flood control improvements along Salt Creek and . . . ah . . . proposed Wood Dale reservoirs. They're in the Governor's budget, the Governor wants to do it 10 years, we want to do it in 2 years; and I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Dave, are you telling me that you are appropriating in this series of Bills \$9,000,000 at one crack that the Governor says we can afford over 10 years, is that your statement?"

Philip: "I think that is correct. Let me just say this, as you know, that area was considered a disaster area, and we had . . . ah . . . about \$27,000,000 worth of flood damage in the County of DuPage; and, of course, you know Senator Percy was there to examine it, the . . . the Governor at that . . . he was not the Governor then, but he was there to examine it. It was a big issue in the November election. The Governor's attitude is that he's willing to do it . . . to appropriate the money, but he wants to do it in 10 years; but we can't stand the flooding for . . . from now for 10 years; and we'd like to do it in the next 2 years. He figures we're developed by . . . ah . . . the Director of that Department, Mr. Galloo."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, do you have more questions?"

Shea: "Yeah, I hate to do this, but would you take him out of the Record until we can get a Roll Call vote on these two Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman says that's all right with him. Senate Bill 590. We're . . . no, the next one on my . . . 581 was objected to."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 590, whose is this, Rose, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill simply appropriates money for a much-needed road in Calhoun County;



reported unanimously . . . ah . . . favorably by the . . . ah . . .
Committee on Transportation and also the Appropriation's Committee.
I move the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall
Senate Bill 5 . . . 590 pass? Ah . . . Will you . . . the Gentle-
man from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "How much is this for?"

Rose: "2.6."

Shea: "Million?"

Rose: "Yes."

Shea: "Let's hope we wait 'til we get the machine on this one."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Tom? What? Well, supposed to be an
Agreed List. Senate Bill 614."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . that one's out of the Record. Ah . . . Senate
Bill 614, Calvo, an Act making an appropriation to the Secretary
of State. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Calvo on the floor?" Take it out of
the Record. Senate Bill 632."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 632, Madigan, an Act making
appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading
of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speak . . . Mr. Speaker, I request leave to take this
Bill back to the order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amend-
ment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there going to be a fight on the Amendment . . .
ah . . ."

Unknown: "Not to my knowledge."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . okay, is there leave to take it back to the
order of Second Reading? Okay, are there any . . . Cook . . .
please read the Amendments. Representative Philip, for what
purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Philip: "Yeah, I'm going to have to object to this. There's no damn
difference in the reservoirs in DuPage County and I object . . .
another \$1,000,000 for an overpass."



Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 633. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "This one I want out too."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what the heck was this list for you guys put together?"

Shea: "I don't know where the list came from, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "This is the list that . . . Ted was reading . . ."

Shea: "I think what happened was they tried to take all the appropriation Bills off the Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill . . . that did it, Gerry . . . Senate Bill 666. Wee, here we go again."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 666, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff. Representative Williams, for what purpose do you arise?"

Williams: "I think the next one that was on the list was 633."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea objected to it."

Williams: "No, there was one before, 632, I believe he objected to."

Speaker Telcser: "No, he objected to that one also."

Williams: "Yes, he had objected to 632 and . . . ah . . . 633 is the next one which is mine."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's try 666 and, Gerry, I'll go back to you, if he says okay, I'll move it back up. The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen . . . ah . . . of the House, I'd like to have permission to . . . ah . . . move this back to Second for the purpose of a Committee Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Are there any objections? Senate Bill 666 on the order of Second Reading, will the Clerk please read the Amendments?"

Unknown: "We'll look and see."

Clerk Selcke: "What Amendment are you talking about Flinn, we don't have one up here on the rostrum?"

Speaker Telcser: "Do you have an Amendment here, Clarence?"

Neff: "Ah . . . There . . . yes . . . ah . . . the Amend . . . the



Amendment was put on and . . . ah . . . it was on the desk here some time ago. I don't have it right handy, I do have a copy of the Amendment though."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Clerk indicates he does not have an Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, was that Totten's Amendment?"

Neff: "Ah . . . Yes, that's Totten's Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, it apparently was . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Was it . . . was it adopted? Representative Totten on the floor?"

Neff: "Ah . . . I'm sorry, this . . . ah . . . this has been adopted. I was thinkin' about another Bill, I'm sorry."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what do you want me to do now, Clarence baby?"

Neff: "Ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Move it back to First."

Neff: ". . . I'd like to have this moved back to Third now."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Third Reading. Senate Bill 666 has been read a third . . . Representative Barry, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . One second, Clarence, Representative Barry is seeking recognition."

Barry: "Ah . . . This is the same as House Bill 1324, which we've already passed. Do we have an objection to this one so I'd ask that it be taken off the list?"

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise? Out of the Record."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, why don't we just make an admission here that we're bogged down, we've got to wait 'til that machine is fixed, let's not keep going through this, standing up, objecting one side or the other, tempers are getting a little bit short in this situation, worse then they . . . ah . . . then they can be or should be under these circumstances. Let's just admit we've got a problem, sit down and wait a little bit of time until the machine



is fixed."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I . . . I think your point is not all . . . not all that bad. Okay, now wait a second, I've just checked with the electrician, he indicates it may be just a few minutes, so maybe we can be at ease for five or ten minutes, the electrician . . . is out of the Record . . . what, no . . . Representative . . . Representative Fary will . . . ah . . . read us a poem or something. Turn on Fary."

Fary: "I move you, Mr. Speaker, that the House now resolve itself into a Committee of the whole for the purpose of transcendental meditation with Maharishi Murphy presiding. Will you ask the electrician to put the lights out?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we're going to meditate for . . . five . . . wait . . . wait, Representative J. J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Wolf: "That just proves that thing is for the birds."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now we all run for reelection and worry about getting elected, don't we, Jake? Wait, wait, wait, let's . . . ah . . . Representative Borchers has written a poem, what . . . what do you want? Where's Representative Skinner? Wait, wait, one second, is Representative Skinner here? Ah . . . Representative McCormick is looking for you, he needs your signature on a . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report. Representative Skinner find C. L. Okay, Representative Borchers has written a poem, he stormed up to the podium, he wishes to read it; so the Members please pay . . . please pay attention to him."

Borchers: "It won't take long, but some of you remember I did write a couple of pretty good ones five years ago. This is short, 'I never saw a house so full of Kings, and Dukes and Earls. I must confess I'd rather see the seats filled with pretty girls.'"

Speaker Telcser: "What are we calling? What's . . . what's our priority . . . ah . . . turn on Geo-Karis' microphone."

Unknown: "Hey, let's sing, even the balcony can help us. Let's sing I've been working on the railroad, and see what a difference it makes in this Body. Let's go."



Geo-Karis: "At a boy, John."

Representatives: "'I've been workin' on the railroad all the live-long day, I've been workin' on the railroad just to pass the time away, don't you hear the whistle blowin', risin' up so early in the mornin', don't you hear the Captain shouting . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . Dina blow your horn"

Unknown: ". . . this isn't a solo."

Representatives: ". . . Dina won't you blow, Dina won't you blow, Dina won't you blow your horn, Dina won't you blow, Dina won't you blow, Dina won't you blow your horn. Someone's in the kitchen with Dina, someone's in the kitchen I know, someone's in the kitchen with Dina, strummin' on the old banjo. Just singing fe, fi, fiddly, aye oh, fe, fi fiddly, aye, oh, fe, fi, fiddly, aye, oh, strummin' on the old banjo. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, now, the electri . . . the equipment is now working again. We can get back to work. All right. Now, so the Members know, I'm going to start at the top of the Calendar . . . Representative Simms for what purpose do you arise?"

Simms: "For . . . ah . . . two . . . previous soloists, I know where they can pick up a few bucks singing for a funeral."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . I'm going to start at Senate Bills . . . ah . . . Third Reading at the top of the list. I'm going to go down so all of you know . . . on the appropriation Bills first, how ever they appear in numerical sequence, is that right? Then we'll go back, start the same way and pick up those that are not appropriations, all right? John, give me the first one. Senate Bill 200."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 200, you got it Chockey, . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentlemen from . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Keller has been wor . . . waiting for this Bill all day and it is an appropriation Bill. Now, this Bill was . . . ah . . . for another purpose but the . . . Representative Hill



still covered it and . . . ah . . . Senator Mitchler graciously permitted us to take his Bill and mutilate and use it for this particular purpose. I'm asking leave to return . . . ah . . . to return Senate Bill #200 to Second Reading at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? The Bill be taken back to the order of Second Reading. The Clerk will please read the Amendments. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment . . . Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Go ahead, go ahead, read . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Clerk please read the Amendments to Senate Bill 200?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 200 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we deleted everything after the enacting clause on . . . Senate Bill #2 and then inserted the following; and I'd like for you to hear this, you fellows who have overpassed us in everything else. You'd better vote for this one or you're not going to get your money. Section 1, the following named sums, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated from the Grade Crossing Portection fund of the Department of Transportation for the construction of railroad grade crossing separations upon any federal, state, county, municipal highway. The amount is \$3,600,000. Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption of . . . ah . . . Senate Bill #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 200. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed . . . the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, amends Senate Bill 200 as amended . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #3. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."



Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask for unanimous consent for the passage of Senate Bill #200."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 200 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. I'm going . . . I'm going to have to call it. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 2 'nays' . . . the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein . . . record Collins 'aye' and R. L. Dunne 'aye' . . ."

Schoeberlein: "I believe Representative Keller could say something on this, this is his Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "I don't even see him on the floor. Have all voted who wished? On this question there are . . . Repre . . . the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "I hate to poll the absentees, this is an important Bill and as I said, you fellows who have all these Bills in here . . . for this work you want done, why you'd better get on this one or you're going to lose it. It's mine, but . . . ah . . . there are many all over the state that are interested in this particular Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Yeah, would you take a new Roll Call on this?"

Speaker Telcser: "Be glad to. The question is, shall Senate Bill 200 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 4 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. The next Bill. Okay, there's going to be a little bit of a 'bruhah' on this next Bill. I want to warn you all now, I'm going to do my best to settle it, so please . . . well, the Sponsor indicates he wants to call, he has that right, I just said I'm going to go Bill by Bill. So let's try to handle this thing in a nice . . . in as best a fashion as we can, okay? Now, I'm going to go to Senate Bill 315. Okay, and . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 315, Soderstrom, a Bill for an Act making



appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom."

Soderstrom: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for over 24 years that I know of, the people in Pekin, Illinois and Peoria in Tazewell County have been interested in having a bridge constructed between Peoria and Pekin. When I first came down here my seat mate was Bob Allison, a distinguished Representative from that area, one of his main projects was a bridge at Pekin, Illinois. Subsequently, Bob Stahl came to this Legislature, that was one of his projects. Senator Grone, who represented that district, very hard work to get this Pekin bridge. In fact, everybody that I know of, including the present Representatives and Senators, Senator Summers, Representative Kriegsman, Representative VonBoeckman and Representative Soderstrom, want to see this bridge constructed. The Governor has declared for this bridge in his project list, the Department of Transportation has included it in its budget. Never in my life have I seen so many people that are for a project that . . . where there seems to be so much trouble in getting Bill advanced to this particular state. This Bill appropriates the sume of \$4,500,000 to the Department of Transportation for the construction of this bridge, and for . . . I think it would be a tremendous thing if this Legislature would join with the Governor, with the Department of Transportation, with all of the people in Tazewell County, Pekin and Peoria, and so the intent of the Legislature is to join with these people and approve this project to construct a bridge over the . . . the Illinois River at Pekin. So I respectfully ask all of you who can, all of you who will to vote 'aye' in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm standing in opposition to this Bill on this House floor for one main reason, as the Sponsor pointed out in Senate Bill 315, the money was originally requested in the Department of Transportation's budget; and, House Members, I want to point out one specific fact to you, that



there was a House Bill in this General Assembly that was introduced by a House Member and, in turn, when he found out that it was the D.O.T.'s department request fiscal '74 appropriations, that he had the courage and he had the responsibility of tabling his House Bill; and that was Representative VonBoeckman; and to him I thanked him at that time . . . we wouldn't go through the trouble and the expense in passing a Bill that was already in the Department of Transportation's budget request; and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in all fairness, in all fairness, because this man did this, this Bill should be defeated. It's in the D.O.T.'s original budget as requested for fiscal '74, and this is a Senate Bill, and I don't want those Senators giving any more P.R. than they have already, except that they adjourned tonight, and I wish the press would take them to task on that matter; but in my . . . in my personal opinion, this Bill should be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman. Representative VonBoeckman, Mr. Electrician."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in due respect to my good friend who I respect, Representative Soderstrom, I'd like to tell the House that it was to the efforts of many, many people that this bridge became a reality in the City of Pekin, and I, for one, along with the Mayor of the City of Pekin, who is a Republican, and many of the Members of the Chamber of Commerce and city . . . and city officials feel this is unnecessary legislation. I feel that the Senator that sponsored this Bill knew nothing about the Pekin bridge, he did this against the wishes of the people of the City of Pekin because the deal was that I sponsored a Bill and we tried to get the Governor to put it in his budget, and this is what we did, we worked hard and long to convince the Governor that if this should be in his bug . . . ah . . . budget, he granted this request. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the P.R. should go to the past people that really worked hard for this, Senator Groen, former Representative Shea, Shaw and many others that attributed to the final reality of the . . . of this Bill, therefore, I, for one, feel



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

like the Senator from the Village of Morton should not get the P.R. on this Bill, therefore, I ask the defeat of this measure."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Tazewell, Representative Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "One of the best things about . . . ah . . . living a long time is that you see structures built and torn down; and I was present when this bi . . . this bridge in Pekin was built in 1930 at the energy of Martin Lowman who was in this House for about 10 years, and 20 years in the Senate, and I tried to introduce him the other day; but I . . . I've been in this Body for four months, and before I came here I went on the assumption that a man's word was his bond, and then the last four I'm beginning to wonder how it is down here in Springfield; but I have checked everywhere that I can check and in this Department of Transportation budget for fiscal year 1974, there is an item here, Illinois River Bridge at Pekin \$4,500,000. On April 3rd, Governor Walker talked at the Chamber Commerce meeting in Pekin and 500 people heard him say that the River Bridge at Pekin is going to be a reality and very shortly, and when Governor Walker made an airplane press trip around the state he stopped at the Peoria Airport and made the same sort of statement that the River Bridge in Pekin would be built. I don't know what else we have to go on . . . ah . . . in 1952 here is a picture of the bridge we needed so badly we can hardly think straight, in 1952, the spand slipped down and almost fell into the river, what is that, 15 or 20 years ago; and we . . . the floor of the bridge is falling into the river by the day, we need that bridge so badly that I don't know what to do; but I don't want this to happen, there is a \$307,000,000 budget in the Transportation Department, and then that \$307,000,000, I am told by all the people that can tell me, there is \$4,500,000 for the foundation for this bridge. I would like to assume that everybody that has told me this is correct, and for that reason, I'm going to hold them to their word and take a chance that they're telling it to me straight. I am going to vote 'present', because I can neither vote for or against a thing like this."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker, could I ask the Sponsor if this is an addition to the \$32,102,000 that's in the Department of Transportation's budget already for fiscal year 1974 for Peoria and Tazewell Counties?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom, to close."

Soderstrom: "No, this is not an addition, it's included in the Department of Transportation budget now. It's not an addition."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative McCourt, do you want to ask more questions, Sir?"

McCourt: "Two more. I . . . I can't find it on the . . . ah . . . on page 15 . . . ah . . . under District 4, it would appear to me to be in addition, but be that what it may . . . as it may, it would seem to me that when . . . ah . . . there's motor fuel tax funds that easily could be used as many people suggest they be used to solve the rapid transit problems in Cook County, that maybe all of us in Cook County should reflect a moment before building a bridge between Peoria and Pekin when many of our people are having trouble getting from Evanston to Chicago because of our mass transit problem. So for that reason, and that reason alone, I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom, to close."

Soderstrom: "In reply to the Gentleman who spoke last, I supported every Bill in here ever since I've been here to help mass transportation in the City of Chicago, and I did it at my 'parel' of reelection many times, 15 and 20 years ago. I've always supported the concept of mass transportation for you folks up there. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I say, this is a very important project to the people in that part of Illinois where this bridge is being constructed. There is enough credit, I think, to go around to everybody, noone is trying to get credit for a bridge at Pekin, Illinois. I told you when I started. My seatmate, Bob Allison, 24 years ago, was working for a Peru bridge. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, under-



stand this, everybody is for this project, the Governor has announced it in his project list, Department of Transportation already has it in its budget, and I see nothing wrong with asking the House in the straight state of Illinois to go along with those other people and express the sentiment of intent that this bridge . . . bridge should be built. I respectfully ask you, humbly so, I'm not asking credit for Senator Summers, for myself, Kriegsman, VonBoeckman, let us out of it, for the people in that area, I respectfully request your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 315 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative . . . anyone else . . . anyone want to explain their vote? . . . vote Telcser 'aye', please. Tom, will you vote me 'aye' or somebody? Have all voted who wish? Thanks, Bob. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Cox."

Cox: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I don't . . . ah . . . with all the confusion that's going on about this Bill and all, I don't see if the Democratic Party is so sure that the money is already in the budget. I don't see anything wrong with passing this Bill because you know that the Governor will veto it if it's already in there."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 64 'ayes' . . . Representative Soderstrom, for what purpose do you arise?"

