



Rep. Daniel Didech

Filed: 4/8/2025

10400HB3506ham002

LRB104 12155 SPS 24972 a

1 AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 3506

2 AMENDMENT NO. _____. Amend House Bill 3506, AS AMENDED,
3 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the
4 following:

5 "Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the
6 Artificial Intelligence Safety and Security Protocol Act.

7 Section 5. Legislative findings and purpose. The General
8 Assembly finds and declares:

9 (a) Artificial intelligence, including new advances in
10 generative artificial intelligence, has the potential to
11 catalyze innovation and the rapid development of a wide range
12 of benefits for Illinoisans and the Illinois economy,
13 including advances in medicine, climate science, and
14 education, and to push the bounds of human creativity and
15 capacity.

16 (b) If not properly subject to human controls, future

1 development in artificial intelligence may also have the
2 potential to be used to create novel threats to public safety
3 and security, including by enabling the creation and the
4 proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, such as
5 biological, chemical, and nuclear weapons, as well as weapons
6 with cyber-offensive capabilities.

7 (c) If not properly subject to human controls, future
8 artificial intelligence models may be able to cause serious
9 harm with limited human intervention.

10 (d) This State has an essential role in fostering
11 transparency, security, and reasonable care in the development
12 of the most powerful artificial intelligence systems, in order
13 to protect the safety, health, and economic interests of this
14 State.

15 (e) Actions taken by large developers that reduce consumer
16 prices for access to foundation models, increase the ability
17 of artificial intelligence safety and security researchers to
18 conduct research, increase interoperability between foundation
19 models produced by different large developers, improve the
20 ability for small businesses to use foundation models, and
21 promote privacy of user inputs to foundation models provide
22 important societal benefits.

23 Section 10. Definitions. As used in this Act:

24 "Adverse employment action" means an action that a
25 reasonable employee would find materially adverse. For the

1 purpose of this definition, an action is materially adverse if
2 it could dissuade a reasonable worker from disclosing or
3 threatening to disclose information protected under Section
4 30.

5 "Artificial intelligence model" means an engineered or
6 machine-based system that varies in its level of autonomy and
7 that can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the
8 input it receives how to generate outputs that can influence
9 physical or virtual environments.

10 "Critical risk" means a foreseeable and material risk that
11 a large developer's development, storage, or deployment of a
12 foundation model will result in the death of, or serious
13 injury to, more than 100 people, or more than \$1,000,000,000
14 in damage to rights in money or property, through an incident
15 of the following types:

16 (1) the creation and release of a chemical,
17 biological, radiological, or nuclear weapon;

18 (2) a cyber-attack conducted by or assisted by a
19 foundation model; or

20 (3) a foundation model engaging in conduct that would,
21 if committed by a human, constitute a crime specified
22 under the Criminal Code of 2012 that requires intent,
23 recklessness, or gross negligence, or the solicitation or
24 aiding and abetting of the crime, if that conduct occurs
25 with limited human intervention.

26 For the purposes of this definition, a harm inflicted by

1 an intervening human actor does not result from the large
2 developer's activities unless those activities make it
3 substantially easier or more likely for the actor to inflict
4 the harm.

5 "Deploy" means to use a foundation model or to make a
6 foundation model foreseeably available to one or more third
7 parties for use, modification, copying, or combination with
8 other software, except as reasonably necessary for developing
9 the foundation model or evaluating the foundation model or
10 other foundation models.

11 "Employee" means any individual permitted to work by a
12 large developer.

13 "Foundation model" means an artificial intelligence model
14 that:

- 15 (1) is trained on a broad data set;
16 (2) is designed for generality of output; and
17 (3) can be adapted to a wide range of distinctive
18 tasks.

