

101ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY State of Illinois 2019 and 2020 SB3814

Introduced 2/14/2020, by Sen. Jennifer Bertino-Tarrant

SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

105 I	LCS 5/24A-4	from	Ch.	122,	par.	24A-4
105 I	LCS 5/24A-5	${\tt from}$	Ch.	122,	par.	24A-5
105 I	LCS 5/24A-7	${\tt from}$	Ch.	122,	par.	24A-7

Amends the Evaluation of Employees Article of the School Code. In a provision concerning the development of evaluation plans, provides that each school district shall determine research-based and proven evaluation practices (instead of incorporating the use of data and indicators on student growth). Provides that the practices may include incorporating the use of data and indicators on student growth, student surveys, teacher self-evaluation, goal-setting practices, peer-to-peer feedback, practices developed and approved by a specified joint committee, or practices recommended by the Performance Evaluation Advisory Council. Makes conforming changes. Provides that if a joint committee does not reach agreement on an evaluation plan, then the evaluation rating shall be based on professional practice as established by the district's evaluation plan (instead of implementing a model evaluation plan). Provides that the Performance Evaluation Advisory Council may develop nonregulatory guidance on research-based and proven evaluation practices.

LRB101 18618 NHT 68073 b

FISCAL NOTE ACT MAY APPLY

20

for all teachers.

include:

1 AN ACT concerning education.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

- Section 5. The School Code is amended by changing Sections 24A-4, 24A-5, and 24A-7 as follows:
- 6 (105 ILCS 5/24A-4) (from Ch. 122, par. 24A-4)
- 7 Sec. 24A-4. Development of evaluation plan.
- 8 (a) As used in this and the succeeding Sections, "teacher"
 9 means any and all school district employees regularly required
 10 to be certified under laws relating to the certification of
 11 teachers. Each school district shall develop, in cooperation
 12 with its teachers or, where applicable, the exclusive
 13 bargaining representatives of its teachers, an evaluation plan
- (b) <u>Each</u> By no later than the applicable implementation date, each school district shall, in good faith cooperation with its teachers or, where applicable, the exclusive bargaining representatives of its teachers, <u>determine</u> research-based and proven evaluation practices. Practices may
- 21 (1) incorporating incorporate the use of data and 22 indicators on student growth as a significant factor in 23 rating teaching performance, into its evaluation plan for

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

all teachers, both those teachers in contractual continued service and those teachers not in contractual continued service. The plan shall at least meet the standards and requirements for student growth and teacher evaluation established under Section 24A-7, and specifically describe how student growth data and indicators will be used as part of the evaluation process, how this information will relate evaluation standards, the assessments to or other indicators of student performance that will be used in measuring student growth and the weight that each will have, the methodology that will be used to measure student growth, and the criteria other than student growth that will be used in evaluating the teacher and the weight that each will have;

- (2) student surveys;
- (3) teacher self-evaluation;
- (4) goal-setting practices;
- 18 (5) peer-to-peer feedback;
 - (6) practices developed and approved by the joint committee established under this subsection (b); or
 - (7) practices recommended by the Performance Evaluation Advisory Council established under Section 24A-7 of this Code.

To incorporate the use of <u>research-based and proven</u>

<u>evaluation practices</u> data and indicators of student growth as a significant factor in rating teacher performance into the

evaluation plan, the district shall use a joint committee composed of equal representation selected by the district and its teachers or, where applicable, the exclusive bargaining representative of its teachers. If, within 180 calendar days of the committee's first meeting, the committee does not reach agreement on the plan, then the evaluation rating shall be based on professional practice as established by the district's evaluation plan the district shall implement the model evaluation plan established under Section 24A 7 with respect to the use of data and indicators on student growth as a significant factor in rating teacher performance.

Nothing in this subsection (b) shall make decisions on the use of data and indicators on student growth as a significant factor in rating teaching performance mandatory subjects of bargaining under the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Act that are not currently mandatory subjects of bargaining under the Act.

The provisions of the Open Meetings Act shall not apply to meetings of a joint committee formed under this subsection (b).

(c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in subsection (b) of this Section, if the joint committee referred to in that subsection does not reach agreement on the plan within 90 calendar days after the committee's first meeting, a school district having 500,000 or more inhabitants shall not be required to implement any aspect of the model evaluation plan and may implement its last best proposal.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- (d) Beginning the first school year following the effective 1 2 date of this amendatory Act of the 100th General Assembly, the joint committee referred to in subsection (b) of this Section 3 shall meet no less than one time annually to assess and review 5 the effectiveness of the district's evaluation plan for the 6 purposes of continuous improvement of instruction 7 evaluation practices.
- 8 (Source: P.A. 100-768, eff. 1-1-19.)
- 9 (105 ILCS 5/24A-5) (from Ch. 122, par. 24A-5)
 - Sec. 24A-5. Content of evaluation plans. This Section does not apply to teachers assigned to schools identified in an agreement entered into between the board of a school district operating under Article 34 of this Code and the exclusive representative of the district's teachers in accordance with Section 34-85c of this Code.
 - Each school district to which this Article applies shall establish a teacher evaluation plan which ensures that each teacher in contractual continued service is evaluated at least once in the course of every 2 school years.
 - By no later than September 1, 2012, each school district shall establish a teacher evaluation plan that ensures that:
- 22 (1) each teacher not in contractual continued service 23 is evaluated at least once every school year; and
- 24 (2) each teacher in contractual continued service is 25 evaluated at least once in the course of every 2 school