Soderstrom: "Mr. Speaker, may I respectfully request that you poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats, the Clerk to read the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Arrigo. Beatty. Blades. Caldwell. Capparelli. Carter. DiPrima. Duff. Epton. Ewell. Duff."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Duff: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I've been trying to get your attention all night long, and on this Roll Call, I'd like to cast my vote . . .



ah . . . I'll vote for all these pork-barrel Bills to get them out of the way so that after six days I may get a Roll Call on my Resolutions. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman votes 'aye'. The Clerk proceed with the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fennessey. Giglio. Giorgi. Granada. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Ah . . . Gene Hoffman votes 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Gene Hoffman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "R. H. Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Bernie Epton wants to vote 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "D. L. Houlihan. J. M. Houlihan. Huskey. Hyde. Emil Jones. J. D. Jones. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kent. Kriegsman. LaFluer. Lemke. Madigan. Martin. McAvoy. McCormick. McGah. McMaster. Merlo."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McMaster's votes 'aye'. Please proceed with the . . . ah . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "Merlo."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mugalian. North."

Speaker Telcser: "North votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pappas."

Speaker Telcser: "Pappas votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Patrick. Porter . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Por . . . Porter votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Rayson. Redmond. Rose."

Speaker Telcser: "Rose 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sangmeister. Schlickman."

Speaker Telcser: "Rayson 'aye'. Schlickman 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sevcik. Ike Sims."

Speaker Telcser: "Sevick . . . Joe, you want to vote 'aye' or 'no' or . . . no, he doesn't indicate . . . Sevck 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Terzich."

Speaker Telcser: "Stiehl 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Thompson. Wall. Washington. Williams. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on this question there are 7 . . . oh, change Rayson to 'no' . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rayson to 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "He just said 'no' . . . ah . . . Carl."

Clerk O'Brien: "You're 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 73 'ayes', 51 'nays'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. Representative R. L. Dunne, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Do the next Bill."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, just as a quick suggestion to everyone here, there is some coming up similar Bills that the guys on the other side of the aisle that red lights on are going to want. Now, why don't we come to an agreement, are we going to get them all out or are we . . . or aren't we. I mean . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, call . . . Bob, we can't agree clearly, next Bill please, Senate Bill 461. Harold . . . Harold Washington."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 461, Washington, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the expenses of the office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want it out of the Record? Take it out of the Record. Next Bill please, let's go, let's move. 549, Senate Bill 549, it has been read a third time, the Lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald, Ginny? Ginny Macdonald? Ginny? Ooh-who? It's your Bill."

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House . . . Senate Bill 549 is an appropriation Bill asking for \$25 . . . ah . . . \$20,000 for the Water Pollution, Water Resources Commission. I would respectfully ask for your vote on this particular Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Ah . . . May I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "She indicates she'll yield."

Caldwell: "Ah . . . Representative Macdonald, I see that the Digest said that . . . ah . . . you wanted \$10,000 for this . . . ah . . . survey and . . . ah . . . when . . . when was the . . . when did it



double?"

Macdonald: "The Amendment was put on yesterday."

Caldwell: "In the Senate?"

Macdonald: "No, here in the House."

Caldwell: "Ah . . . Why was it put on?"

Macdonald: "Second Reading."

Caldwell: "I mean, why did you . . . why was it underestimated?"

Macdonald: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question."

Caldwell: "I beg your pardon? I say why was the . . . ah . . . request increased 100 percent yesterday?"

Macdonald: "Ah . . . The Water Pollution, Water Resources Committee . . . ah . . . is going to be combined with a Bill that went out of here over to the Senate which was Representative Williams' Bill, and I will let him explain that to you if he would like to."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 5 . . . you what . . . the question is, shall . . . do you wish to close . . ."

Macdonald: "No, would you . . . would you recognize Representative Williams please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm . . . I'm sorry, Jack. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, I'll . . . I'll answer that question for Representative Caldwell as to the reason that the appropriation has gone from \$10,000 to \$20,000. The Bill 716 passed out of here, it passed out of the Senate . . . will combine the two Commissions into one and increase the Membership on the Commission to 16 Members from 10 Members and that's the reason for the added \$10,000 appropriation."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, . . . ah . . . was this particular project included in projects that was included in the Water Resource's budget that was handled by Representative Schneider that was the total appropriation for all projects on water and water resources? Was this a project that was included in that particular budget?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Macdonald, will you turn it on again."



Macdonald: "No, Representative Barnes, it was not, this is a Commission, and it was not included in that particular appropriation."

Barnes: "Is . . . is this a new Commission or is this a reappropriation for on-going study?"

Macdonald: "This is . . . the Water Pollution, Water Resources Commission is an on-going Commission. It has now been combined and enlarged with Representative William's Bill and there will be . . . ah . . . assume new responsibilities and more Members, as he has explained, and that is the need for the extra appropriation."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I address the Bill for a moment?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you may want to vote this Commission out, but I would suggest to you that a Bill went out of here that was handled by Representative Schneider that came through the Appropriations' Committee that the . . . ah . . . that particular Department on Water and Water Resources that he had handled the Bill for asks for an appropriation of \$9,000,000 for this year, it was increased to \$41,000,000 in the Senate. It was re . . . ah . . . appropriated down with in the . . . ah . . . House Appropriations' Committee to \$19,000,000 and it seems to me if . . . if this was an intrical part of our waterways that this \$10 or \$20,000 should be somewhere within that \$19,000,000 budget since that \$19,000,000 budget has been increased over 100 percent from the \$9,000,000 budget that was originally requested for that . . . that particular effort in this state . . . map . . . and I'm sure this \$20,000 can be found somewhere within that \$19,000,000 budget and I don't see any need for any additional \$20,000 here."

Speaker Telcser: "The ques . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ted, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "He's not finished."

Speaker Telcser: "Haven't you finished, Gene?"

Barnes: "And . . . and a follow up to that, and many of you . . . many of the Members have not perhaps seen an article and perhaps this is not on the Bill, so this may not be the proper time to bring it up, but I would like to say one thing here that I think needs



saying about the Senate Sponsor of this particular Bill. Now, the Senate Sponsor of this particular Bill had in his Committee a Bill that was passed out of this House by the majority of the Members in this particular House. He took it upon himself in an article that appeared, I believe in the Macomb Journal, he took it upon himself to motion, second a motion by proxy and use six proxy votes on his own to kill the Bill that had been passed out by the consensus of this House without another Member of his party being in attendance at that particular Committee . . . particular Committee meeting. I think that any time that something like that takes place on the other side of the rotunda, that the Members of this House should be well aware of it. In conjunction with what I had said previously, he had no need for another \$20,000 for this purpose, and if the Senator is inclined . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Gene, do you want to conclude your remarks?"

Barnes: ". . . if . . . the . . . I . . . I'm finishing up now . . . if the Senator is inclined to have such a Commission where all of the Members, not just I, I doubt if there is any Members in this House who've gotten a Commission through the Senate this Session. If we are to do here in this House and act responsible, there's no reason to vote out additional \$20,000 in an area where we've appropriated \$19,000,000 already."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I have to concur with what Representative Barnes had to say as far as this treatment in the Senate in a proxy voting system. I disagree with that system, and in turn I think that the press should address itself to it in the next General Assembly and also should be . . . be included in the rules of the House and the Senate in joint rules; but let's speak on the Bills on their merits or demerits, and what's been pointed out by . . . Mrs. Macdonald is very true. One, it's a standing Commission; two, it's an incorporation of a new Commission that was recommended by Representative Williams; three, it's a compromise measure asking for a \$20,000 appropriation, including the new Members from the proposed new Commission. I think it's a good



Bill, it should stand on its own merits and be . . . be voted a 'do aye', or a 'do recommend' and 'do pass', vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, a point of inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Madigan: "Who was the Senator, and what was the Bill and what did it provide?"

Speaker Telcser: "I have no idea. Representative J. J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf: "Well . . . a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I think we're considering this Senate Bill 549 and I wanted to move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the previous question is moved. Representative Macdonald to close. You're on, Ginny, do you want to close?"

Macdonald: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again we are being faced with evaluating a Bill not on its merits but on its Sponsor. I would only say that with the urgency of pollution, particularly water pollution, and all of the standards that are coming to us and that we are attempting to study and to keep current with what's happening in the field of water pollution that it is essential that Illinois has such a Commission. I completely concur with Representative Williams' extension of this Commission knowing that it is essential for the operation of Illinois to keep current with all the changes that are being made, and I urge your 'yes' vote on this appropriation."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is . . ."

Macdonald: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . shall Senate Bill 549 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Ah . . . Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Skinner 'aye'. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 3 'nays'; and this . . . Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"



Barnes: "In all due respect, I . . . I'm not going to ask for a verification; but I would like . . . I would like to say this much, I would like to say this much at this point, that we didn't have 119 people in here voting for that Bill and I know it, they're not there. So I'm not going to ask for a verification, I don't mind either losing the Bill one way or the other, but if you want to get 89 votes, get 89 votes; there's no since in doing what we're doing here now."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 119 'ayes', 3 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Give me the next one, John. Senate Bill 573."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 573, Philip, appropriation for the flood control of DuPage County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philip."

Philip: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As I previously stated, House Bill 573 is an appropriation of \$9,719,000 to the Division of Water Resource Management to acquire land and to make drainage and flood control improvements in DuPage County the area known as Wood Dale Reservoirs. As you know that last Fall we had tremendous flooding, the President declared it a disaster area. There was about \$27,000,000 worth of damage; and what this does is solve that problem. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, reluctantly, I have to stand to oppose Senate Bill 574, even though Representative . . . has pointed out . . . well, 574 is the appropriation matter, now, 573 is a . . . ah . . . is an appropriation as well, but, in turn, I think I have to oppose them both based on the reason. We're talking about a total of \$9,000,000. Well, in turn, the Governor has allocated I know in 574, \$520,000 in his budget for work at Salt Creek. I agree with the Representative's concern, but I think you also have to consider the total resources available as far as total tax dollars to work with. I would hope that



the Federal Government would . . . would look into not only providing federal flood relief, but also federal . . . federal money to provide that community and other communities that adversely effected by the total water shed problems throughout the state, and . . . necessary to . . . to compensate for a bad situation, but in my opinion, at this time, I cannot support these two Bills because unfortunately the state money is not there."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Philip's, just to get this record straight, you are hearing only 573 now, is that right?"

Philip: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I could ask leave, I'd be more than happy to consider 574 at the same time. It is a companion Bill . . ."

Speaker Shea: "With leave of the House, we'll hear 574 at the same time. Would you read 574, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Bill 574, a Bill for an Act relating to flood control in DuPage County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "They're both on together now."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 574 appropriates \$4,107,000 to the Department of Transportation for use of the Department of Water Resource Management to make drainage and flood control improvements along Salt Creek in DuPage County. As I said, this was an issue in the last November election to say the least. The Federal Government . . . ah . . . Representative Lechowicz wants to make another 10-year study, by that time you can forget the area, we'll call it the Lake of DuPage. The State of Illinois and my good friend and fellow Representative from our county has introduced every Session since I've been here an appropriation to the Department of Waterways to take care of that flooding, and under the great Republican administration, we never got the job done quite frankly; and it doesn't look like we're going to get the job done under this administration; and if you . . . if you'll remember correctly, you helped to get this Bill out of Committee, you made the motion and got it out of Committee and there wasn't a dissenting vote, and I ask for your favorable consideration."



Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; the Clerk will take two Roll Calls, and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain his vote. Shea 'no'."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, what the . . . what the Gentleman just pointed out is true, I did make the motion as a matter of courtesy to the Sponsor, but in turn I didn't make the commitment as far as supporting the Bills on Third Reading. I thought that they should be brought up before the entire General Assembly, and, in turn, just point out the fact that this is not included in the Governor's budget. The total dollar amount . . . that are included in the . . . in the Governor's budget are far less than this; and for this reason, as a courtesy to the Sponsor, I want to be recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Shea: 'Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. These Bills having received 115 'aye' votes, a constitutional majority, are, hereby . . . hereby, declared passed. House Bill 58 . . . Senate Bill 581."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 581, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation for an on-going Commission . . . ah . . . that as all of you know has done some very professional work recently, the . . . ah . . . Economic and Fiscal Commission . . . the appropriation merely increases personnel budget by about 5 percent. We lacked \$50,000 and the increase is only \$50, and we anticipate the need and use of this additional \$50 in this coming year and I would ask for its approval."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 581 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay' . . . Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Skinner 'aye'. This Bill having received 135 'aye' votes, and 2 'nay' votes, is, hereby, declared passed. Call the next Bill."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 590, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Rose."

Rose: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've already explained this Bill. To recap, I move its adoption."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further questions? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Just as a matter of earnest, Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out that this road project is not included in the Governor's budget, and in turn . . . ah . . . to vote accordingly."

Speaker Shea: "All those . . . the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Vote me 'present', George. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. This Bill having received 93 'aye' votes is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 614, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Secretary of State Public Library Systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "All right, Mr. Lechowicz, do you have a question?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. You didn't . . . ah . . . I didn't hear you say that it was declared passed on Senate Bill 590."

Speaker Shea: "I said that the Bill received 93 'aye' votes, a constitutional majority and was, hereby, declared passed."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . . Mr. Calvo, Senate Bill 614."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if it would be possible for us to . . . ah . . . take one number less and add 613, which is a companion Bill, and without which this Bill means nothing."

Speaker Shea: "With leave of the House, 62 . . ."

Calvo: "With leave of the House . . ."

Speaker Shea: ". . . with . . . Senate Bill 613 will be heard at the same time, is leave granted? Leave being granted, Mr. Clerk, read Senate Bill 613."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 613, a Bill for an Act to amend Section of



the Illinois Library System Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Calvo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentle . . . and Members of the House. Ah . . . Senate Bill 613 is a Bill which amends the present Library . . . ah . . . Public Library Act and provides for approximately 20 percent additional funding for the Illinois Library Systems throughout the State of Illinois. Ah . . . I have . . . ah . . . I think probably most of you have seen the result or what will result from this, it will amount to, as I say, approximately 20 percent greater funding. It'll increase the funding per capita from .50 cents to .70 cents. I would appreciate your favorable support and vote of this Bill, and I know a lot of you receive telegrams and letters from your library systems asking for your support. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will."

Grotberg: "Mr. Pierce, I have several . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Calvo."

Grotberg: ". . . I'm sorry, Mr. Calvo, . . . ah . . . is the Pierce Amendment still on the Bill."

Calvo: "No."

Speaker Shea: "No, that was tabled."

Calvo: "No, Mr. Pierce tabled his Amendment."

Grotberg: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Calvo: "Yes."

Juckett: "Are these grants given to the individual library districts such as that of the village or city or does this go to the library systems."

Calvo: "Now, Bob, let me get my file, I . . . my understanding is that it goes to each system . . . systems."

Juckett: "Well, now, are you aware that the money which they . . ."



which is presently in the budget would be approximately anywhere from 25 to 50 percent more than what they are actually using?"

Calvo: "Well, I would . . . I would assume, Bob, now what . . . I guess what you're saying is that on the basis of what they used last year, but there's very few libraries . . . libraries throughout the state that is able to build up any surplus or that has any surplus; and . . . ah . . . their costs have been going up like everyone else's costs have and they need additional funds to continue to operate on the basis they've been operating in the past; and that's the reason for this Bill."

Juckett: "Well, if I could give you a little advice, the North Suburban Library System has more than \$1,000,000 in certificates, in fact, it's probably pretty close to \$1,500,000 which have been appropriated to it above and beyond the operating costs of the system. Now, why would they need a 20 percent increase when they've been able to stash away almost \$1,500,000?"

Calvo: "Well, Bob, I don't know about that particular library system. I do know that some systems are trying to build up reserves sufficient to build some new libraries and I don't know whether that's the case with yours or not. Unfortunately, all the library systems are not in as . . . in this good financial condition that apparently the one you referred to is. In fact, most of them have no reserves other than a slight . . . perhaps a slight operating reserve, but they have nothing in a building reserve; and they are entitled under the statute if possible to build a building reserve so they can replace, keep their property and maintenance and replace necessary repairs to their building and perhaps accumulate sufficient funds to build a new library in . . . where it's needed."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I might address myself to the Bill? These library systems do not need this money. As I indicated on the North Suburban District, they have almost \$1,500,000 stashed away; and their purpose is not to build a library, they don't operate libraries, what they're building is on . . . what they're building are administrative buildings for an ever-increasing staff. This money does not get down to the library. What we need



is help for the individual library, but not help for these systems. Now, in 1965, the law was passed which established it and by being able to build up this reserve, they don't have to come to the Assembly, they don't have to ask for a capitol appropriation, they don't have to go through the rigorous and the strict fiscal management to justify a building, all they have to do is to dip into these extra funds, there are no restrictions, there are no guidelines, there are no controls, there is just a great big bag in which they can dip into and get the money and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Will the Speaker yield . . . pardon me, would the Sponsor yield to a question? Ah . . . Is . . . is this something that the Secretary of State Howlett asked for, Mr. Sponsor, if you know please?"

Calvo: "Yes, it certainly is."

Barry: "Is it within his budget?"

Calvo: "Yes, as a matter of fact it is."

Barry: "Well, then why isn't it in his omnibus Bills that I've been promised would handle all of his budget?"

Calvo: "Well, . . . ah . . . Toby, I think it is. Ah . . . This is not the appropriation Bill, this is a Bill to allow this . . . this program to go into effect to give the 20 library systems which supplement the public libraries throughout the state . . . this . . . ah . . . this additional money and additional systems; but this is not where the money comes from."

Speaker Shea: "Are you saying, Mr. Calvo, you want to table 6 . . . 6 . . ."

Calvo: "If I . . . if I pass . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 613, I will table Senate Bill 614, which is the appropriation's Bill."

Barry: "And 613 has no money in it."

Calvo: "That's correct."

Speaker Shea: "Well, then we'll take . . ."