19 "Large developer" means a person that has:

- 20 (1) developed a foundation model with a quantity of
21 computing power that costs at least \$5,000,000 when
22 measured using prevailing market prices of cloud computing
23 in the United States at the time that training of the
24 foundation model commences; and

- 25 (2) within the immediately preceding 12 months,
26 developed one or more foundation models using a total

1 quantity of computing power that costs at least
2 \$100,000,000 as measured by the average cost of an
3 equivalent amount of cloud computing in the United States
4 at the time the computational power was used.

5 "Retaliatory action" means an adverse employment action or
6 the threat of an adverse employment action by a large
7 developer or a large developer's agent to penalize or any
8 non-employment action that would dissuade a reasonable worker
9 from disclosing information under this Act. "Retaliatory
10 action" includes, but is not limited to:

11 (1) taking, or threatening to take, any action that
12 would intentionally interfere with an employee's ability
13 to obtain future employment or post-termination
14 retaliation to intentionally interfere with a former
15 employee's employment;

16 (2) taking, or threatening to take, any action
17 prohibited by subsection (G) of Section 2-102 of the
18 Illinois Human Rights Act; or

19 (3) contacting, or threatening to contact, United
20 States immigration authorities, or otherwise reporting, or
21 threatening to report, an employee's suspected or actual
22 citizenship or immigration status or the suspected or
23 actual citizenship or immigration status of an employee's
24 family or household member to a federal, State, or local
25 agency.

26 "Retaliatory action" does not include:

1 (1) conduct undertaken at the express and specific
2 direction or request of the federal government;

3 (2) truthful, performance-related information about an
4 employee or former employee provided in good faith to a
5 prospective large developer at the request of the
6 prospective large developer; or

7 (3) conduct undertaken if specifically required by
8 State or federal law.

9 "Safety and security protocol" means a set of documented
10 technical and organizational protocols used by a large
11 developer to manage critical risks that describes in detail:

12 (1) how, if at all, the large developer excludes
13 certain foundation models from being covered by its safety
14 and security protocol when those foundation models pose
15 limited critical risks;

16 (2) thresholds at which critical risks would be deemed
17 intolerable and justifications for these thresholds and
18 what the large developer will do if one or more thresholds
19 are surpassed;

20 (3) the testing and assessment procedures the large
21 developer uses to investigate critical risks and how these
22 tests account for the possibility that a foundation model
23 could evade the control of its large developer or user or
24 be misused, modified, executed with increased
25 computational resources, or used to create another
26 foundation model;

1 (4) the procedure the large developer will use to
2 determine whether and how to deploy a foundation model
3 when doing so poses critical risks;

4 (5) the physical, digital, and organizational security
5 protections the large developer will implement to prevent
6 insiders or third parties from accessing foundation models
7 within the large developer's control in a manner that is
8 unauthorized by the large developer and could create
9 critical risk;

10 (6) any safeguards and risk mitigation measures the
11 large developer uses to reduce critical risks from its
12 foundation models and how the large developer assesses
13 their efficacy and limitations;

14 (7) how the large developer will respond if a critical
15 risk materializes or is imminently about to materialize;

16 (8) the procedure that the large developer uses to
17 determine whether to conduct additional assessments for
18 critical risk when it modifies or expands access to its
19 foundation models or combines its foundation models with
20 other software and how the assessments are conducted;

21 (9) the conditions under which the large developer
22 will report incidents relevant to critical risk that have
23 occurred in connection with one or more of its foundation
24 models and the entities to which the large developer will
25 make those reports;

26 (10) the conditions under which the large developer

1 may or will make modifications to its safety and security
2 protocol;

3 (11) the parts of the safety and security protocol, if
4 any, that the large developer believes provide sufficient
5 scientific detail to allow for the independent assessment
6 of the methods used to generate the results, evidence, and
7 analysis, and to which experts, if any, unredacted
8 versions are made available; and

9 (12) any other role, if any, financially disinterested
10 third parties play in the implementation of the other
11 items of this definition.