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

years. However, any teacher in contractual continued service whose performance is rated as either "needs improvement" or "unsatisfactory" must be evaluated at least once in the school year following the receipt of such rating.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section or any other Section of the School Code, a principal shall not be prohibited from evaluating any teachers within a school during his or her first year as principal of such school. If a first-year principal exercises this option in a school district where the evaluation plan provides for a teacher in contractual continued service to be evaluated once in the course of every 2 school years, then a new 2-year evaluation plan must be established.

The evaluation plan shall comply with the requirements of this Section and of any rules adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to this Section.

The plan shall include a description of each teacher's duties and responsibilities and of the standards to which that teacher is expected to conform, and shall include at least the following components:

- (a) personal observation of the teacher in the classroom by the evaluator, unless the teacher has no classroom duties.
- 25 (b) consideration of the teacher's attendance, 26 planning, instructional methods, classroom management,

1	where	relevant,	and	competency	in	the	subject	matter
2	taught	•						

- (c) by no later than the applicable implementation date, consideration of research-based and proven evaluation practices student growth as a significant factor in the rating of the teacher's performance.
- (d) prior to September 1, 2012, rating of the performance of teachers in contractual continued service as either:
- 10 (i) "excellent", "satisfactory" or
 11 "unsatisfactory"; or
 - (ii) "excellent", "proficient", "needs
 improvement" or "unsatisfactory".
 - (e) on and after September 1, 2012, rating of the performance of all teachers as "excellent", "proficient", "needs improvement" or "unsatisfactory".
 - (f) specification as to the teacher's strengths and weaknesses, with supporting reasons for the comments made.
 - (g) inclusion of a copy of the evaluation in the teacher's personnel file and provision of a copy to the teacher.
 - (h) within 30 school days after the completion of an evaluation rating a teacher in contractual continued service as "needs improvement", development by the evaluator, in consultation with the teacher, and taking into account the teacher's on-going professional

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

responsibilities including his or her regular teaching assignments, of a professional development plan directed to the areas that need improvement and any supports that the district will provide to address the areas identified as needing improvement.

- (i) within 30 school days after completion of an evaluation rating a teacher in contractual continued service as "unsatisfactory", development and commencement by the district of a remediation plan designed to correct deficiencies cited, provided the deficiencies are deemed remediable. In all school districts the remediation plan for unsatisfactory, tenured teachers shall provide for 90 school days of remediation within the classroom, unless an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides for a shorter duration. In all school districts evaluations issued pursuant to this Section shall be issued within 10 days after the conclusion of the respective remediation plan. However, the school board or other governing authority of the district shall not lose jurisdiction to discharge a teacher in the event the evaluation is not issued within 10 days after the conclusion of the respective remediation plan.
- (j) participation in the remediation plan by the teacher in contractual continued service rated "unsatisfactory", an evaluator and a consulting teacher selected by the evaluator of the teacher who was rated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

"unsatisfactory", which consulting teacher is an educational employee as defined in the Educational Labor Relations Act, has at least 5 years' teaching experience, and a reasonable familiarity with the assignment of the teacher being evaluated, and who received an "excellent" rating on his or her most recent evaluation. Where no teachers who meet these criteria are available within the district, the district shall request and the applicable regional office of education shall supply, to participate in the remediation process, an individual who meets these criteria.

In a district having a population of less than 500,000 with an exclusive bargaining agent, the bargaining agent may, if it so chooses, supply a roster of qualified teachers from whom the consulting teacher is to be selected. That roster shall, however, contain the names of at least 5 teachers, each of whom meets the criteria for consulting teacher with regard to the teacher being evaluated, or the names of all teachers so qualified if that number is less than 5. In the event of a dispute as to qualification, the State Board shall determine qualification.

(k) a mid-point and final evaluation by an evaluator during and at the end of the remediation period, immediately following receipt of a remediation plan provided for under subsections (i) and (j) of this Section.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Each evaluation shall assess the teacher's performance during the time period since the prior evaluation; provided that the last evaluation shall also include an overall evaluation of the teacher's performance during remediation period. A written copy of the evaluations and ratings, in which any deficiencies in performance and recommendations for correction are identified, shall be provided to and discussed with the teacher within 10 school days after the date of the evaluation, unless an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides to the contrary. These subsequent evaluations shall be conducted by an evaluator. The consulting teacher shall provide advice to teacher rated "unsatisfactory" on how to improve teaching skills and to successfully complete remediation plan. The consulting teacher shall participate in developing the remediation plan, but the final decision as to the evaluation shall be done solely by the evaluator, unless an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides to the contrary. Evaluations at the conclusion of the remediation process shall be separate and distinct from the required annual evaluations of teachers and shall not be subject to the guidelines and procedures relating to those annual evaluations. The evaluator may but is not required to use the forms provided for the evaluation of teachers in the district's evaluation plan.