Barry: "Well, then I'll . . . I'll gladly accept that."

Speaker Shea: ". . . we'll take one Roll Call on 613 and . . ."



Calvo: "That's correct, and then I'll table 614, Gerry."

Speaker Shea . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 613 pass? All those in favor . . . favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Ah . . . Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill receiving 141 'aye' votes, 3 'nay' votes is, hereby, declared passed. The Gentleman from Cal . . . from Madison, Mr. Calvo, moves to table House . . . er . . . Senate Bill 614. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. House Bill 614 is, hereby, tabled. 6 . . . Senate Bill 632, Mr. Madigan, the Gentleman from Cook."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 6 . . . what? . . . 632 . . ."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Madigan, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mad . . . Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I request leave to return Senate Bill 362 to the order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "Hearing no objections, House . . . er . . . Senate Bill 632 is returned to the order of Sec . . . Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Mr. Clerk, read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Palmer, amends Senate Bill 632 as amended and the title by deleting 'appropriation' and inserting in lieu, thereof, 'appropriations' and so forth."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Yourell, did you have something to say?"

Yourell: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Representative Palmer, and myself have . . . ah . . . have . . . ah . . . Amendment #2 to Sen . . . Senate Bill 632 and . . . ah . . . Representative Palmer can present the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few days ago we passed out . . . ah . . . House Bill 1999, which provided for an appropriation for a problem of general interest in the 8th District and . . . ah . . . in so far as . . ."



ah . . . the lighting . . . ah . . . of a roadway is concerned on a . . . ah . . . separation. This Bill passed out to the Senate and . . . ah . . . it dies there and so we've done this, it's a worthy cause. The House believe it at the time, and we request your favorable consideration for this Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "Ah . . . The question is, shall House Amendment #1 to Senate . . . shall Senate . . . shall House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 632 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'; the Amendment is adopted. Return the Bill to Third Reading. Having been read a third time . . . the Bill having been read a third time, Mr. Madigan, from Cook."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 632 makes an appropriation to the Department of Transportation for the construction of an overpass along South Central Avenue from West 65th Street to West 69th Street within the City of Chicago and the City of Burbank, Illinois. The Digest indicates the appropriation is in the amount of \$7,000,000; however, by agreement within the Appropriations' Committee this amount was reduced to \$3,500,000. I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that in . . . generally speaking for the aspect of this Bill as it was originally, that is, with regards to flood plain problems, I believe that this kind of money ordinarily is not sun . . . funded by the state, but by the Federal Government, would the Sponsor . . . ah . . . have any comments about that?"

Speaker Shea: "The Sponsor indicates he'll yield from a . . . for a question. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Toby, were you . . . were you questioning the use of this money for flood plain purposes?"

Barry: "No, I was questioning the source of this money for river basin plan purposes, am I looking at the wrong Bill? It is sort of late. I'll just withdraw my comments for the moment."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further discussion? The Gentlemen from



Cook, Mr. Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, I'm going to vote 'aye' for this Bill; but I sure wish the Spon . . . I wish the Sponsor and . . . and would consider instead of overpasses, perhaps appropriating this kind of state money to the W.P.H. streets in Chicago."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further discussion? Again, Mr. . . . ah . . . Gerry . . ."

Unknown: "I'd like to add my vote, nothing more."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall House . . . er . . . Senate Bill 632 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it's indeed a pleasure to see somebody from the City of Chicago get something, and I would to vote twice."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Clerk, take the Record. This Bill having received 119 . . . 118 'aye' votes and 3 'nay' . . . 'nay' votes is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 633."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 633, Mahar, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentle . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't wish to take a lot of time, this is a very necessary and important . . . ah . . . Bill here. The Bill is in Franklin Park who has expended its own fund up to this point to do the geometric and . . . and the study . . . ah . . . this appropriation has been cut to \$100,000; and I'd appreciate your favorable support of this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Philip's from DuPage."

Philip: "Yeah, I just have a question . . . ah . . . Representative Williams, is this for a grade separation?"

Williams: "Ah . . . Yes, it . . . it . . ."

Philip: "It's been amended down to \$100,000?"



Williams: ". . . Yes, that's right . . ."

Philips: "Well, that's fine, that's exactly what my . . . and I'm for you."

Shea: The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Ah . . . A point of information, Mr. Speaker, as I understand it the Senate has adjourned, as I understand it the last Bill that we passed was amended by this House. This Bill has been amended by this House. Now, what good is it going to do us to pass this Bill having been amended by the House and with the Senate at home?"

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Stone, I heard the same rumor. We checked, they're still in Session. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, to close on House Bill 63 . . . er . . . Senate Bill 633." The Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 633. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 632 having received 121 'aye' votes, 1 'no' vote is, hereby, declared passed. That was Senate Bill 633 is, hereby, declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, votes 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 663, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to create the Travel Arranger's Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Do you want to take those out of the Record for a minute? 666, Mr. Neff."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 666, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 666 as it's been amended is the same as House Bill 1524 and that's the so-called Amtrak Bill . . . railroad Bill, and I'll be glad to answer any questions. This . . . ah . . . is . . . ah . . . has the same . . . ah . . . appropriation now as Amendment . . . as the original one had, \$1,959,000, and I'll be glad to answer any questions or go any further in it if anyone desires."



Speaker Shea: "Are there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Springfield, Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Ah . . . Clarence, in this appropriation does it contain an appropriation for the run from Decatur to Springfield?"

Neff: "Ah . . . Yes, this has it in . . . ah . . . of course, we have it in the Chicago to Springfield which it had in before and then we've added on . . . ah . . . from Champaign to Decatur and Springfield also."

Gibbs: "It was my understanding that it was deleted by mistake at one time, but it is back in there and you're sure now?"

Neff: "Yeah . . . now, on this particular Senate Bill it's in it."

Gibbs: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 666 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Record McGrew as 'aye'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. Yeah, vote . . . this Bill having received 130 'aye', Senate Bill 666 is, hereby, declared passed. The next Bill is Senate Bill 694, Mr. Sto . . . Soderstrom, I don't see him on the floor. No, wait a minute, Mr. Soderstrom, do you want to call 694 or do you want it out of the Record? Read it, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 694, an Act making an appropriation to the City of Morton in Tazewell County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Soderstrom."

Clerk Selcke: "Huh?"

Soderstrom: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to call this back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "With leave of the House this Bill is returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Am . . . Amendment #1, Soderstrom, amends Senate Bill 694 on page 1, line 16, and so forth."

Soderstrom: "Ladies and Gen . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 just does one thing, at the suggestion of Representative Lechowicz I have added from the motor fuel tax fund where



this money should come; and so I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Shea: "All those in fo . . . favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. The Amendment is, hereby, declared adopted. Return the Bill to the order of Third Reading. The Bill having been read a third time, the Chair recognizes Mr. Soderstrom from LaSalle."

Soderstrom: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This Bill does . . . does one thing, it appropriates the sum of \$8,236.11 to the City of Morton for annexation fees, sanitary sewer assessment and street assessment in connection with improvements to a street upon which the state has maintenance facility. The facility is a highway garage. If it was privately owned, it would be assessed as \$8,000. That's all the Bill does. I respectfully ask for your help."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 694 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. This Bill having received 128 'aye' votes, 2 'nay' votes is, hereby, declared passed. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 731, Madigan, an Act relating to the participation of the Department of Agriculture in the funding of the Chicago Metropolitan Area . . . Area River Basin and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I request permission to consider Senate Bill 731 together with Senate Bills 732, 733, 734, 735 and 736."

Speaker Shea: "With leave of the House those Bills will be heard at one time."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 732, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 733, an Act authorizing the Department of Transportation and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 734, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill. 735, an Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to make improvements. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 736, an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 731 would authorize the Department of Agriculture to participate in the funding for the preparation of the Chicago Metropolitan Area River Basin Plan by the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service. Senate Bill 732 would appropriate \$172,000 to the Department of Agriculture for those purposes. Senate Bill 733 as amended would authorize the Department of Transportation to make improvements in the west branch of the DuPage River in Cook County, and Senate Bill 734 would appropriate \$440,000 for that purpose. Senate Bill 735 would authorize the Department of Transportation to make improvements in the Willow Higgins Water Shed in Cook County, and Senate Bill 736 would appropriate \$770,000 for that purpose. These Bills originally called for higher appropriations. However, they were reduced in the Appropriations' Committee. I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will. Mr. Madigan."

McClain: "Ah . . . Mike, . . . ah . . . where does this money come from, out of General Revenue, out of motor fuel tax or what?"

Madigan: "General Revenue."

McClain: "Could you tell me a total cost for all four Bills please, five Bills?"

McClain: "Well, Mike, it's \$172,000, plus \$440,000, plus \$770,000."

Speaker Shea: "About \$1,300,000. Any further discussion? The question is . . . the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mike, just consider my remarks on 632 to apply to this series of Bills a while ago. To the effect that this is money that normally isn't contributed by the state to other similar projects, isn't that correct, is it not?"

Madigan: "Toby, I really don't know."

Barry: "Well, speaking to the Bill or the series of Bills, I should say I believe this is somewhat of a raid on the state funds, the



State General Fund, as a matter of fact, because this kind of money normally doesn't come from state funds, but in fact from federal funds; and I would solicit a 'no' vote for that reason."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The question is . . .

Mr. Duff, the Gentleman from Cook, has a question."

Duff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I heard a question asked as to the . . . to the total cost of this project, and I didn't hear the answer."

Speaker Shea: "\$1 . . . \$1,300,000 in the six Bills."

Duff: "And this is my . . . as I understand it which normally would come from the Federal Government?"

Speaker Shea: "No, that was question . . . Mr. Madigan to answer."

Duff: "Represen . . . would the Sponsor answer a question?"

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "I'm not sure that the money normally comes from the Federal Government or not, but what I do know about the entire package is that it provides for funding at all three levels of government, local, state and federal. These are agreements which have been entered into by and between the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District, the State of Illinois in certain instances, the Federal Government and the Local Governments where necessary."

Duff: "This is the money which was agreed to by the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District, and we are appropriating state funds, as I understand it from the earlier questions from the Gentleman from Bureau County, . . . ah . . . that this should normally be . . . ah . . . given by the Federal Government and we are now adding this to the state budget."

Madigan: "That's the opinion of the Gentleman from Bureau County, that is not my opinion."

Duff: "Is this in the Governor's . . . is this in the Governor's budget?"

Madigan: "No, it's not."

Duff: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall this series of Bills pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Shea 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Campbell 'aye'. Capuzi 'aye'.



Take the Record. This series of Bills having received 101 'aye' votes and 10 'nay' votes is, hereby, declared passed. That is Senate Bills 731, 732, 733, 734, 735 and 736 are, hereby, declared passed. All right, Gentlemen, we're going to the Supplemental Calendar now starting with Calendar #2 and pick up some nonconcurrents."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 589, McMaster."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster's."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the . . . ah . . . House recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 589."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Gee, Tom, you surprised me, I thought you'd let me make the motion since it's my Amendment which made the Bill such a good Bill. I would suggest to the Members that when we adopted the Amendment to the Bill that . . . ah . . . Tom is suggesting we . . . what are we doing with that? . . . nonconcurring?"

Clerk Selcke: "Receding."

Schneider: "We're receding. Well, I think it met pretty much the approval of the House. Now, we've been pretty much in a position of . . . ah . . . of leadership between the Senate and the House, we've been enlightened in many of the issues, occasionally we've floundered, but the fact remains that this Amendment really is . . . is still a good Amendment inasmuch as it does provide for the intent of the Bill and that is an economic statement to correlate with environmental regulations. What it . . . what we can argue for is that it's no . . . in my mind, there's no reason to go back over old ground, expend over \$200,000 to do that and especially in the face of the fact that we've allowed for variances and options for . . . ah . . . violators to correct their errors and to be approved . . . meet the approvals of the regulations. So I think we're again going back over old ground. We have done what the Chamber of Commerce wanted, we provided a satisfactory resolution to the problem of economic impact statements; and I



think if we do not maintain the Bill in its present status that we will seriously damage it. So I think it's a good Bill. The agency can live with this. It's a major step. It supplements already the E.P.A.'s requirement that there be economic . . . ah . . . studies and statements made. So I think we should not agree with the motion and oppose it."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Will the . . . ah . . . Sponsor of this motion to recede yield to a question? Tom, is this the Amendment that we put on that provided that economic impact statements would be provided from now on on any regulations to be promulgated?"

McMaster: "Yes, Horace."

Calvo: "Now, Tom, this takes care of almost everybody I know that's going to be effected by this agency. It takes care of the . . . one that's re . . . that you and I know from our Committee hearings that's really concerned about it and that's the . . . ah . . . steel industry and the glass industry because there are no regulations and if there are any, it will be in the future, and they'll have to give an economic impact study. It's ridiculous to try to spend \$700,000 or \$400,000 of state money . . ."

McMaster: "Oh, come on, Horace, you know it's not \$700,000."

Calvo: "All right, so it's \$400,000. What is it, Tom, tell me, give me the figure, what's it going to cost to go back three years and give . . . give these statements?"

McMaster: ". . . Horace . . . Horace, your own people said it was going to cost between \$3 and \$400,000 to . . . now, wait, to go back over what had already been promulgated and what would be promulgated in the next year."

Calvo: "All right, so let's say \$300,000 and you know it's a strange thing, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, at 8 o'clock tonight I nonconcurrred in the Amendment to this agency's budget and the Senate has not acted on that noncurrence . . . has not refused to recede or has not receded from their Amendments; and I tell you that it's foolish to vote for this . . . to recede from these Amendments and leave this agency with no money to operate on."



McMaster: "Horace . . ."

Calvo: "Right now they don't have a penny to even operate on tomorrow to today, which I should say."

McMaster: "Horace, I've been trying to call this Bill for the last two days."

Calvo: "Well, Tom, I've got some I'd like to have called too; but why haven't we had any message from the Senate concerning whether or not they're going to recede from their Amendments to this agency's budget and, by the way, if we get a Conference Committee Report and you uphold your position, we're going to have to add \$400 . . . or \$3 . . . approximately \$300,000?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that it is time that the State of Illinois recognizes what it's economy is. I think we are very fortunate in this state in that we have many things, many industries that add to our economy, in all ways. I think that we must recognize this, we must recognize what the rules and regulations of the environmental . . . Tom, . . . group and the Pollution Control Board do to us, and I think it is time we wake up, that we look at this and then decide what it's going to cost us."

Calvo" "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a point of order. Al Kost in standing in that balcony signaling these people to vote for this Bill. I know that man and I vote for his legislation all through this Session and I don't like that kind of thing, and we don't have the money to do this, they won't put it in the budget, they won't recede from their Amendments; and Al Cost, if you expect me to support you in the future, I don't think that's the kind of thing to do on this House floor."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House . . . ah . . . Mr. McMaster's from Knox to close."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that I have gone along on this all the way, with both sides of the House. I think this is good legislation. I think that we need an economic impact study. All I can do, Ladies and Gentlemen, is ask your support and my motion to recede from House Amendment #2



to Senate Bill 589. Please give me a green light."

Speaker Shea: "This is final action and we'll take 89 votes. The question is, shall the House recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 589? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Mr. Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "I would like to call attention to this House of a few things that I've told you before. Now, somebody that seemed to get a little mad, but let me tell you I get a little 'cock-dman' mad myself, 14 . . . 14 . . . 14 factories have left this state, 14 factories have left this state. In Pekin, Illinois, 200 men last August were layed off because of this lack of knowledge of impact. Now, which are you going to do, have them go to Japan? Have them go to Germany or are we going to try to keep them here in this country and in this state? It's time to begin to think what's happening here. I've said enough, but I know that now, it's time you think of this, the welfare of the people of Illinois."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, our nerves are a little on edge and a little frayed and I don't like to rise but on this one I must. My city, my area has a lot of industry and I'd hate to lose it because if we lose it we would be in very serious economic strait, and while I don't necessarily like all the things that happen, I can't afford to lose any industry in my community, so I have to support this Bill and I ask everyone to do the same for the State of Illinois."

Speaker Shea: "Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. The motion having received 79 votes the House . . . the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster's."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I want to make sure of . . . ah . . . one thing, we're moving to recede from House Amendment #2. This is the first thing, I wanted to make sure my motion was right."

Speaker Shea: "Your motion was, as I understood it, and I'll state it again, you moved to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 582. Having not received . . ."

McMaster: "589 please. Gerry."

Speaker Shea: ". . . 589, I'm sorry."



McMaster: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I would like a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Anderson. Arrigo. Barnes. Beaupre. Brandt.

Carter. Craig. DiPrima. Epton. Farley. Fennessey. Gibbs.

Giglio. Granada. Hart. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. R. Holloway.

D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Emil Jones. Kelly. Laurino.

Maragos. McAvoy. McCormick. McGah. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo.

Nardulli. Palmer. Patrick. Porter. Redmond. Sangmeister.

Schoeberlein. Sharp. Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Stedelin. Stone.

Taylor. Wall. Washington. Williams. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

. . . what Bill is this Amendment, and I am not recorded as voting,

I know that, but I would like to be recorded as voting 'aye' because

and I . . . if I have leave, I'd like to explain for about 15

seconds, 'aye'."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Rockford, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Fleck is already voting 'aye'."

Speaker Shea: "Give me the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "80 'ayes', 43 'nays'."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "How am I recorded please?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Schoeberlein: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Shea: "On this question there are 81 'ayes', the House having

failed to recede from Amendment #1 . . . the House refuses to

recede from Amendment #1 . . . 2, #2. Mr. McMaster's."

McMaster: "I just want to make sure, Gerry, that it was Amendment #2.

Now, as I understand it, this will go back to the Senate . . .

will go to a Conference Committee, right?"