12 "Supervisor" means any individual who has the authority to
13 direct and control the work performance of the affected
14 employee or any individual who has managerial authority to
15 take corrective action concerning critical risk in accordance
16 with Section 30.

17 Section 15. Safety and security protocol.

18 (a) A large developer shall produce, implement, follow,
19 and conspicuously publish a safety and security protocol. If a
20 large developer makes a material modification to the safety
21 and security protocol, the large developer shall conspicuously
22 publish those modifications no later than 30 days after the
23 effective date of those modifications.

24 (b) No less than every 180 days, a large developer shall
25 produce and conspicuously publish a transparency report. The

1 transparency report shall cover the period between 240 and 60
2 days before the submission of the transparency report and
3 include the following:

4 (1) the conclusion of any risk assessments made
5 pursuant to the large developer's safety and security
6 protocol during the reporting period;

7 (2) if different from the preceding reporting period,
8 for each type of critical risk, an assessment of the
9 relevant capabilities in whichever of the large
10 developer's foundation models, whether deployed or not,
11 would pose the highest level of that critical risk if
12 deployed without adequate safeguards and protections; and

13 (3) if the large developer has deployed a foundation
14 model or a modified version of a foundation model during
15 the reporting, that would, if deployed without adequate
16 safeguards and protections, pose a higher level of
17 critical risk than any of the large developer's existing
18 deployed foundation models:

19 (A) the grounds on which, and the process by
20 which, the large developer decided to deploy the
21 foundation model; and

22 (B) any safeguards and protections implemented by
23 the large developer to mitigate critical risks.

24 (c) A large developer shall record and retain for a period
25 of no less than 5 years any specific tests used and test
26 results obtained as part of any assessments of critical risks,

1 including sufficient detail for qualified third parties to
2 replicate the testing.

3 (d) A large developer shall not knowingly make false or
4 materially misleading statements or omissions in or regarding
5 documents produced under this Section.

6 Section 20. Redactions.

7 (a) If a large developer publishes documents in order to
8 comply with this Act, the large developer may make redactions
9 to those documents that are reasonably necessary to protect
10 the large developer's or auditor's trade secrets, public
11 safety, customer or employee privacy, or the national security
12 of the United States or to comply with any federal or State
13 law. If a large developer redacts information in a document,
14 the large developer shall:

15 (1) retain an unredacted version of the document for
16 at least 5 years and allow the Attorney General to inspect
17 the unredacted version of the document upon request; and

18 (2) describe the character and justification of the
19 redaction in any published version of the document, to the
20 extent permitted by the concerns that justify redaction.

21 (b) In addition to a large developer's redactions, the
22 auditor may also redact information using the same procedure
23 described in subsection (a) for information that the large
24 developer is required to publish in accordance with subsection
25 (c) of Section 25 before the publication of that information.

1 Section 25. Audits.

2 (a) At least once every calendar year, a large developer
3 shall retain a reputable third-party auditor to produce a
4 report assessing the following:

5 (1) whether the large developer has complied with its
6 safety and security protocol and any instances of
7 noncompliance;

8 (2) any instances where the large developer's safety
9 and security protocol has not been stated clearly enough
10 to determine whether the large developer has complied; and

11 (3) any instances where the auditor believes the large
12 developer may have violated subsection (d) of Section 15
13 or Section 20.

14 (b) A large developer shall allow the third-party auditor
15 access to all materials produced to comply with this Act and
16 any other materials reasonably necessary to perform the
17 assessment required under subsection (a).

18 (c) The large developer shall retain the auditor's report
19 for 5 years and allow the Attorney General to inspect the
20 unredacted version of the report upon request.

21 (d) In conducting the audit, the auditor shall employ or
22 contract one or more individuals with expertise in corporate
23 compliance and one or more individuals with technical
24 expertise in the safety of foundation models.