(1) reinstatement to the evaluation schedule set forth

in the district's evaluation plan for any teacher in contractual continued service who achieves a rating equal to or better than "satisfactory" or "proficient" in the school year following a rating of "needs improvement" or "unsatisfactory".

- (m) dismissal in accordance with subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 24-16.5 or 34-85 of this Code of any teacher who fails to complete any applicable remediation plan with a rating equal to or better than a "satisfactory" or "proficient" rating. Districts and teachers subject to dismissal hearings are precluded from compelling the testimony of consulting teachers at such hearings under subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 24-16.5 or 34-85 of this Code, either as to the rating process or for opinions of performances by teachers under remediation.
- (n) After the implementation date of an evaluation system for teachers in a district as specified in Section 24A-2.5 of this Code, if a teacher in contractual continued service successfully completes a remediation plan following a rating of "unsatisfactory" in an annual or biennial overall performance evaluation received after the foregoing implementation date and receives a subsequent rating of "unsatisfactory" in any of the teacher's annual or biennial overall performance evaluation ratings received during the 36-month period following the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

teacher's completion of the remediation plan, then the school district may forego remediation and seek dismissal in accordance with subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 34-85 of this Code.

Nothing in this Section or Section 24A-4 shall be construed as preventing immediate dismissal of a teacher for deficiencies which are deemed irremediable or for actions which are injurious to or endanger the health or person of students in the classroom or school, or preventing the dismissal or non-renewal of teachers not in contractual continued service for any reason not prohibited by applicable employment, labor, and civil rights laws. Failure to strictly comply with the time requirements contained in Section 24A-5 shall not invalidate the results of the remediation plan.

Nothing contained in this amendatory Act of the 98th General Assembly repeals, supersedes, invalidates, or nullifies final decisions in lawsuits pending on the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 98th General Assembly in Illinois courts involving the interpretation of Public Act 97-8.

21 (Source: P.A. 97-8, eff. 6-13-11; 98-470, eff. 8-16-13; 98-648, eff. 7-1-14.)

23 (105 ILCS 5/24A-7) (from Ch. 122, par. 24A-7)

Sec. 24A-7. Rules. The State Board of Education is authorized to adopt such rules as are deemed necessary to

1	implement	and	accon	mplish	the	purpo	ses	and	provisions	of	this
2	Article,	inclu	ding,	but no	ot li	mited	to,	rule	es:		

- (1) (i) relating to the methods for measuring student growth (including, but not limited to, limitations on the age of <u>usable</u> useable data; the amount of data needed to reliably and validly measure growth for the purpose of teacher and principal evaluations; and whether and at what time annual State assessments may be used as one of multiple measures of student growth);
- (2), (ii) defining the term "significant factor" for purposes of including consideration of student growth in performance ratings;
- (3), (iii) controlling for such factors as student characteristics (including, but not limited to, students receiving special education and English Language Learner services), student attendance, and student mobility so as to best measure the impact that a teacher, principal, school and school district has on students' academic achievement;
- $\underline{(4)_{7}}$ (iv) establishing minimum requirements for district teacher and principal evaluation instruments and procedures: $\underline{\cdot}_{7}$ and
- (5) (v) establishing a model evaluation plan for use by school districts in which student growth shall comprise 50% of the performance rating.
- Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section, such

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

rules shall not preclude a school district having 500,000 or more inhabitants from using an annual State assessment as the sole measure of student growth for purposes of teacher or principal evaluations.

The State Superintendent of Education shall convene a Performance Evaluation Advisory Council, which shall be staffed by the State Board of Education. Members of the Council shall be selected by the State Superintendent and include, without limitation, representatives of teacher unions and school district management, persons with expertise performance evaluation processes and systems, as well as other stakeholders. The Council shall meet at least quarterly, and may also meet at the call of the chairperson of the Council, following August 18, 2017 (the effective date of Public Act 100-211) this amendatory Act of the 100th General Assembly until June 30, 2021. The Council shall advise the State Board of Education on the ongoing implementation of performance evaluations in this State, which may include gathering public feedback, sharing best practices, developing nonregulatory guidance on research-based and proven evaluation practices, consulting with the State Board on any proposed rule changes regarding evaluations, and other subjects as determined by the chairperson of the Council.

Prior to the applicable implementation date, these rules shall not apply to teachers assigned to schools identified in an agreement entered into between the board of a school

- district operating under Article 34 of this Code and the
- 2 exclusive representative of the district's teachers in
- 3 accordance with Section 34-85c of this Code.
- 4 (Source: P.A. 100-211, eff. 8-18-17; revised 7-15-19.)