Clerk Selcke: "That's right."

Speaker Shea: "Fred, is that what happens? Ah . . . Mr. McMaster's,

that's correct, it will go back to the Senate. They'll appoint

a Conference Committee and we'll get on with the work."



Clerk Selcke: "All right, now, the . . . the Supplemental Calendar 3."

Speaker Shea: "Gentlemen and Ladies, we will go to Supplemental Calendar #3."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 192, Murphy."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the . . . ah . . . House do not recede from this Amendment to Senate Bill . . . Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 192."

Clerk Selcke: "We don't need a Roll Call . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Murphy, your motion was that the House do not recede . . . the House refuses to recede from Amendment #2? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'; and the House refuses to recede from Amendment #2; and I assume this will be returned to the Senate for the appointment of a Conference Committee. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, doesn't the House also have to . . . appoint a Conference Committee now?"

Clerk Selcke: "No, we've . . ."

Speaker Shea: "We've refused to recede, the Senate will appoint the Conference Committee and come back to us . . ."

Murphy: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Shea: ". . . and I'm sorry the . . . we refused to recede, we request a Conference Committee and send it over there and they appoint one. Mr. Dunn, from Perry, I'm sorry I didn't recognize you."

Dunn, R.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, wasn't Senate Bill 192 on an Agreed List that we voted on earlier yesterday or the day before or sometime?"

Speaker Shea: "Yes, it was, but the Senate didn't go along with our Amendment, and . . . ah . . . they wanted us to take off our Amendment. We refused to do and it's going to end up in a Conference Committee."

Dunn, R.: "Thank you, thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 371, Pierce."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."



Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in an effort to wind things up, . . . ah . . . I will move to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 371, the Illinois Commerce Commission . . . ah . . . appro . . . appropriation. They concurred on Amendment #2. Amendment #1 was a reporting Amendment, and . . . ah . . . Commerce Commission will make their reports as . . . as we want them in our Appropriation's Committee. I . . . I've talked to the Chairman of Appropriations', and I believe he won't object to my motion to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 371."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from . . . you're moving to recede? . . ."

Pierce: "To recede . . . to recede for final action on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: ". . . all right, the Gentleman moves that the House do recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 371. This is final action and requires 89 votes. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'."

Clerk Selcke: "Have all voted who wish?"

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. The Gentleman's motion received 128 'aye' votes and 3 'no' votes; and the House recedes from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 371."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1158, Emil Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do not recede in Amendment . . . Amendment #2, 3 and 5 to Senate Bill 1158."

Clerk Selcke: "What was the motion, George?"

Chockey: "Refuse to recede."

Clerk Selcke: "Refuse to recede . . . yeah, 2, 3 and 5."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede from the Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . they wanted to go back to Appropriation's. Here's a Conference Committee Report that was adopted. You got to note for a . . ."



Speaker Shea: "Dan, we sent it back to the Senate, and they asked for a Conference Committee on 371."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . it's not on the . . . ah . . . we don't have a . . . it's not on the Calendar."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, it seems that we have a little love in the House and I'd like to present two beautiful ladies together in the west Gallery with my wife, I'd like to present Mrs. Eugene Barnes and Mrs. Senator Fred J. Smith, Mrs. Margaret Smith, with my wife. If the ladies will stand at 10 to 3 this morning . . . Barnes' wife, I remember when his mother brought him to Sunday School, just a little bitty fellow and then I remember his wife just a little girl, now both of them are regard as my son and daughter, and now I remember all the little grandchildren they have."

Speaker Telcser: "Were are we now? What? Gerry, where are we now? Wait a second. Representative . . . wait a second, there are a few Members concerned about the . . . ah . . . appropriation Bills. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Well, you're right, and I would . . . should like to know what happened to that list we were working on . . . we left it abruptly and went to . . . ah . . . other matters and we wonder why we're not going back to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, okay, I just can't now . . . ah . . . Re . . . Representative Barry, for what purpose do you arise?"

Barry: "To get rid of a couple of Bills, please table . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait a second, I'm trying to answer a question for Representative Collins."

Barry: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Phil', Phil', all right, Phil', the Clerk apparently told Gerry when he was up here that the Clerk's office is . . . is very stacked up now so we want to get the non-concurrence? . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . so we want to get the nonconcurrence motions done now so they can set up the Conference Committees, and then



jump right back to the appropriation Bills that we have been doing. Now, is that correct?"

Unknown: "Are we stacked in the Clerk's office?"

Speaker Telcser: "Go up and maybe you'll get a little piece of the action. Where are we at . . . where am I at, Fred? I want to get nonconcurrences first. All right, now, wait a second, now, Gene Hoffman had a nonconcurrency. We can . . . does it have to be on the Calendar?"

Clerk Selcke: "Of course."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk says they've got to be on the Calendar, Gene, I tried for you. All right, now, Bruce, you have a concurrency, don't you?"

Douglas: "Nonconcurrency."

Speaker Telcser: "A nonconcurrency? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for final action, which is the nonconcurrency as it's been explained to me by the Parliamentarian. I'd like to move for the reconsideration of the House action on House Bill 794, this is on Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3. Now, what I'm asking for, so that it's perfectly clear, is that we have decided after a Conference Committee Report that Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 which we refused to accept initially are now acceptable. I've checked on this subject with the Department of Public Health which will be administering the Act that this Bill will pass and they have acceded to the Senate Amendment relating to the lead contents in paint. This is on the Lead Poisoning Act, House Bill 794, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a . . ."

Douglas: ". . . so I'd like to reconsider House action on Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3, and after that . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, now wait a second . . . all right, now, what . . . now, Bruce, the Clerk tells me, now that was 794, we didn't . . . we did not do anything on Senate Amendments, we adopted a Conference Committee Report."

Douglas: ". . . well, as it's been explained to me, Mr. Speaker, the



Conference Committee met, the Senate Con . . . the Senate refused to accede to the Conference Committee . . ."

Unknown: "It was report."

Speaker Telcser: "Refused to adopt the Conference Committee Re . . ."

Douglas: ". . . refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report and we have a Report which I saw up in 'Gigis' office a few moments to that effect. The House accepted, but in light of the fact that we've reached an impass and which . . . and we have agreed that we can accept the Senate original Amendments to House Bill 794, I would like now to move that we simply accept the Senate Am . . . Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 794, which amounts to final passage action."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Bruce . . . ah . . . oh, boy, now . . ."

Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I think it's a good thing that Representative Douglas raises this point. In past Sessions we've run into this problem and I believe that the posture of the House has been and should be once a Conference Committee is established we must either accept or reject the Conference Committee's Report. If there is no concurrence in . . . or acceptance of the Conference Committee Report there's a second Conference Committee that must be adopted. If their Reports are not accepted, the Bill is dead."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Bruce, let's review that. A Senate Amendment came over to the House, it must have been a couple of days ago or whenever it was, all right? . . . you refu . . . Brian, let me try to clear this up, you refused to . . . Bruce, Bruce, you refused to concur with the Senate Amendment right? The Senate refused to recede. A Conference Committee was appointed, Conference Committee #1. The House adopted a Conference Committee Report, the Senate refused to do so. Now, a Member cannot then go backwards and redo what he . . . and undo what he did a couple of days earlier by refusing to concur with Senate Amendments. The only course of action that you now have is for the second Conference Committee to be reported which should then redo the Bill with those Senate Amendments, all right, so that then the



House on your motion would adopt the second Conference Committee Report and the Senate should then, I would think, adopt the second Conference Committee Report simply because in that Conference Committee you would adopt those Senate Amendments. Did you follow that?"

Douglas: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I do understand what you're saying, and I'll try and follow that action, the lateness of the hour makes it very difficult, but if those are the rules, I'll certainly go along with it."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Well, Bob, . . . and Bob Mann was standing behind you shaking his head yes, so I believe . . . is that right, Fred?"

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, since this may be of some help to others in a somewhat similar position, I was advised by the Parliamentarian that if . . . that if I moved to reconsider that this was always an acceptable motion to make, is that not so?"

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 832."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 832, an Act making an appropriation to the State Officer Compensation Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Johnson, Representative C. L. McCormick. Is the Gentleman in his seat? Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "The next Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 883."

Clerk Selcke: "Huh?"

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 883."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 833, an Act making an appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for leave to bring Senate Bill 883 back to the order of Second Reading for purposes of . . . ah . . . acting on some Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Is . . . is there any objection? Hearing none, Senate Bill 883 be back on the order of Second Reading. Read the



Amendment."

Berman: "Now. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 883 is the appropriation to fund the School Aid . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Art', Art', let the Clerk read the Amendment first."

Berman: "I'm sorry."

Clerk Selcke: "The Amendment #2, Berman, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . amends . . . Amendments . . . amends Senate Bill 883 on page 1, line 10, and so forth."

Berman: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 883 is the . . . is the appropriation to fund the School Aid Formula for this coming year. Ah . . . In the Appropriation's Committee, at that time, which was three days ago, there was an Amendment placed on it, Amendment #1, Committee Amendment #1, to cut the appropriation from \$839, . . . \$939,000,000 down to \$935,000,000. Since that time, including this morning, we have worked out a new School Aid Formula which requires an appropriation of \$945,000,000. I have touched base with the . . . ah . . . Chairman and Minority Spokesman of the Appropriation's Committee. So at this time, Mr. Speaker, I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, what does the Gentleman mean when he says this morning we worked out a new School Aid Formula?"

Berman: "Well, if with the leave of the Chair, when we leave Senate Bill 883 . . . ah . . . on Calendar # . . . ah . . . 2 is House Bill 1484 by Representative Hoffman. Now, if it would be easier, I would be glad, Mr. Speaker, I think it might be advisable, and I think Representative Duff has a good point, if I have . . . if I ask that this be taken out of the Record for a moment so that House Bill 1484 on Supplemental Calendar #2 was explained, that's the School Aid Formula proposal. This is the vehicle to fund it, and then we could explain the whole thing together."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's take it out of the Record. Next Bill. Let's go. Senate Bill 914."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 914, an Act making appropriation . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, what . . . what I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "What did you say?"

Berman: ". . . what I had requested was to hold 883 for a moment and to try to call 1484, which is the School Aid Formula. A question was raised regarding 883, which is the funding of it, and it's just for purposes of clarification and . . . ah . . . edification of the House. I thought it might be easier to explain the proposed formula and then to di . . . to debate the . . . ah . . . funding of it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Members are saying that's okay or most of them are, Phil' . . . okay, now, what am I . . . what is that . . . ah . . . where is it? Okay, on the order of Concurrence is House Bill 1484, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1484 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I . . . I didn't hear this Bill number and it says we're on Senate Bill 1384 . . . something like that . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "That it, 13,384 . . ."

Duff: "What Bill are we on?"

Speaker Telcser: "1484."

Clerk Selcke: "The later it goes, the higher we get."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1484 is the same Bill that it was when it left the House with the Resource Equalizer Formula with one major exception and that exception is that in the percentage add on we moved it from 19 to 25 percent to ease the transition for some downstate unit districts which are small in size and not so small in assessed valuation . . . ah . . . to move on to the new Resource Equalizer . . . ah . . . during their first year, and I would move for the



adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1484, which has been I think quite well and quite adequately explained . . . when it left the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I have the highest confidence in the Gentleman from DuPage, but here we are at 3 o'clock in the morning with an Amendment to change the School Aid Formula for unit districts in the southern end of the state. I think we really deserve to understand fully the effect of this change that he has just suggested on the School Aid Formula as it relates to the unit school districts and I think equally important the effect that it has on the dual school districts in terms of the monies available."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, to close."

Hoffman: "As I stated, House Bill 1484 is the same as it was when it left here with the exception that there has been \$10,000,000 added in the appropriation which Mr. Berman is going to get to ease the transition . . . ah . . . on to the State Aid Formula which is four-year run . . . ah . . . for some downstate districts. No one . . . there is no change from what it was for your district or for mine when it left the House. If you'd like a further explanation at your convenience, I'd be most pleased to give it to you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1484. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no' . . . the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not quite sure what the motion was. Now, this is on the Speaker's table and it's concurrence right . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman wants . . ."

Schraeder: ". . . and it's Amendment #1? Now, are we voting to concur with . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, yes."

Schraeder: ". . . with the Senate Amendment #1 on it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right, we want to concur with the Senate Amendment to . . ."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to just ask that we vote to



nonconcur."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1484. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Let's go. Howie, vote me 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1. House Bill 1484 back on the . . . ah . . . Appropriation's Calendar . . . ah . . . House Bill 883, the Gentleman from . . . Senate Bill 883, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman, has been read a third time already."

Berman: "Thank . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe we're still on Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Second Reading, it's been moved back to the order of Second. The Gentleman has offered the Amendment #1 which has been read."

Berman: "I move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which the Amendment was adopted. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Gentleman's motion prevails, the Gentleman now moves the Amendment #1 be tabled. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Berman, amends Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "I now move to adopt Amendment #2 which raises the School Aid Formula to \$10,000,000 that Representative Hoffman requested."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate . . . Senate Bill 883. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, Representative Berman."

Berman: "I move the adoption of Senate Bill 883 which will fund the School Aid Formula for the fiscal year of '74."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just to satisfy my curiosity, could



the Gentleman tell me where this \$10,000,000 is going? What part of the state ends up with it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Representative Berman please."

Berman: "The ma . . . as you recall in the debate on 1484 before the Senate Amendment, the primary funding of the . . . of that Bill was in the . . . ah . . . suburban area of Chicago and other urban areas. The major part of the \$10,000,000 is to assist in the transition of some of the smaller downstate school districts that were not covered by 1484 as it originally applied."

Skinner: "May I ask how far downstate you're referring to?"

Berman: "Ah . . . All the way down to the end of the state."

Skinner: "Generally concentrated 100 miles . . . ah . . . north of Cairo, south of a line, and east-west line 100 miles north of Cairo?"

Berman: "Ah . . . I lost your directions."

Skinner: "Is it generally where the Junior College Districts end up getting that 2.2 million dollars?"

Berman: "I . . . I don't follow."

Skinner: "In special equalization aid the far southern districts of the state . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 883 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Hit those buttons, man. Mann 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 3 'nays'; the . . . pass, whoop. No, no, we got to get Phil' Collins or he'll come up here and whack at me. Senate Bill 914. Hurry up, Phil', before we take it out on you again."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 914, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Business and Economic Development. Third Reading of . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . . ah . . . House Bill 914 is a Bill sponsored by the Members . . . ah . . . by the . . . ah . . . the Commission for economic development. Ah . . . This Bill appropriates \$100,000



for a feasibility study in support of a license application to establish a foreign trade zone in Illinois. As I say, this Bill has bi-partisan support. It came out of the Senate Committee 20 to nothing. It came out of the . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 914 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Telcser 'aye', get me on there somebody. Have all voted who wish? Bluthardt 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 108 'aye' . . . 'ayes', 8 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. I want to go back to Representative Douglas who's now straightened out on that nonconcurrence business."

Douglas: "All right, thank you, once again, Mr. Speaker, we worked out the parliamentary procedure now. I'd like, Mr. Speaker, to move to reconsider the vote by which the Conference Committee Report was adopted on House Bill 794."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side by which the House adopted a Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 794, the Gentleman moves to reconsider the motion. All in favor 'aye', the opposed . . . the motion is reconsidered. The Gentleman now . . ."

Douglas: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move . . . I think this is the . . . the best way to handle . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman now moves to table a Conference Committee Report . . ."

Douglas: ". . . table a Conference Committee Report . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . to Senate Bill 794. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Conference Committee Report is tabled. The Gentleman now moves . . ."

Douglas: ". . . and now, I . . . this now moves the Bill to the final passage stage, Mr. Speaker, where I'd like to move to concur with the original Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 on House Bill 794."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman now moves that the House concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 . . . Representative Duff, for what



purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Now, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . we had for a while on the board Senate Bill and you said at one time House Bill and then the Sponsor said Senate Bill and you just said House Bill. Now, would you please tell us which one we're on."

Speaker Telcser: "I was speaking about House Bill 794 and . . ."

Duff: "Then would you give us a moment to look it up because I've been turning from one part of the book to the other as fast as I can?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . do you, is that what you want me to say for that? Aew, I wouldn't say that. The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 794. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Well, a lot of things on his desk. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record . . . oh, one moment, the Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you M . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd just like to point out that one of the Amendments on this Bill, the Amendment recommended by the paint industry, makes the lead content .5 percent."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted . . . take . . . have all voted who wish? Okay. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Well, now, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . we're going through this awfully rapidly and this is a Bill that we debated twice, once for the Senate and once for the House, and we had a long debate on it yesterday morning on a concurrence. This is an argument between a paint industry and the people who want to change the lead statures. We had a great deal of concern on this Bill and it came over here yesterday morning. Here at 3 a.m. we don't seem to be very concerned about it. It . . . it effects the children who are effected by the lead paint poisoning. It seems to me that when Representative Douglas will stand up and move so quickly on a Bill that we've hardly had time to read that it's been the subject of enormous debate, more than once in this House we should get a more clear understanding of what we're doing. Now . . ."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I'll be very happy to explain my vote, which I think will clear up this . . . this misunderstanding and I



certainly think that Representative Duff's question is very appropriate. This evening we had a meeting with the Director of the Department of Public Health to discuss with the department that will be administering this Act the feelings of the department about the impasse that had arisen between the Sponsor of the Bill, myself, and the paint industry that was raising questions about what the lead content of paint should be. Now, this Bill clearly says that when the Federal Government moves some .5 percent lead in paint to any other percentage, and the percentage will be .06 percent, that the State of Illinois will be prepared immediately to follow suit. I personally would be much happier if we were able to pass out a Bill that was at .06 percent. The Department of Public Health, which supported this Bill and helped write in the Commission hearings that took place last year, has said that they will go along with this .5 percent with an understanding that they will move rapidly to .06 percent. It's not my desire to do it. The State of Illinois desperately needs a lead po . . . a Lead Poisoning Act on the books as quickly as possible. The Department of Public Health has succeeded because of the political situation that we have feeling that this is the most appropriate thing that can be done now, and they've asked that we concur with the Senate Amendment and I believe that this is the best thing that we can do."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 18 'nays'; the House concurs with . . . Palmer 'aye' . . . the House concurs with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 794. Senate Bill 925."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 925, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Registration and Education. Third Reading of the Bill. Craig. . . Craig."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig. Bob Craig, Bob? Do you want to take your Bill, Bob? Do you want it out of the Record?"