1 Section 30. Whistleblower protections.

2 (a) A large developer that has one or more employees in
3 this State shall provide a reasonable internal process through
4 which an employee may anonymously disclose information to the
5 large developer if the employee believes in good faith that
6 information indicates that the large developer's activities
7 pose critical risk, including a monthly update to the person
8 who made the disclosure regarding the status of the large
9 developer's investigation of the disclosure and the actions
10 taken by the large developer in response to the disclosure.

11 (b) The disclosures and responses of the process required
12 by subsection (a) shall be maintained for a minimum of 7 years
13 after the date when the disclosure is made to the large
14 developer or the response to the disclosure is made by the
15 large developer. Each disclosure and response shall be shared
16 with the officers and directors of the large developer who do
17 not have a conflict of interest no less frequently than once
18 every fiscal quarter.

19 (c) A large developer that has one or more employees in
20 this State shall not make, adopt, or enforce any rule,
21 regulation, or policy preventing an employee from disclosing
22 information to a government or law enforcement agency if the
23 employee has reasonable cause to believe that the information
24 discloses that the large developer's activities pose critical
25 risk.

26 (d) A large developer that has one or more employees in

1 this State shall not take retaliatory action against an
2 employee for disclosing or threatening to disclose information
3 to a government or law enforcement agency information related
4 to an activity, policy, or practice of the large developer,
5 where the employee has a good faith belief that the activity,
6 policy, or practice of the large developer poses critical
7 risk.

8 (e) A large developer that has one or more employees in
9 this State shall not take retaliatory action against an
10 employee for disclosing or threatening to disclose to any
11 supervisor, principal officer, or board member, information
12 related to an activity, policy, or practice of the large
13 developer if the employee has a good faith belief that the
14 activity, policy, or practice creates critical risk.

15 (f) A large developer that has one or more employees in
16 this State shall not threaten any employee with any act or
17 omission if that Act or omission would constitute retaliatory
18 action against the employee under this Section.

19 (g) It is a defense to any action brought under this
20 Section that the retaliatory action was predicated solely upon
21 grounds other than the employee's exercise of any rights
22 protected under this Section or the Whistleblower Act.

23 (h) This Section does not apply to a disclosure that would
24 constitute a violation of attorney-client privilege.

25 (i) A large developer shall not enter into a contract with
26 a contractor, subcontractor, or unpaid advisor involved in

1 assessing, managing, or addressing critical risk that would
2 prevent that contractor, subcontractor, or unpaid advisor from
3 disclosing information to a government or law enforcement
4 agency if the contractor, subcontractor, or unpaid advisor has
5 reasonable cause to believe that the information discloses
6 that the large developer's activities pose critical risk.

7 (j) Nothing in this Section shall be construed to
8 invalidate or limit any protection afforded to employees or
9 any obligation imposed on employers, including those that are
10 large developers, under the Whistleblower Act.

11 Section 35. Enforcement of safety and security protocols.

12 (a) The Attorney General may bring a civil action against
13 a large developer that violates Sections 15 or 25. A large
14 developer found guilty of violating Sections 15 or 25 may be
15 assessed a civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000,000. In
16 calculating the civil penalty assessed under this subsection,
17 a court shall consider the severity of the violation and
18 whether the violation resulted in, or could have resulted in,
19 the materialization of a critical risk.

20 (b) The Attorney General may seek injunctive or
21 declaratory relief for any violation of this Act. The Attorney
22 General may also seek injunctive relief if a large developer's
23 activities present an imminent threat of catastrophic harm to
24 the public.

25 (c) In determining whether a large developer's act or

1 omission breached its common law duty to take reasonable care
2 with respect to critical risks, the following considerations
3 are relevant but not conclusive:

4 (1) the quality of the large developer's safety and
5 security protocol and the extent of the large developer's
6 adherence to it;

7 (2) whether, in quality and implementation, the large
8 developer's investigation, documentation, evaluation, and
9 management of critical risks was inferior, comparable, or
10 superior to other large developers of foundation models
11 that may pose comparable critical risk;

12 (3) the extent to which the large developer
13 responsibly informed the public of critical risks posed by
14 its foundation models; and

15 (4) whether the societal benefit produced by the large
16 developer's act or omission outweighed the associated
17 critical risk.