Craig: "I'd like to ask for 924 and 925, they're both companion Bills; and I'd like to have them . . ."



Clerk Selcke: "924."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? The Clerk will read 928.

Objections have been raised. What do you want to do now, Bob?"

Craig: "I'll handle them."

Speaker Telcser: "Pardon."

Craig: "I'll take that one."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Senate Bill 925."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an appropriation Bill which will . . . for the Department of Registration and Education for the Auctioneer Licensing Act. It was re . . . it had an Amendment put on it in the Appropriation's Committee reducing the appropriation from \$25 to \$15,000 for the first year. I'd like to move for the favorable vote for House Bill . . . or Senate Bill 925."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, I would like to know what an auctioneer does and I understand the Gentleman is an auctioneer and I'd like to hear him auction a little bit."

Craig: "I think we've had enough confusion without that."

Speaker Telcser: "What do you want to do?"

Clerk Selcke: "Romie Palmer wants him to auction something."

Speaker Telcser: "Auction something? All right, good. Representative R. L. Dunn, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn, R.: "A question of the Sponsor. Does this create a fee for . . . ah . . . being licensed as an auctioneer?"

Craig: "Yes, Sir."

Dunn, R.: "What's the fee?"

Craig: "\$100 a year, and \$50 for the license . . . ah . . . \$100 for the license and \$50 for the examination."

Dunn, R.: "Well, if I can speak briefly to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "What did you want, Ralph?"

Dunn, R.: "I just wanted to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, proceed."

Dunn, R.: "It seems to me that a \$100 a year licensing fee is probably one of the most, if not the most, highest licensing fees for



for any protraction in this State of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative . . . one moment . . . Representative Craig, for what purpose do you arise?"

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, this is not 924, this is 925, they wanted to consider them one at a time. This will only be needed if we pass 924. So I'd like to refine the remarks to \$15,000 which is the appropriation if 924 passes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, what? . . . Which Dunn, Ralph Dunn? . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, considering 924 before we've acted upon 924 is like putting the cards before the horse and I would suggest to the Sponsor of this Bill that he take 925 off the Consent Calendar and wait until Senate Bill 924 catches up with it."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want it out of the Record, Bob? Representative Craig? Take it out of the Record. Give me the next . . . Senate Bill 1022. Senate Bill 1022."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1022, an Act making an appropriation to the Chicago Park District. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as indicated this Bill is . . . ah . . . for funds to . . . ah . . . dredge and enlarge the lagoons in the City of Chicago. The Bill has been amended from its original . . . ah . . . Bill to provide that the funds will come from the Capitol Development Bond Fund . . . ah . . . I'm not going to go into detail . . . detail on the dredging other than to say it's needed in the City of Chicago for recreational purposes in the various parts, some of them in the impoverished areas. Ah . . . They're gonna' create some . . . be . . . part of the beach they're gonna' . . . part of the lagoon is going to be used for swimming and part of it is going to be used for fishing for the children in the area . . . ah . . . the park district not being a home rule unit has to come to the state for this approval and I ask a favorable vote. The total is \$4,200,000, which will ultimately be paid by these citizens of



Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you arise,

Sir? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Just a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Dunne: "Where is he by the way?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beatty, right over here, Bob."

Dunne: "John, now would you go over that with me a little bit?

Where is the money coming from?"

Beatty: "Capitol Development Bond Fund."

Dunne: "Is the state money to dredge lagoons in the City of

Chicago?"

Beatty: "No, it's not state money, it's been amended from state

money to the Capitol Development Bond Fund. I believe this fund

is replenished from the General Revenue out of the City of

Chicago."

Dunne: "Can't hear him."

Speaker Telcser: "Turn his volume so he can hear him."

Beatty: "Originally the Bill called for state money, but it calls for

money from a Capitol Development Bond Fund which comes from

General Revenue . . . actually the taxpayers of Chicago."

Dunne: "Thanks, John, I see the Amendment now."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative

Tipword."

Tipword: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question please?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Tipword: "Isn't the Capitol Development Bond Fund paid from the

General Revenue of the State of Illinois?"

Beatty: "Well, it's my belief that that is not the case . . . ah . . .

I . . . I don't believe so."

Tipword: "Well, when did we change the law?"

Beatty: "You may be correct, Mr. Tipword. I'm not certain of that."

Tipword: "It has certainly always been my impression that the . . .

under the state provision for Capitol Development Bonds it . . .

paid for it, that this service is paid for out of the General



Revenue of the State of Illinois. If . . . If I'm wrong, I would certainly like to be corrected, but I know we've just handled a couple of Bills for the State Treasurer to provide the debt service on this and . . . and the other bonds of the State of Illinois, and it appears to me that this \$4,000,000 is going to be General Revenue funds of the state."

Beatty: "I want . . . don't want to mislead the House, apparently Mr. Tipsword is correct . . . ah . . . it will come from the state. I thought that it . . . it was going to come from the revenue of the City of Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady . . . the lady from . . ."

Unknown: "A slight error."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Catania: "I see that this is for dredging and enlarging lagoons and could you tell me which lagoon it's for?"

Beatty: "Well, I have a list of them. There are a number of parks, it includes Washington Park, Lincoln Park, McKinley Park, part of Marquette Park, Humble Park, Gompers Park, Garfield Park, Douglas Park and Columbus Park."

Catania: "Can you tell me what's going to happen in Washington Park?"

Beatty: "In Washington Park there . . . there are going to be 368.4 acres . . . no . . . yeah, that's right, 368 . . . ah . . . no, 21 acres of that is going to be dredged."

Catania: "21 acres of lagoon in Washington Park?"

Beatty: "That's correct."

Catania: "Do you know how much that's going to cost?"

Beatty: "\$439,000."

Catania: "And can you tell me when that's going to be done?"

Beatty: "Well, it depends whether or not the . . . ah . . . Bill gets passed here and if it's approved by the Govenror."

Catania: "Yes, when . . . when is it . . . ah . . . projected for?"

Beatty: "Well, it will start as soon it got approved, I imagine, in the '74 budget."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Ah . . . One que . . . one question please. Representative Beatty, whose estimate is the \$4,200,000?"

Beatty: "From the Chicago Park District and with the contractors who do that type of dredging work."

Leinenweber: "I've been advised that . . . ah . . . I think it's Mr. McCre . . . ah . . . Galoo feels that about half of that would be all that would be necessary. Are you aware . . . did he have any part in this? Have you looked at it, or does he have a figure, do you know?"

Beatty: "I'm not familiar with Mr. McGoo . . . or magaloo . . . Galoo. Ah . . . Mr. Galoo's desk or whoever it is didn't consider the entire problem of dredging . . . ah . . . it just took into consideration part of the work to be done."

Leinenweber: "But they were about half of this, were they not?"

Beatty: "I really don't know."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, those figures were reviewed in the Appropriation's Committee and the question that was just raised by this . . . raised by the previous Speaker was strictly for dredging, but this Bill calls for dredging and enlarging; and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, . . . ah . . . I just want to point out the fact that the City of Chicago and the metropolitan area of Chicago and the immediate surrounding area does not have a state park very close by; and this Bill . . . we have under this Bill to provide it for the Capitol Development Bond Fund so there wouldn't be a . . . ah . . . a drain on the . . . ah . . . General Revenue Fund of the State of Illinois; and what we're asking for is facilities to be used for swimming and other water-related sports at these various locations; and in my personal opinion, I would encourage your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Ah. . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



~~House~~ . . . I'll make this quick. The Capitol Development Bond Fund as ~~many~~ ~~is~~ ~~well~~ aware, takes care of all the state buildings throughout ~~the~~ State of Illinois. What we are doing here with this Bill is ~~establishing~~ a precedent whereby lagoons and other park area, park ~~development~~ facilities can be dredged and enlarged. It's a bad ~~precedent~~, and I'd urge your . . . your 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker ~~Lechowicz~~: "The Gentleman . . . Representative Lechowicz, for ~~what~~ ~~purpose~~ do you arise?"

Lechowicz ~~at~~ "A point of order, I refer the Gentleman to look at 1981, ~~when~~ ~~was~~ the Governor's recommendation, that's where we got ~~the~~ ~~Bill~~."

Speaker ~~Lechowicz~~: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fary."

Fary: ~~Mr.~~ Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a ~~very~~ ~~valuable~~ Bill. We have a park, McKinley Park, in which we ~~have~~ ~~had~~ fishing contests and fishing derbys for the . . . for the ~~past~~ 20 years. Last year the Department of Conservation sent out a ~~biologist~~, he sounded the water and said it was too ~~shallow~~. The Department of Conservation would not put any fish in ~~there~~ for the kids to catch. Now, if we . . . if we pass ~~this~~ ~~Bill~~ and when it goes to dredge, the kids can have a lot of ~~fish~~ because we'll . . . we'll stock it with fish and it'll keep ~~them~~ busy. It's the best thing in the world for them."

Speaker ~~Lechowicz~~: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, . . ."

Duff: ~~Mr.~~ Speaker, earlier tonight some Gentlemen on the other side of ~~the~~ ~~isle~~ expressed their concern about breaking the budget. Here ~~we~~ ~~have~~ a sentence Bill which says that the Capitol Development Bond Fund can be used to dredge Chicago lagoons in the park ~~districts~~. It doesn't tell us where, it doesn't tell us how, ~~it~~ ~~doesn't~~ tell us what, it just says let's give \$4,000,000 to the Chicago Park District out of the Capitol Development Bond Fund; and if the Governor has to veto it, he's going to be in ~~real~~, nice feelings with the people in Chicago who will be told, 'Hey, he didn't let us fix your parks so you can swim and fish', but he didn't say anything about all the other ways that this money can be used in lagoons in the Chicago Park District."



Speaker Telcser: "Anyone really got to be recognized?"

Unknown: "Yeah, Ray Ewing."

Speaker Telcser: "Really got to hit it, Ray? Representative McAuliffe, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

McAuliffe: "Ah . . . I rise to move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty, to close. I'll get you an explanation. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Mann: "A point of order, I'd like to ask a few questions . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the previous question has been moved. If the Gentleman doesn't wish to . . . ah . . . withdraw his motion . . . ah . . . to move the previous question, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "I would . . . ah . . . defer the motion . . . ah . . . to Representative Mann to hear what he had to say about it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "I wanted to ask the Sponsor, you mentioned Washington Park, that's in my district, how about Jackson Park, will that be dredged and enlarged?"

Beatty: "Yes, 38.6 acres in Jackson Park."

Mann: "Will be what?"

Beatty: "Will be dredged and deepened . . . widened and they have other plans also for . . . ah . . . trying to make beaches out of part of these lagoon areas so that there can be some swimming done in certain portions of it."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1022 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote. I'll get you next, Ray."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'm supporting this Bill, I'm also waiting for the corp men from the Chicago Park District to come into Washington Park and Jackson Park and dredge and enlarge the lagoons. We'll be waiting and watching."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewe . . .



ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell. All right, now, we're a little afraid to give it a Roll, Gentlemen, because it'll blow this machine again."

Ewell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to speak to my many friends in this Legislative Body over the past four terms I've had the privilege of sitting here and voting on everything from rivers, to streams, to creeks, to sluices, to backwater and every other line in the ground with improvements and in some fashion spending some state money. Now, I'm saying that we've got lagoons that are in a lot of trouble, they're dirty, they're filthy, they've been filled with slits . . ."

Unknown: "All right, we've . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook . . . now wait a second. I cut off Ray. Pay, did you want to say some more?"

Ewell: "No, I wanted to thank my compassionate friends from the rest of the state."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, some more Members want to talk, maybe they won't be so compassionate. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just briefly to suggest to the Membership, I don't see anything in this Bill which would provide that the \$4,000,000 in state funds would be expended in payment to people who would be subject to the Personnel Code. Ah . . . It would seem to me that the people who are going to get this money are going to be subject to the personnel practices in the City of Chicago, and I really can't see why the heck we should support it, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 100 'ayes', 24 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1024."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1024, an Act making appropriation to the Handgun Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski."



Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd ask leave of the House to consider Senate Bill 1024 and 1025 together, they're companion Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion?"

Clerk Selcke: "Does he have leave?"

Kozubowski: "Art, okay?"

Speaker Telcser: "Leave for what? What do they want? Leave . . . do you want . . . is there leave to hear Senate Bill 1025?"

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a minute, let the Clerk read it please."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1025, an Act creating the Handgun Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I object to these being heard together."

Speaker Telcser: "Take them separately. 1024."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlmen of the House, Senate Bill 1024 appropriates the sum of \$15,000 for the Handgun Study Commission. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1024 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "I don't know . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? No, no, 25 is separate. Take the Record. On this question there are 130 'ayes', 20 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1048."

Clerk Selcke: "What's the next one? You want 1025, Arthur?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, 1025 was objected to. 1048."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1048, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Er . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1048 appropriates \$115,000 to the Department of Transportation for the continued and channel improvement in Soldier's Creek in Kankakee County. Ah . . . This will complete the project



Kornbluth: ... of the House, I'd ask
... and 1025 together.

Speaker: ...

Clerk: ...

Kornbluth: ...

Speaker: ... Leave . . . do

Kornbluth: ... 1025?"

Clerk: ... if . . ."

Speaker: ... it please."

Clerk: ... the Handgun

... Duff."

... together."

... of the House, Senate

... Handgun Study

..."

... shall Senate

... "no."

... is separate,

... 'eyes', 20 'nays';

... is, hereby,

... Arthur?"

... appropriation to

... Bill."

... Representative Ryan.

... and Gentlemen of the House,

... the Department of

... improvement in Soldiers' ...
... the project



that's been under way for many years. I would appreciate a green light."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question. Now, we've just handled House . . . Senate Bill 1024 and I myself put an objection into that Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "No, you . . ."

Unknown: "He's out of order here, Mr. . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . in writing . . . in writing and I did and it was just handled on an Agreed Bill List as an appropriation before the substantive matter was had . . ."

Unknown: "A point of order, Mr. . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . well, well . . ."

Duff: ". . . Now, Mr. Speaker, are we or are we not following the Agreed Bill List . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . No, we're not . . . no . . ."

Duff: ". . . objections that were on . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . no, no, Brian, no, there is no Agreed Bill List. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1048 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Telcser 'aye'. Giddy . . . Giddy, would you get me on, please, I'm on, okay."

Clerk Selcke: "Take the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 98 'ayes', 5 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1096, is that yours, Kenney? We've got one of yours. You're my downstate lawyer, Kenney, I've got to call one of your Bills."

Boyle: "Art', I certainly appreciate it. I've been waitin' all day for this. Senate Bill . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1096, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Good thing, I wasn't waiting for it. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: ". . . this . . . thanks, John . . . Senate Bill 1096 appropriates \$15,000 for a study Commission to study Beaver Dam in Carlinville in



Macoupin County, and I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1096 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Giddy, vote me on this please or Tom Miller or somebody? Tom got me. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take it out of the Record, Kenney? Okay, on this question there are 122 'ayes', 6 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed; and I'm in good shape with my downstate lawyer now, Kenney Boyle. All right, now, now, wait a second now. I'd like to make an announcement if I may? No, they don't want me to, I do. Now, I've just been informed that the august Body across the rotunda has adjourned until the . . . until the hour of noon tomorrow. Now, . . . ah . . . the Leadership has been meeting and there are three or four very important matters that we have to deal with yet this evening. Ah . . . The Leadership felt that we would . . . ah . . . unless the Membership . . . ah . . . you know, finally disagrees that . . . to take up those three or four matters and then adjourn until the hour of I think 12:30, Bill? Well, is it a recess for us or an adjournment? An adjournment, the Clerk will recess it, we will adjourn until 12:30 tomorrow. Now, if there's a lot of, you know, disagreement over that, we'll put it to a vote. All right, is there leave? Okay, Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise?"

Maragos: "Does this give . . . can you us an estimate of how many Bills are . . . are . . . Senate Bills on Third Reading that have not been . . . ah . . . disposed of at this time, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Sam, I can only make the same guess you can. How many? How many? I don't know, Chockey makes a guess of about 125 or -30."

Maragos: ". . . and most of them will be agreeable? All right, I have no objections."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I want to be sure that everybody . . . Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you arise?"

Leinenweber: "A point of parliamentary inquiry. Any Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait . . . now, let's get this Gentleman's



question, I think it's going to be an important one."

Leinenweber: "Any Bill . . . ah . . . that's on Third Reading to . . . tonight means that more advisedly they will be heard tomorrow . . . how many votes will that take?"

Speaker Telcser: "I . . . that's the key question. Now, I am . . . I'm under the impression that we come back tomorrow, it'll take 107 votes."

Leinenweber: "Is there any purpose then in . . . ah . . . hearing the Bills tomorrow rather than putting them off 'til the Fall?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, Harry, what it . . . ah . . ."

Leinenweber: "My question was, if it takes 107 votes, it must . . . you know, agreeable Bills and unfortunately a couple of mine I don't think are. Ah . . . There's no purpose in hearing those Bills now if they can be heard in the Fall; and I would assume there are a lot of other Bills on there that . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, your point is well taken, Harry, and I have a Bill on that Calendar too that Representative Kempiners is handling that I'd love to get passed, I don't know if I could or not, but it seems to me that most of the Members want to adjourn. That what the consensus is on on the floor. All right, let's . . . let's get these three matters and then . . . ah . . . Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hoffman: "Seeing that the hour is only 10 minutes to 12, . . . ah . . . I might suggest that we give consideration to recessing rather . . . recessing for . . . ah . . . 8 hours and taking up the business from there."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh wishes to comment on that."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I'm afraid that . . . that would not be possible because the Senate has adjourned. If they adjourn, we're going to have to adjourn."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let . . . let's get to these few important matters and then we can get back to this adjournment. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 452 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt is recognized."



Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 452 simply provides that where in the original Bill requires that where each Committeemen would be entitled to cast one vote unless numerical ties exists in the number of Committeemen in which case each member would cast a weighted vote. Ah . . . Amendment #2 provides that in every case it shall be by weighted vote, that's Amendment #1. Amendment #2 provides and spells out that the vacancy must be filled within 30 days. Those are the two Amendments, and I move to concur with the Senate to those two Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 452. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Reccord. On this question there are 128 'eyes', 1 'nay'; and the House . . . ah . . . concurs with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 452. Now, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill . . . who's going to handle Senate Bill 1194? Senate Bill 1194."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1194, appropriation for expenses of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington. Is this your Bill, Harold? Well, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . this is what we've all been waiting for, the annual appropriation for the General Assembly. It appropriates \$6,760,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly for fiscal year 1974. This is an increase of \$1,470,000 and it's in various categories, and I solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1194 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 138 'ayes', 3 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority . . . Juckett, Hudson, Schoeberlein 'aye' . . . and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. McCourt 'aye'."



Polk . . . McCourt, Polk, Skinner, McAuliffe 'aye'. Senate Bill 1195."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1195, an appropriation . . . make an appropriation to furnish the Legislative Staff and so forth to the Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, excuse me, Senate Bill 1195 appropriates \$2,360,000 for the furnishings of Legislative Staff, secretarial, clerical, research, technical, telephones, other utilities services, office equipment, office rental cost to Members of the General Assembly. This is approximately the . . . ah . . . same as it was, I understand, in the last fiscal year and I solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1195 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 145 'ayes', 3 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 461."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 461, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think we've ironed the difficulties in this Bill and if I have leave to take it back to Second Reading for purposes an Amendment, I think we can resolve any . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections, and . . . Senate Bill 461 is going back to the order of Second Reading. The Clerk will please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Washington, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to table . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment . . . House Amendment #2 to Senate



Bill 461."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 461. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed . . . and the Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Washington, amends Senate Bill 461 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "This is a simple cost-of-living increase which we're including in all departments, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 461. All . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, this is the Governor's contingent expense for the cost-of-living increase and he didn't want to give the state employees the cost-of-living increase this year . . . I don't understand the logic in that, Mr. Speaker. The Governor didn't want us to give a cost-of-living to the state employees this year, but now he wants a cost-of-living increase in his own contingent fund? Is that the . . . is that the fact, Representative Washington?"

Washington: "Was that a question, Representative Duff?"

Duff: "Ah . . . Take it how your want, Representative Washington."

Washington: "You're mighty belligerant tonight my friend. I'm trying to defang the question and simply say I think the Appropriation's Committee has dealt with agency after an agency and they put on cost-of-living increases."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill. Mr. Speaker . . . the Speaker of this House put a Bill in this year to provide for a cost-of-living increase for all state employees. Now, they . . . they have the gall to come in for us and ask for a cost-of-living increase for the Governor."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 461. All in favor 'aye', Senate Bill . . . Amendment #3 . . . all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed . . . the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Are there further Amendments? Third Reading, and the Bill has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I know this has been thoroughly discussed. I move adoption . . . passage of Senate Bill . . . number of my Bill is . . . 461."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 461 pass? All in favor . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "Now, I . . . I understand that you cut out the part which . . . ah . . . legalizes the Governor's ethics section . . ."

Washington: "Yeah, we . . . ah . . . we tabled that . . . that appropriation, yes."

Juckett: ". . . and you are knocking out the appropriation for this?"

Washington: "I tabled Amendment #2, which was the appropriation."

Juckett: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 461 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', 7 'nays'; the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Concurrences is House Bill 1268. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah . . . The . . . the Senate . . . the Senate amended this Bill to . . . ah . . . make it simply a cost-of-living increase for all Workman's Compensation claimants. It's a 14 percent increase, and I would move concurrence in Senate Amendment 3."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House . . . the House concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1268. All in favor 'aye', the opposed . . . all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 120 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1268. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 220. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. 3."

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move for concurrence of Amendment #1 to House Bill 220."



Ah . . . This Amendment was offered by Senator Netsch in the . . .
 ah . . . the Senate. It . . . ah . . . it merely makes it clear
 that in the case of a foreign language voter that the use of an
 interpreter . . . ah . . . may be employed for instruction of the
 voter on the model and that the interpreter shall execute the
 interpreter's affidavit as to language difficulty."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Why don't we save this one for tomorrow, Phil', it is a little
 controversial."

Collins: "I . . . I wasn't aware that it was controversial. I understand
 that it was . . . that it was part of an agreement . . . Representa-
 tive Shea and I . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "I understand we were going to hear just a few more items and
 1268 was the last one. No, 1268, Katz's . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Where are we now? Well, whatever the Gentleman wishes
 to do."

Collins: "Well, . . . again."

Speaker Telcser: "Whatever you want, Phil'."

Collins: "I move for concurrence. Oh, I move for concurrence of Amend-
 #1 to House Bill 220."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate
 Amendment #1 to House Bill 220. All in favor of the Gentleman's
 motion signify by . . . Repr . . . all those in favor of the
 Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting
 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the
 Chairman of the Spanish Speaking People's Study Commission . . .
 I am . . . ah . . . really at a diseased heart to know why Gerry
 Shea is against this Bill because this Bill would really help
 those Spanish speaking people in my district understand a democratic
 ballot because they certainly don't vote for me and if they don't
 vote for me, I don't know who they're voting for 'cause no one
 was running against me in the last election. So . . . ah . . . I think
 this is a good Bill, and we ought to really support it and I think
 Gerry Shea should support it."



Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take . . . take the Record. On this question there are 88 'ayes', there's going to be a verification, I'm sure, Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise? All right, we will go to a verification on it . . ."

Collins: "I . . . I was just going to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I really am a little mystified by the . . . ah . . . resistance to this Amendment which is not mine . . . was not suggested by anyone on our side of the aisle in either House. This was an accommodation to the . . . to the Lady, Senator Netsch, who thought that this would be good clarifying language to permit . . . ah . . . Spanish-speaking people . . . ah . . . I should say, foreign people to employ an interpreter if they felt it was necessary . . . ah . . . I . . . I have no great 'brief' with this Amendment. I certainly would vote for concurrence, but . . . ah . . . if the House would . . . ah . . . to nonconcur . . . ah . . . it really . . . they wouldn't make the Bill any weaker, but I . . . I would hope that we could resolve this matter now and concur in . . . ah . . . in Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Borchers, how is he recorded? I'll get you, Ted."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "I want a verification, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a verification. He is entitled to it. Will the Members please be in their seats. All right, read the affirmative Roll."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"
Shea: "I assume there had been an agreement between the Leadership on four or five pieces of legislation at which time we were then going to adjourn. The Senate has adjourned. Now, we asked him very nicely to take it out of the Record. He wants to do it. We want to take the time of this House. There aren't 89 votes, Sir. He knows it and I know it, but we'll proceed."



Speaker Telcser: "What? I know that, he's kno . . . we all know that.

What do you want . . . Bill, whatever you say."

Clerk Selcke: "Out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we'll take it out of the Record. All right, the Gentleman . . . now, who . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait, wait, Bill . . . now, wait a second now, the Clerk . . . what Jake? . . . what did Jake say? . . . all right, the Clerk needs about five minutes after we adjourn to do some work or to read . . ."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, is . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . so we're going to recess for fi . . . what do want? Do you want to read some messages first? What messages? All right, the messages, do you want to read messages for a couple of minutes, is that it? Ah . . ."

Walsh: ". . . well, it . . . Mr. Speaker, we can . . . ah . . . there's no need to do anything perfunctory. I think we can . . . ah . . . Fred, do you want to do it tomorrow at . . . ah . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "No, no, I'd like to do it now."

Walsh: ". . . all right, fine, get it on the Calendar. All right, I move, Mr. Speaker, that the House do now recess for . . . ah . . . 15 minutes while the Clerk . . . ah . . . does some perfunctory business and at that time the House will adjourn until July 1st at 12:30 p.m., regular Session."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House to stand in recess for a period of 15 minutes and then adjourn until the hour of 12:30 p.m. on Sunday, July 1st. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House stands in recess for 15 minutes, adjournment subsequent to that recess."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 873, and I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests



a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members of each House to consider the differences; action taken by the Senate June 30th. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has receded from their Amendment to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 208; action taken by the Senate June 30th, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in House Amendments #1, 2 and 3 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 443; . . . ah . . . action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 1268, together with the following Amendment in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House; it passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, Conference Committee Report, . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1640. We the undersigned Committee of Conference Report . . . to consider the difference of the two Houses, recommend the following and so forth. Is this . . . are these on the . . . Conference Committee . . . well, yeah, are they on messages . . . did they adopted it . . . so many people pawing around here, I know it . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #5 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 911; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the Amendments #1, 3, 4 and 5 . . . ah . . . of a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1175 . . . what we got . . . because you know, you don't know what you're doing here . . . action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur in the House in the adoption of



Amendment #2 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 908; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 1360, together with the following Amendments in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House; it passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1963 . . . --73, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. 1963, I said. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur in the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 291; action taken by the Senate . . . ah . . . June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 689; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. No, I didn't call you. No. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1 and 3 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 827; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #2 and 3 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 830; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur in the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 287; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title,



Senate Bill 284; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1 and 2 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 449; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1, 2 and 4 of the House of Representatives of the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 478; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #3 and 4 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 494 . . . am I repeating here? It sounds like I am. Kuh"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, it sounds lik eit . . . ah . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1 and 3 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 503; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House of Representatives in Amendments #3 and 4 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 591; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1, 3, 4 and 5 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 697; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 698; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 701; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1097; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur in the House in the adoption of Amendment #2 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1178; action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Ah . . . Representative Geo-Karis moves that the House stand adjourned until 12:30 p.m., July 1, 1973. Now, Jack what about these . . . are you gonna' make a new . . ."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Blair	House to order
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		<u>Telcser in Chair</u>	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolution
2		Clerk Selcke	HR-479
		Dr. Johnson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Leave to include all Members
		Speaker Telcser	Messages from Senate
3		Clerk Selcke	Message from the Senate
		Speaker Telcser	Committee Reports
		Clerk Selcke	Committee Reports
		Speaker Telcser	HR-289
4		Hirschfeld	
		Speaker Telcser	Resolution adopted
		Lundy	HR-321
5		Speaker Telcser	Resolution adopted
		Houlihan)	HR-393
		Speaker Telcser)	
		<u>Shea in Chair</u>	
6		Pierce	
		Speaker Shea	
		Houlihan	Resolution 321 con't.
		Speaker Shea	
		Schlickman	Yield
		Houlihan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
7		Speaker Shea	HR-383....Passed
		Walsh	
		Speaker Shea	
		<u>Telcser in Chair</u>	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Capparelli	HR-398
		Speaker Telcser	
8		Leinenweber	Oppose
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
		Hyde	HB-18...Move to concur SA#1
9		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann)	Question
)	
		Hyde)	
		Speaker Telcser	
10		Wolfe, B. B.)	Question
)	
11		Hyde)	
12		Speaker Telcser	House votes
		Mann	Explains vote...'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Merlo	HB-17...SA #1
13		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Hart	HB-133..SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
14		Speaker Telcser	(Special Request)
		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Shea)	
)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
15		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	HB-171.....SA #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	
		Speaker Telcser	House.....
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Question
)	
		Kempiners)	
16		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Rayson	HB-244...SA #1
17		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		McMaster	HB-351...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		McClain)	Yield
)	
18		McMaster)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fennessey	Support
		Speaker Telcser	House....
19		Shea)	Question
)	
		McMaster)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn, Ralph)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		McMaster)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Shea	HB-447...SA #1 and 2
		Speaker Telcser	
20		Hanahan)	Question
		Shea)	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Hanahan	HB-449...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
21		Juckett	HB-458...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur
		Juckett	HB-495...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Oppose
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	'Can I finish?'
		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman)	Yield
		Juckett)	
22		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Address the Amendment..'no'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
23		Speaker Telcser	House.....
		Shea	Explain 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Telcser	House refuses to concur
		Hill	HB-534...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Flinn	HB-603...SA #1, 2 & 3.
		Speaker Telcser	House refuses to concur
24		Stedelin	HB-605...SA #2 & 3
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Schneider	HB-609...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Pierce	HB-610...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Pierce	HB-610...SA #2
25		Speaker Telcser	House.....
		Pierce	Moves to nonconcur.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn)	Question
		Pierce)	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur
		Calvo)	HB-614...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser)	
26		Speaker Telcser)	House nonconcur
		Londrigan	HB-615...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
27		Wolf, J. J.	Moves we not concur with #2.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Londrigan	To close
		Speaker Telcser	House.....
		Wolf, J. J.	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
28		Londrigan	Speaks on Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	HB-620, SA #1 & 2
		Stone	House does not concur
		Speaker Telcser	HB-647...SA #1
		Lauer)	Question
)	
29		Stone)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Dyer)	Urges 'yes'.
30		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Berman	HB-726...Withdraw motion
		Hoffman, G.	HB-661, SA #1, 2 and 3.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Ask question be divided
31		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman	Okay
		Speaker Telcser	
		McClain	SA #1, urge nonconcur
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	
		Speaker Telcser	
32		Brinkmeier	Should not concur.....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
33		Clabaugh	
		Speaker Telcser	
34		Berman	Urge 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	
35		Speaker Telcser	House concurs #1
		Hoffman, G.	SA #2
		Speaker Telcser	House Concurs
		Hoffman, G.	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
36		Berman)	Yield
)	
		Hoffman, G.)	
		Berman	Address myself to Am. #3
		Speaker Telcser	
37		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	Favors
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jaffe	
38		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman)	
)	
		Jaffe)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, G	To close
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs #3
		Day	678 HB-668 (Tape was spliced and there is no record of his remarks)
39		Walsh, R.	HB-707...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Williams)	HB-716...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser)	
40		Schneider)	Question
		Williams)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	TOOR
		Stedelin	HB-732...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	SA #1...HB-736
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	"What it does...."
41		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		McMaster	HB-739 ...SA #2
		Speaker Telcser	
42		Juckett)	Question
		McMaster)	
		Speaker Telcser	
43		Jaffe)	Question
		McMaster)	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
44		Yourell)	Question
)	
45		McMaster)	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
46		Kempiners)	HB-757...SA #1, 2, 3 & 4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Alsop	HB-759...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
47		Stone	HB-856...SA #2
		Speaker Telcser	House nonconcur
		Simms, W. T.	HB-889...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Beaupre	HB-918...SA #1, 2 & 3.
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Barry)	
48		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Matijevich	HB-963
		Speaker Telcser	
		McPartlin	TOOR
		Matijevich	"Sure will"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	HB-1052...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
49		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	House.....
50		Choate	Urge favorable vote...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Neff	
51		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	"Are you sure....."
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	"I think bad Bill..."
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
52		Skinner	
		Palmer	HB-1095...Move to table..
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Jaffe	HB-1099...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Jaffe	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Fennessey	HB-1121...SA #1
53		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Sevcik	HB-1133...SA #1, 2 & 3.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Sevcik)	Question
)	
		Maragos)	Question
)	
54		Sevcik)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur
		Washington	HB-1152...SA #1
55		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		McGah	HB-1165
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer)	Question
)	
		McGah)	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
56		Schlickman	HB-1172...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Fleck	HB-1223...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		McPartlin	HB-1282...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
57		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Brandt	HB-1321...SA #1 Explains Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Neff	HB-1324...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
58		Maragos)	Question
)	
		Neff)	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Tuerk	HB-1330...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Bluthardt	HB 1359.....SA #1 & 2
59		Speaker Telcser	
60		Yourell)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Yourell)	
		Schlickman)	Question
		Bluthardt)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
61		Bluthardt	Moves to concur in Am. 2 & 3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff)	Yield
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Bluthardt)	
		Speaker Telcser	
62		Yourell)	
		Speaker Telcser)	I did rule....
63		Duff)	Question
		Bluthardt)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Have already closed
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Did not realize....
		Speaker Telcser	House.....
64		Schlickman	Explains 'aye' vote...
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Walsh, Wm.	Wants some questions answered.
		Speaker Telcser	
65		Bluthardt	Request untimely...
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Stiehl, C.M.	HB-1428...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Skinner	HB-1434...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
66		Skinner	HB-1435...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Giorgi	HB-1436...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer)	Will he explain Amendment?
		Giorgi)	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Stone	HB-1451...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
67		Hoffman, G.	HB-1459...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Skinner	HB-1470...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House Concurs
		Porter	HB-1508...SA #1 & 2
68		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Schoeberlein	HB-1532...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Schoeberlein	SA #2
		Speaker Telcser	House non concurs