18 Section 40. Enforcement of whistleblower protections.

19 (a) Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to
20 believe that any large developer has engaged in a practice
21 prohibited by Section 30, the Attorney General may, pursuant
22 to the authority conferred by Section 6.3 of the Attorney
23 General Act, initiate or intervene in a civil action in the
24 name of the People of the State in any appropriate court to
25 obtain appropriate relief.

1 (b) Before initiating an action, the Attorney General may
2 conduct an investigation and may:

3 (1) require a large developer to file a statement or
4 report in writing, under oath or otherwise, as to all
5 information the Attorney General may consider necessary;

6 (2) examine under oath any person alleged to have
7 participated in, or with knowledge of, the alleged
8 violation; or

9 (3) issue subpoenas or conduct hearings in aid of any
10 investigation.

11 (c) Service by the Attorney General of any notice
12 requiring a large developer to file a statement or report, or
13 of a subpoena upon any large developer, shall be made:

14 (1) personally by delivery of a duly executed copy
15 thereof to the person to be served or, if a person is not a
16 natural person, in the manner provided in the Code of
17 Civil Procedure when a complaint is filed; or

18 (2) by mailing by certified mail a duly executed copy
19 thereof to the person to be served at the person's last
20 known abode or principal place of business within this
21 State or, if the person is not a natural person, in the
22 manner provided in the Code of Civil Procedure when a
23 complaint is filed. The Attorney General may compel
24 compliance with investigative demands under this Section
25 through an order by any court of competent jurisdiction.

26 (d) (1) In an action brought under this Act, the Attorney

1 General may obtain, as a remedy, monetary damages to the
2 State, restitution, and equitable relief, including any
3 permanent or preliminary injunction, temporary restraining
4 order, or other order, including an order enjoining the
5 defendant from engaging in a violation, or order any action as
6 may be appropriate. The Attorney General may request, and the
7 court may grant, any remedy available under Section 45 to the
8 employee or employees affected by the violation. Additionally,
9 the Attorney General may request and the court may impose a
10 civil penalty not to exceed \$10,000 for each repeat violation
11 within a 5-year period. For purposes of this Section, each
12 violation of this Act for each employee that the large
13 developer took or threatened to take retaliatory action
14 against shall constitute a separate and distinct violation.

15 (2) A civil penalty imposed under this subsection shall be
16 deposited into the Attorney General Court Ordered and
17 Voluntary Compliance Payment Projects Fund.

18 Section 45. Civil damages for employees. If a large
19 developer takes any retaliatory action against an employee in
20 violation of Section 30, the employee may bring a civil action
21 against the large developer for all relief necessary to make
22 the employee whole, including but not limited to the
23 following, as appropriate:

24 (1) permanent or preliminary injunctive relief;

25 (2) reinstatement with the same seniority status that

1 the employee would have had, but for the violation;

2 (3) back pay, with interest of 9% per annum up to 90
3 calendar days from the date the complaint is filed and
4 front pay;

5 (4) liquidated damages of up to \$10,000;

6 (5) compensation for any costs incurred as a result of
7 the violation, including litigation costs, expert witness
8 fees, and reasonable attorney's fees; and

9 (6) additionally, the court shall award a civil
10 penalty of \$10,000 payable to the employee.

11 Section 50. Other duties required by law. The duties and
12 obligations imposed by this Act are cumulative with any other
13 duties or obligations imposed under other law and shall not be
14 construed to relieve any party from any duties or obligations
15 imposed under other law and do not limit any rights or remedies
16 under existing law.

17 Section 97. Severability. The provisions of this Act are
18 severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on Statutes."