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Garmisa	HB-1533...SA #2 & 3
69		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Lundy	HB-1556...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Hanahan	HB-1568...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
70		Wolf, J. J.	HB-1573...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman)	Yield
		Wolf, J. J.)	
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
		Hill	Point of Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	HB-1580
71		Beatty	HB1580...SA #1
		<u>MILLER IN CHAIR</u>	
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
		Douglas	HB-1617....SA #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Dyer	HB-1628.....SA #1
72		Speaker Miller	House nonconcurr
		Schoeberlein	HB-1640...SA #2
		Speaker Miller	
		Hart)	Question
		Schoeberlein)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Stone	
		Unknown photographerfrom Tribune



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
73		Speaker Miller	Questions photographer
		Stone	Moves red light be turned off.
		Speaker Miller,	"will not take action..."
		Pierce	
		Speaker Miller	
		Schoeberlein	Asks for concurrence
		Speaker Miller	House.....
73a		Berman	Questions what is ruling
		Miller	
		Schoeberlein)	
)	
		Speaker Miller)	
		Schoeberlein	Move House not concur #1 & 2
		Speaker Miller	
		Tipsword	HB-1651....SA #1
		Speaker Miller	
74		Skinner)	Yield?
)	
		Tipsword)	
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
		Jones, J. D.	HB-1710...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
75		Jones, J.D.	HB-1733...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
		Porter	HB-1762...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
76		Merlo	Asks for concurrence Am. #1
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Maragos))	Question
		Merlo)	
		Speaker Miller	
77		Wolf, J. J.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Thompson	
		Speaker Miller	
78		Collins	
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea	Question
		Speaker Miller	
		Merlo	
79		Speaker Miller	HB-1872...SA #1 House nonconcur
		Maragos	HB-1877...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
		Shea	HB-1910...SA #2
		Speaker Miller	
80		Kempiners))	Yield
		Shea)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Skinner)	Discussion
)	
81		Shea)	
		Kempiners	
		Speaker Miller	
		Douglas	
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
82		Peters	
		Speaker Miller	HB-1910
		Dyer	
		Speaker Miller)	
)	
		Shea)	
83		Speaker Miller	House concurs...SA #2
		Wolf, J. J.	HB-1573...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	House does not concur
		Philip	HB-1598...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea)	Explain vote
)	
84		Philip)	Discussion
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
		Barry	HB-164...SA #1
		Speaker Miller	House nonconcurr
		Williams)	HB-716...SA #1
)	
		Speaker Miller)	House nonconcurr
			HB-757.....No...let's
			return to 716...
		Williams	SA #2
85		Speaker Miller	House concurs..Now HB-757
		Kempiners	HB-757
		<u>TELCSER in Chair</u>	
		Speaker Telcser	House nonconcurr #1 & 4
		Kempiners	SA #1 & 3
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Sevcik	House Bill #25
86		Matijevich	HB-963...SA #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs..Am. #1, HB-963
		Hanahan	HB-1064 ...SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Sevcik	HB-25...CCR..Move to adopt
		Speaker Telcser	
87		Mugalian	"Like to see Bill killed."
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sevcik	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	Speaks to CC Report
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann)	Question
)	
		Sevcik)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
88		Schneider	Point out additional problem
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR #1
		Capparelli	HB-143...CCR #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
89		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR
		Fleck	HB-318...CCR
		Speaker Telcser	
		Friedland)	Yield?
)	
		Fleck)	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR
90		Douglas	HB-794CCR
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Name removed as objector SB-866.....
95		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
96		Douglas	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Point of Parliamentary Inq.
		Speaker Telcser	
97		Katz	"Very serious matter"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	"In accord with move.."
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	"Include other Bills"
		Speaker Telcser	
98		Mann	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	SB-827....Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	SB-827..be brought back to Second...
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted..Third R
99		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Senate Bill
100			list (Special Request)
101		Speaker Telcser	
102		Clerk Selcke	SB-866...Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
103		McPartlin) Objection filed for 395
		Clerk Selcke)
		Speaker Telcser) "Delete 395"
		Shea) "866, 1173, 1174..Objections."
104		Speaker Telcser) 1173 not on list...
		Clerk Selcke) That's ridiculous
		Speaker Telcser)
		Duff) Did someone object to 1174
		Clabaugh) "Clarification please..."
		Speaker Telcser)
		Duff)
105		Speaker Telcser)
		Fleck) "Agreed list?"
106		Walsh, Wm.)
		Speaker Telcser) Bills passed
107		Polk)
		Speaker Telcser)
		Borchers)
		<u>Bluthardt in Chair</u>)



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	SB-295, Third Reading
		Giorgi	
108		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-295.....passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-297
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-370....., Third Reading
		Maragos	Moves for adoption
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-370passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-443..., Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
109		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Petrovich	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-443.....passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-379 ...Third R
		Beaupre)	
)	
		Speaker Bluthardt)	TOOR
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Bluthardt)	SB-449 ...Third R.
)	
110		Clabaugh)	TOOR
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Miller)	SB-460....Third R.
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	TOOR
)	
		Shea)	Leave to return to Second?
111		Clabaugh)	
)	
		Shea)	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Shea	Explains Amendment
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Shea	SB-459
		Clerk Selcke)	Third Reading
		Shea	Leave to return to Second?
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave.....
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
112		Shea	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-478 ...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lauer	Leave to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-479...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
113		Ryan	Leave to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave
		Ryan	Move to reconsider vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	Motion carries
		Ryan	Moves for adopt of Am. #1
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Maragos)	Question
)	
		Ryan)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Am. adopted...Third Reading
		Ryan	SB-479, move for passage
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-479....passed.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
114		Clerk Selcke	SB-332...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Sevcik	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-332...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-218...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Terzich	
115		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Terzich	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Gibbs)	Yield
)	
116		Terzich)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Terzich	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-218...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-449...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clabaugh	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
117		Clabaugh	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clabaugh	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clabaugh	
118		Speaker Bluthardt	Leavt to return to Second
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
119		Lauer	Speaks on Amendment
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Cunningham)	Question
)	
120		Juckett)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Stone	Oppose Amendment
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Pappas	Move previous question
121		Speaker Bluthardt	Question moved
		Juckett	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Borchers	
122		Speaker Bluthardt	Am. lost...Bill passed.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-478...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lauer	Leave to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave
		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Shea	Object
		Juckett	Answers Shea
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment #5 lost
123		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #6
		Borchers	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
124		Douglas	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Mahar	Questions Amendment
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Dyer	Oppose
		Speaker Bluthardt	
125		Fleck)	Yield
)	
		Borchers)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment #6 fails
126		Stone	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment fails, Third R.
		Lauer	Asks for favorable vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-478...Passed
127		Clerk Selcke	SB-1198...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
128		Collins	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Ewell	Would you take out of record?
		Collins	Will hold it.....
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
129		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-494, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Palmer)	Yield
)	
		Lauer)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-494...passed.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-503..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-591, Third Reading
	130		Speaker Bluthardt
		Peters	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Palmer)	Question
)	
		Peters)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-591...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-808...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clabaugh	
131		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-808...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-503...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-503...Passed
	Clerk O'Brien	SB-436, Third Reading	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Shea	Leave to hear 436, 437, 438, 439.
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave granted
132		Clerk O'Brien	SB-437, 438, 439.....
		Shea	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Juckett)	Raises objection
		Shea)	Answers
		Speaker Bluthardt	
133		Palmer	Yield
		Shea	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walsh)	Yield
		Shea)	
		Polk)	
134		Shea	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Polk)	Question
		Campbell)	
		Polk	Question
135		Shea	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walsh	Would he TOOR?
		Shea	"Happy to..."
		Speaker Bluthardt	Take out.....
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-659...Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-659...Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-899...Third Reading
136		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Davis	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Palmer)	Yield
)	
		Davis)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
137		Borchers	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Cox)	Yield
)	
138		Davis)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Leinenweber)	Question
)	
		Davis)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Davis	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-899.....passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-326...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
139		Kosinski	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walsh)	Question
)	
		Kosinski)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
140		Fleck)) Koskinski)	Yield
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Miller	Talks against Bill
		Speaker Bluthardt	
141		Choate	
		Barry	Moves previous question
		Speaker Bluthardt	'ayes' have it.....
		Košinski	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-326
		Catania	Explains vote
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-326...lost
142		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1158..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Jones, Emil	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1158....Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-213.....Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Choate	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-213.....passed Senate Bill 1198 (Special Request) Board of Elections
143		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Collins	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Ewell	
		Speaker Bluthardt	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
144		Juckett)) Collins)	Yield
		Speaker Bluthardt	
145		Clerk O'Brien	SB-616...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Ewell	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-616...Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-436
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Shea	SB-436, 437, 438, 439...
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Griesheimer))	Yield
146		Shea)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Campbell	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-436, 437, 438, 439..
		Redmond	Passed...now...HB-1160 Record me as 'aye' on 436 thru 437.....
		Speaker Bluthardt	
147		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1160...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Martin	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1160....Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1167...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1175....Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Schneider	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1175.....Passed
148		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1191.....Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Matijevecich	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1190Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-291....Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Washington	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-291.....Passed
		Clérk O'Brien	SB-698...Third Reading
149		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-698.....Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1178....Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Shea)	Question
)	
		Lechowicz)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1178...(cont.)
150		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1178.....Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-225...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walsh, Wm	Leave to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment adopted..Third R.
151		Walsh, Wm.	On SB-225...(con't.)
		Speaker Bluthardt	
152		Shea)	Question
153)	
154		Walsh)	
		Hyde	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Dunn, R.	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
155		Miller	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Pappas	Moves previous question
		Speaker Bluthardt	Question moved
156		Walsh	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-225.....(con't.)
		Skinner	Explains vote
		Speaker Bluthardt	
157		Beaupre	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Randolph	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Kempiners	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		LaFleur	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
158		Mugalian	Explains vote.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Hoffman, G.	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
159		Clabaugh	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
160		Ewell	Explains vote.
		Bluthardt	
		Borchers	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
161		Walsh, R.	Explains vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walsh, W.	
162		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-225....Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-284...Third Reading
		Barry	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-318...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-318...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1173...Third Reading
			SB-1174...Third Reading
163		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Lemke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Walters)	Yield
)	
		Lemke)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Palmer)	Question
)	
		Lemke)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-290...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
164		Schraeder	Like to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave.....Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment adopted..Third Reading
		Schraeder	Move adoption of Bill
		Speaker Bluthardt:	SB-290 passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-355..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
165		Berman	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-355...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-369..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-378..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-697...Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Farley...	Leave to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave granted...Second R.
		Farley)	Amendment #1
)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	
166		Speaker Bluthardt)		
		Clerk Selcke)	Amendment #1	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Motion ...reconsider	
		Farley	Move for adoption #1	
		Speaker Bluthardt		
		Farley		
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment adopted..Third R.	
		Farley	SB-697..Move for passage	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-697..Passed	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-963..Third Reading	
167		Speaker Bluthardt		
		Berman		
		Speaker Bluthardt		
		Mugalian)	Question	
)		
		Berman)	Explain vote	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-963.....Passed	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1142..Third Reading	
		Speaker Bluthardt		
	168		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-1142....Passed	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-905...Third Reading	
		Speaker Bluthardt		
		Hoffman, G.	Leave to have package... SB-905, 906, 907, 908, 909	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave granted	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-906, 907, 908, 909.	
169			Speaker Bluthardt	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Hoffman, G	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Brinkmeier)	Question
)	
		Hoffman, G.)	
170		Speaker Bluthardt	Bills passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-299..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Davis	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-299...passed
		Clerk Selcke	SP-154..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		McCormick	
171		Speaker Bluthardt	Asking leave to return to Second?
		McCormick	Yes
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
172		Cunningham	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
173		Maragos)	Question
)	
		Cunningham)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Hart)	Question
)	
		Cunningham)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
174		Cunningham	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Fleck	Vote 'no'.
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment lost..Third Reading
		McCormick	Asks 'aye' vote on SB-154
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-154..(con't.)
		Beaupre) Question to Speaker
		Speaker Bluthardt)
		McCormick)
		Speaker Bluthardt)
175		Dunne, R. L.	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Matijevich) Question
		Speaker Bluthardt)
		McCormick) Answers
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-154
		McGrew	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-154...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-5..Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
176		Lemke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clerk Selcke	"Mistake, etc."
		Speaker Bluthardt	Bill is on Second ...leave..
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt	Amendment adopted..Third R.
		Lemke	Speaks on Bill
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-5...Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-692
		Speaker Bluthardt)	
177		Clerk Selcke)	
		Ebbesen	Encourage 'yes' vote
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-692
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-581
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-283....Third Reading
178		Kelly	Take to Second for Amendment
		Clerk Selcke	
		Kelly	Amendment 3
		Wahburn	
		Kelly	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
179		Kelly	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Third Reading
		Kelly	SB-283
		Clerk Selcke	Take record
		Speaker Bluthardt	"passed"
		Clerk Selcke	SB-258



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
180		Philip) Speaker Bluthardt)	Vote passed
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Collins	
		Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	
181		Collins) Shea)	SB-288
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Vote
		Pierce	"Contribute to ..campaign.."
		Speaker Bluthardt	
182		Fleck	"How Speaker voting?"
		Speaker Bluthardt	'aye'...passed. SB-297
		Clerk Selcke	SB-297
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Juckett	Moves passage
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-297...passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1097
		Peters	Take to Second for Amendment
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
183		Fleck	Moves adoption of Am. #1
		Speaker Bluthardt	...passed...Third Reading
		Peters	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Barnes	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Vöte.....Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-369
184		Speaker Bluthardt	
185			
186		Calvo)	Ill. Arts Council fund in-
187)	crease.
		Hudson)	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
188		Collins	Opposes
189		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Borchers	Vote this thing down....
		Speaker Bluthardt	
190		Grotbert	Supports
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		McGrew	Supports
		Speaker Bluthardt	
191		Hoffman	Solicits 'no' vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Deuster	Moves previous question
		Speaker Bluthardt	
192		Calvo	to close
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Berman	Supports
		Speaker Bluthardt	
193		Pierce	Explains vote...
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Bluthardt	SB-369....passed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	SB-480....Third Reading
194		Pappas	AMTRAK
		Speaker Bluthardt	Vote....Passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB-715....Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Pappas	Urge your support
		Speaker Bluthardt	
195		Fennessey	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Skinner)	Discussion
)	
		Pappas)	
		McMaster	
196		Skinner	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Fennessey	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
197		Schlickman	Asks 'no' vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Pappas	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	
198		Maragos	I rise in support
		Speaker Bluthardt	Vote
		Pappas	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
199		Speaker Bluthardt)	
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Matijevich	Man in hall. Send him on..
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Fennessey	Asks Verification of Roll
		Speaker Bluthardt)	
200		Clerk Selcke)	
		Fennessey	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Bluthardt)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Barry	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Palmer	
201		Speaker Bluthardt)	
202		Clerk Selcke)	Verification
203)	
204		Fennessey)	
205			
206		Speaker Bluthardt	Passed
207		Clerk Selcke	SB-696Third Reading
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Leinenweber	Asks leave to return to Second
		Speaker Bluthardt	Leave.....Second Reading
208		Leinenweber	Amendment #2..Clarifying Am.
209		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Ewell	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Bluthardt)	
		Ewell)	
210		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Sangmeister	Urges 'aye' vote.
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Calvo	Yield
		Leinenweber)	Yes.
211		Calvo)	
		Friedland	Moves previous question
		Speaker Bluthardt	
212		Leinenweber	To close
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Bradley	
		Speaker Bluthardt	
		Polk	
		Speaker Bluthardt	Vote... 'passed'
		Clerk Selcke	
213		Speaker Bluthardt	Messages from the Senate
214		Clerk Selcke	Messages
215			
216			
217		SHEA IN CHAIR	
		Speaker Shea	Order of Concurrences on Supplemental Calendar HB-208
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	
		Borchers	Moves we concur with Am. #1
218		Speaker Shea	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schneider	Amendment weakens Bill
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Shea	Shall House concur? Vote...
		Clerk Selcke	TOOR HB-698...Katz
		Speaker Shea	
		Katz	Moves concurrence
219		Speaker Shea	House does concur in Am. #1
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 840, Washington
		Speaker Shea	
		Washington	Three Amendments....
		Speaker Shea	
		Washington	Moves concurrence with Senate in Am. 1, 2, and 3. to House Bill 840
220		Speaker Shea	
		Washington	
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-873...Getty
		Speaker Shea	House does not concur in Am. #1
		Getty	Am. #1
		Speaker Shea)	HB-878...Am. #1
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
		Kozubowski	Am. #2
		Clerk Selcke)	Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6.
)	
		Kozubowski)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
221		Speaker Shea	House does concur in Amendments.
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	
		Choate	1045...House Bill...
		Speaker Shea	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	HB- 1211
		Speaker Shea	TOORHB- 1542
		Krause	Amendment #1
222		Speaker Shea	House does concur
		Clerk Selcke	HB-585
		Speaker Shea	Senate Amendment #1
		Getty	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Shea	House does adopt
		Clerk Selcke	HB-1748
		Speaker Shea	Amendment #1
		Schlickman	SA #1 to House Bill 1748
223		Speaker Shea	House does adopt
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 311
		Speaker Shea	
		Hanahan	Senate Amendment #3
		Speaker Shea	
224		Merlo	
		Speaker Shea	House does concur in Am. #1
		Barry	House Bill 164
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk O'Brien	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill #3
		Catania	Amendment #1 to SA #3
		Speaker Shea	House refuses to recede
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 33
		Speaker Shea	Amendment #1
225		Taylor	
		Speaker Shea	House does recede from Am. #1
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 83
		Speaker Shea	
		Walters	
		Speaker Shea	Refuses to recede..
		Douglas	House Bill 876
			Moves to concur
226		Speaker Shea	House does accept
		McGrew	House Bill 1045
			Moves to concur with Am. #3
		Speaker Shea	House does concur
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 533
227		Epton	
		Speaker Shea	
		Palmer	Raise court recorders salaries
228		Speaker Shea	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Shea	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 82
		Mahar	an appropriation
229		Speaker Shea	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 165...Calvo...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 165
		Calvo	
		Speaker Shea	Vote 'aye'.... Senate Bill 185
		Wolf	Read 183 thro...186?
		Speaker Shea	No objections
230		Clerk Selcke	Reads 183, 184, 185, 186.. Third Reading
		Speaker Shea	
		Wolf	Asks support of House
		Speaker Blair	
		Bradley	
		Wolf	
		Bradley	
231		Speaker Blair) Barry)	
		Wolf) Barry)	
232		Speaker Blair	Vote....'aye'... House Bill 911
		McCormick	
		Speaker Blair	Discussion?
		Mann	Noise.....
		McCormick) Speaker Blair)	Amendment #3
233		Maragos	Asks for explanation of Am.
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #3 passes...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McCormick)	Amendment #4
)	
234		Speaker Blair)	Passes.....Amendment #5
235		Skinner	Scare up Amendment copy...
		McCormick)	Clyde you're younger
)	
236		Choate)	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
237		Ewell	
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	Votes against
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	Why not support Amendment
		Speaker Blair	
238		McCormick	
		Speaker Blair	
239		Tipword	Votes 'no'.
		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	Let's vote for the thing
		Speaker Blair	House does not concur
240		Piotrowicz	
		Speaker Blair	House Bill 70
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
		Calvo	
241		Speaker Blair	House concurs



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
242		Speaker Blair	(Special Request) Senate Bill 419
		Clerk Selcke	An Act relating to grand juries, Third Reading....
243		Day	"Statewide grand jury Bill..."
244			
245		Speaker Telcser	
246		Maragos	Speaks on Bill. Requests 'no' vote...
247			
248		Speaker Telcser	
249		Katz	Opposes SB-419
250			
251		Speaker Telcser	
252		Rayson	Supports Bill...
253			
254		Speaker Telcser	
255		Hyde	Asks 'aye' vote..
256		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Point of personal privilege..
257		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Laurino	
258		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
259		Duff)	
		Speaker Telcser	
260		Day	
261		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J. J.	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
262		Sangmeister	Explains vote...
		Speaker Telcser	
263		Mann	
264		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	
265		Speaker Telcser	
		Gibbs	"...thinks we should vote 'no'."
		Speaker Telcser	
266		Getty	Votes 'no'...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brinkmeier	
267		Speaker Telcser	
268		Matijevich	Votes 'no'...
		Speaker Telcser	
269		Alsup	
		Speaker Telcser	
270		Duff	Good Bill...
		Speaker Telcser	
271		Holloway	'Let's vote green.....'
		Speaker Telcser	
272		Calvo	Votes 'red'...
		Speaker Telcser	
274		Washington	Votes 'aye'...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Not enough green lights
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
275		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Vonboeckman	
		Speaker Telcser	
276		Douglas	
		Speaker Telcser	
277		Day	Poll of the Absentees...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	Verification of Affirmative
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	Polis absentees
		Matijevich	Point of order
278		Speaker Telcser	Attorney General on floor
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
279		Speaker Telcser	
		Redmond	Votes 'present'...
		Speaker Telcser	
280		Yourell	Votes 'present'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
281		Kempiners	Changes to 'yes'...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Requests verification
		Speaker Telcser	
282		Deuster	Record me 'aye'...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Gibbs	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
283		Speaker Telcser	Proceed with Affirmative
		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos)	Tell us the count
)	
284		Speaker Telcser)	
285)	
286		Clerk Selcke)	
287			
288			
289		Speaker Telcser	Declared lost.....
290		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 621
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Someone ill.....
			Five minute break
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
291		Bradley	Asks to hear SB-620 with
			621
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Bradley	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Bradley	Asks support of House
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
292		Speaker Telcser) Clerk Selcke)	Vote... 'Passed'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	SB-379
293		Speaker Telcser) Clerk Selcke)	Vote 'aye'...
		Yourrell	
294		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Lechowicz	
295		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	SB-1193
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR..... Senate Bill 379
		Shea	Establish oral Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
		Speaker Miller	Please don't answer for anyone!
296		Clerk Selcke)	
297		Speaker Miller)	Roll Call
298		Clerk Selcke	165 'yeas'.....
		Speaker Miller	Stand at ease
		Speaker Telcser	



	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	Bradley	Asks support
	Speaker Telcser	
	Clerk Selcke	
198	Speaker Telcser)	Vote... 'Pass'
	Clerk Selcke)	
	Speaker Telcser	
	Beaupre	SB-379
298	Speaker Telcser)	Vote 'aye'...
	Clerk Selcke)	
	Yourell	
294	Speaker Telcser	
	Clerk Selcke	
	Lechowicz	
295	Speaker Telcser	
	Shea	SB-1193
	Clerk Selcke	
	Speaker Telcser	TOOR.....
		Senate Bill 38
	Shea	Establish or Roll Call
	Speaker Telcser	
	Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
	Speaker Miller	Please don't answer for anyone!
296	Clerk Selcke)	Roll Call
297	Speaker Miller)	
298	Clerk Selcke	165 'yeas'.....
	Speaker Miller	Stand at ease
	Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
299		Lechowicz	"more volume....."
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	"So many people on floor.." ...read Agreed list...
		Speaker Telcser	
300		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Asks for order...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
301		Lechowicz)	Agreed list; SB-278, 338,
)	378, 421, 423, 459, 460,
		Schlickman)	461, 481, 549, 573, 574,
		Speaker Telcser	581, 590, 614, 632, 663,
		Calvo	Make a deal...Call 613; Table 614
302		Speaker Telcser	Put camera away...
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	Off of list..663 and 664..
		Hoffman	Read slower
		Lechowicz	
		VonBoeckman	Objection to Senate Bill 315
		Lechowicz	
303		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
		Lechowicz	Come up with Agreed list
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Shea	Objections.....
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed with list..
		Shea	Mann going to object to all..
304		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	Senate pushing us around
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Campbell	
		Speaker Telcser	
305		Lechowicz)	Read the Agreed List... SB-666, 694, 731, 732, 733, 735, 736 832, 883, 914, 925, 1022, 1024, 1048, 1096, 1149, 678, 1153, 1154, 1157, 1159, 1182, 1194, 1195, 1199, 1173, 1174.....
		Speaker Telcser)	
306		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
307		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	Asked Senate Bill 448 be called



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
308		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
309		Washington	
309		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
310		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, Robert	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pappas	
		Speaker Telcser	
311		Hill	Stop fooling around
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Telcser	Wait a second



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Campbell	
312		Speaker Telcser)	Go ahead with messages
313		Clerk Selcke)	Messages from Senate
314		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	
315		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		North)	Official clock now 12:12 and it is July 1st....
		Speaker Telcser)	
316		North	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 278
		Douglas	
		Speaker Telcser	
317		Douglas	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
318		Walsh, Richard	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Fleck)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
319		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		North)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
320		Douglas	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
321		Speaker Telcser	Vote...passed
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 315
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 338
		Ryan	
322		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 338 passes
		Bluthardt	Point of order
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 378
		Speaker Telcser	
		Calvo	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-378 passes
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
323		Davis	Re.....Senate Bill 899
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ebbesen	Senate Bill 421
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McGrew	Yield to question?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ebbesen	
		McGrew	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Further discussion?
324		Barry	Adds support to Bill...
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 421 passes
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 423
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Telcser	Bill passes
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 459
		Shea	Wants to hear 459 and 460
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 460
		Speaker Telcser	
325		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-459 and 460 pass
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 461
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 220
		Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 200
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schlickman	When did 200 get on list?
326		Speaker Telcser	Objections...take it out.
		Schlickman	Follow list
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Leave to take 461 to 2nd..
		Speaker Telcser	Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Washington)	
327		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hart	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
328		Washington	to close
		Speaker Telcser	Roll Call is requested
		Walsh	Would Sponsor take it out of record
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Barnes)	Point of order
329		Speaker Telcser)	Discuss Robert's Rules
		Barnes)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
330		Shea	Refer to broken equipment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman	
		Speaker Telcser	
331		Kennedy	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	Oral Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	
		Speaker Telcser	
332		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Hanahan)	
)	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
333		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Report Houlihan doing fine...
		Speaker Telcser	
334		Clabaugh	Avoid oral Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Robert's Rules
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
335		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	Read next Bill, Mr. Clerk.
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 481
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walters	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 481 passes
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 549
		Caldwell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
336		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
337		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 573
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Philip)	Consider 573 and 574 together
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	Skipped 549
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
338		Caldwell	I object
		Speaker Telcser	Take Pate's two Bills Read 574
		Clerk Selcke	
		Philip	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 574...DOT...
		Speaker Telcser	
339		Philip	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Philip	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 590, 3rd R.
		Speaker Telcser	
340		Rose	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Question
)	
		Rose)	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 614...TOOR Senate Bill 632
		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	
341		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Shea)	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 666, 2nd R.
		Neff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
342		Neff	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Clerk selcke)	
)	
		Neff)	
		Barry	Same as HB-1324
343		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fary	Transcendental meditation
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	'Poem'
		Speaker Telcser	
344		Geo-Karis	Song....I've Been Working on the Railroad.....etc. "Dina Blow Your Horn..."
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Simms	Sing for funeral
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-200...Third Reading
345		Schoeberlein	Return to Second
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schoeberlein	Amendment #2 SB-200
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	
346		Speaker Telcser	Tabled Am. #3
		Schoeberlein	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Schoeberlein)	SB-200 passed
347		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 315...3rd R.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Soderstrom	Speaks in favor of Bill...
		Speaker Telcser	
348		Lechowicz	Stands in opposition
		Speaker Telcser	
349		VonBoeckman	Asks defeat of Bill...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kriegsman	Votes 'present'...
350		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McCourt)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
351		Soderstrom)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cox	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Soderstrom	Requests Poll of Absentees
		Speaker Telcser	Poll absentees
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
352		Duff	Votes 'aye'...
		Speaker Telcser)	Proceed with absentees
)	
353		Clerk O'Brien)	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 461
		Dunne	
		Macdonald	
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR...Senate Bill 549
354		Caldwell)	
)	
		Macdonald)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
354 (a)		Macdonald)	
)	
		Barnes)	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lechowicz	Barnes not through
		Speaker Telcser	
355		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
356		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Point of inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	to close
		Speaker Telcser	
357		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-549 passes
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-573...
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bill 573
		Philip	
		Speaker Shea	
358		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Shea	
		Philip	574 at same time.....
		Speaker Shea	Senate Bill 574
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Shea	
		Philip	
359		Speaker Shea	
		Lechowicz	Explains vote...



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Shea	SB-584 passed
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 581
		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	
		Speaker Sheapassed
360		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 590
		Speaker Shea	
		Rose	
		Speaker Shea	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Shea	passed
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 614
		Speaker Shea	
		Lechowicz	Was SB-590 passed?
		Speaker Shea	Yes.....Now SB-614
		Calvo	Add 613...companion
		Speaker Shea	Leave?
361		Clerk O'Brien	SB-613 ...Third Reading
		Calvo	
		Speaker Shea	
		Grotberg)	Question
)	
362		Calvo)	
)	
363		Juckett)	Question
)	
		Calvo)	
)	
		Speaker Shea	
		Barry)	Question
)	
364		Calvo)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Shea	SB-613 passes SB-614 Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 632
		Madigan	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Shea	Returned to Second
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Shea	
		Yourell	
		Speaker Shea	
365		Palmer	Requests favorable vote..
		Speaker Shea	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	
		Speaker Shea	
		Madigan	
		Barry	
366		Speaker Shea	
		Dunne, R. L.	Votes 'aye'...
		Speaker Shea	
		Ewell	
		Speaker Shea	SB-632 passed....SB-633
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Williams	
		Speaker Shea	
		Philip)	Question
		Williams)	
367			



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Shea	
		Duff	Question
		Speaker Shea	
372		Duff)	
)	
		Madigan)	
		Speaker Shea	All pass.....
		Clerk Selcke	589....Senate Bill
		Speaker Shea	
		McMaster	Moves House recede from Am. #2
		Schneider	
		Clerk Selcke	
373		Schneider	
		Speaker Shea	
		Galvo	
		McMaster)	
)	
374		Galvo)	
		Speaker Shea	
		McMaster	Asks support of Am. #2
375		Speaker Shea	
		Borchers	Explains vote...
		Speaker Shea	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Shea	
		McMaster	
		Speaker Shea	
376		McMaster	Poll of absentees
		Speaker Shea	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads names
		Speaker Shea	
		Fleck	Votes 'aye'...
		Speaker Shea	
		Simms	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	House refuses to recede .. Amendment #2
		McMaster	
		Speaker Shea	Goes back to Senate...
377		Clerk Selcke	Supplemental Calendar #3
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 192
		Speaker Shea	
		Murphy	Moves House do not recede from Am. #2
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	
		Murphy	Appoint Conference Committee
		Speaker Shea	
		Dunn, Ralph	Was SB-192 on Agreed list?
		Speaker Shea	Yes, but Senate didn't go along:....
		Clerk Selcke	SB-371
		Speaker Shea	
378		Pierce	Moves to recede from final action Am. #1
		Speaker Shea	House recedes Am. #1, SB-371
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1158



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Jones, Emil	Moves House do not recede Am.'s 2, 3, and 5. SB-1158
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	House refuses to recede
		Clerk Selcke	
379		Speaker Shea	Con. Com. on 371
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Shea	
		Davis	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
380		Speaker Telcser	nonconcurrency motions
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	Nonconcurrency
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	Reconsider house action on House Bill 794...on Senate Am. 1, 2, and 3....
		Speaker Telcser)	
381		Douglas)	
		Berman	
382		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Douglas	Go along with it...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	
		Speaker Telcser	House Bill 832
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 832...3rd R.
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 883
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Take back to 2nd for Am.
383		Speaker Telcser	Clerk read Amendment
		Berman	School aid
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Senate Bill 883 is.....
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Question
		Berman	Leave 883 on Calendar House Bill 1484
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 914
384		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 914
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Hold 883 and call 1484 Question on 883
		Speaker Telcser	House Bill 1484
		Hoffman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Are we on 1384?
		Speaker Telcser	'1484'....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Later we get the higher we go....
		Speaker Telcser	
385		Hoffman	House Bill 1484
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman	to close
		Speaker Telcser)	Discussion
)	
		Schraeder)	
386		Speaker Telcser	Moves House concur in Am. #1 Concurs... back on App. Cal.
		Berman	Moves to reconsider vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 Tabled...
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 883..Am. #2 Adepted...
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser)	
387)	
		Berman)	Discussion
)	
		Skinner)	
		Speaker Telcser	Pass... Senate Bill 914
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 914...
		Speaker Telcser	
388		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	Passes



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Douglas	Reconsider vote House Bill 794
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Douglas)	Moves to concur with SA #1,2,3
389		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Please!..Is it SB or HB
		Speaker Telcser..	House Bill 794
		Catania	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
390		Douglas	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs....SB-925
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 925, Third R.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Asks for 924 and 925...
391		Speaker Telcser	Objections?
		Craig	
		Speaker Telcser	There are objections...
		Craig	Take 924
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	What does an Auctioneer do?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Auction something
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R. L.	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	
392		Dunn, R.)	Speak to Bill



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	This is 925...not 924
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Considering 924
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1022
		Speaker Telcser	
393		Beatty	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R. L.)	Question
		Beatty)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword)	Question
		Beatty)	
394		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania)	
		Beatty)	
395		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber)	
		Beatty)	
		Speaker Telcser	
396		McClain	Urges 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fary	Excellent Bill
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Duff	
397		Speaker Telcser	
		McAuliffe	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	Asks question
		Speaker Telcser	
		McAuliffe	
		Mann)	Asks question
)	
		Beatty)	
398		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Richard	Urges 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1022 passes
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1024...
		Speaker Telcser	
399		Kozubowski	Consider 1024 and 1025 together
		Speaker Telcser)	Objection
)	
		Kozubowski)	
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	1024 passes
400		Ryan	Senate Bill 1048
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	Bill passes
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1096
401		Boyle	Explains



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Shall Senate Bill 1096 pass? Passed....
		Maragos)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Leinenweber)	
402		Speaker Telcser)	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Hoffman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Senate has adjourned
403		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	House Bill 452, Am. #1 & #2
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1194
		Walsh, W.	Solicits support
404		Speaker Telcser	Shall SB-1194 pass....? Passed....
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1195
		Walsh, W.	Solicit support
		Speaker Telcser	Passed
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 461....
		Washington	Leave to take back to 2nd?
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2, Washington
405		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Moves to Table
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Moves adoption



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff)	Discussion
		Washington)	
406		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 adopted Third Reading
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett)	Question
		Washington)	
		Speaker Telcser	Passed
		Katz	House Bill 1268 Moves House concur Am. #3
		Speaker Telcser	House does concur
407		Collins	House Bill 220 Move to concur in Am. #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Question
		Collins)	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-220
408		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
409		Speaker Telcser	Out of record



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.)	Move to....
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Need five minutes
		Walsh	Mr. Speaker
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Moves House recess then adjourn until 7/1/ at 12:30 p.m
		Speaker Telcser	House stands in recess
410		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
411			
412			
413			House adjourned



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 30, 1973

11:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Richard A. Carter - illness;

Representative Peter C. Granata - illness in family.

