

## 103RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY State of Illinois 2023 and 2024 SB3534

Introduced 2/9/2024, by Sen. Terri Bryant

## SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

730 ILCS 190/10

Amends the Illinois Crime Reduction Act of 2009. Provides that the policies, rules, and regulations adopted by the Parole Division and the Prisoner Review Board shall authorize and implement the use by the Department of Corrections of drug detecting scanning devices for supervised individuals packages and mail. Provides that the policies, rules, and regulations of the Department of Corrections shall authorize and implement the Department of Corrections use of drug detecting scanning devices for prisoners packages and mail for suspected drugs.

LRB103 38076 RLC 68208 b

1 AN ACT concerning criminal law.

## Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

- Section 5. The Illinois Crime Reduction Act of 2009 is amended by changing Section 10 as follows:
- 6 (730 ILCS 190/10)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- 7 Sec. 10. Evidence-based programming.
- 8 (a) Purpose. Research and practice have identified new 9 strategies and policies that can result in a significant reduction in recidivism rates and the successful 10 reintegration of offenders. The purpose of this Section is to 11 ensure that State and local agencies direct their resources to 12 13 services and programming that have been demonstrated to be 14 effective in reducing recidivism and reintegrating offenders into the locality. 15
  - (b) Evidence-based programming in local supervision.
  - (1) The Parole Division of the Department of Corrections and the Prisoner Review Board shall adopt policies, rules, and regulations that, within the first year of the adoption, validation, and utilization of the statewide, standardized risk assessment tool described in this Act, result in at least 25% of supervised individuals being supervised in accordance with evidence-based

practices; within 3 years of the adoption, validation, and utilization of the statewide, standardized risk assessment tool result in at least 50% of supervised individuals being supervised in accordance with evidence-based practices; and within 5 years of the adoption, validation, and utilization of the statewide, standardized risk assessment tool result in at least 75% of supervised individuals being supervised in accordance with evidence-based practices. The policies, rules, and regulations shall:

- (A) Provide for a standardized individual case plan that follows the offender through the criminal justice system (including in-prison if the supervised individual is in prison) that is:
  - (i) Based on the assets of the individual as well as his or her risks and needs identified through the assessment tool as described in this Act.
  - (ii) Comprised of treatment and supervision services appropriate to achieve the purpose of this Act.
  - (iii) Consistently updated, based on program participation by the supervised individual and other behavior modification exhibited by the supervised individual.
  - (B) Concentrate resources and services on

high-risk offenders.

- (C) Provide for the use of evidence-based programming related to education, job training, cognitive behavioral therapy, and other programming designed to reduce criminal behavior.
- (C-1) Authorize and implement the use by the Department of Corrections of drug detecting scanning devices for supervised individuals packages and mail.
  - (D) Establish a system of graduated responses.
  - (i) The system shall set forth a menu of presumptive responses for the most common types of supervision violations.
  - (ii) The system shall be guided by the model list of intermediate sanctions created by the Probation Services Division of the State of Illinois pursuant to subsection (1) of Section 15 of the Probation and Probation Officers Act and the system of intermediate sanctions created by the Chief Judge of each circuit court pursuant to Section 5-6-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections.
  - (iii) The system of responses shall take into account factors such as the severity of the current violation; the supervised individual's risk level as determined by a validated assessment tool described in this Act; the supervised individual's assets; his or her previous criminal

25

26

1	record; and the number and severity of any
2	previous supervision violations.
3	(iv) The system shall also define positive
4	reinforcements that supervised individuals may
5	receive for compliance with conditions of
6	supervision.
7	(v) Response to violations should be swift and
8	certain and should be imposed as soon as
9	practicable but no longer than 3 working days of
10	detection of the violation behavior.
11	(vi) The system of graduated responses shall
12	be published on the Department of Corrections
13	website for public view.
14	(2) Conditions of local supervision (probation and
15	mandatory supervised release). Conditions of local
16	supervision whether imposed by a sentencing judge or the
17	Prisoner Review Board shall be imposed in accordance with
18	the offender's risks, assets, and needs as identified
19	through the assessment tool described in this Act.
20	(3) The Department of Corrections and the Prisoner
21	Review Board shall annually publish an exemplar copy of
22	any evidence-based assessments, questionnaires, or other
23	instruments used to set conditions of release.

(1) The Department of Corrections shall adopt policies, rules, and regulations that, within the first

(c) Evidence-based in-prison programming.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

year of the adoption, validation, and utilization of the statewide, standardized risk assessment tool described in 25% this Act, result in at least of incarcerated individuals receiving services and programming accordance with evidence-based practices; within 3 years the adoption, validation, and utilization of the statewide, standardized risk assessment tool result in at least 50% of incarcerated individuals receiving services programming in accordance with evidence-based practices; and within 5 years of the adoption, validation, and utilization of the statewide, standardized risk assessment tool result in at least 75% of incarcerated individuals receiving services and programming accordance with evidence-based practices. The policies, rules, and regulations shall:

- (A) Provide for the use and development of a case plan based on the risks, assets, and needs identified through the assessment tool as described in this Act. The case plan should be used to determine in-prison programming; should be continuously updated based on program participation by the prisoner and other behavior modification exhibited by the prisoner; and should be used when creating the case plan described in subsection (b).
- (B) Provide for the use of evidence-based programming related to education, job training,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	cognitive	behavioral	therapy	and	other	evidence-based
2	programmin	ng.				

- (C) Establish education programs based on a teacher to student ratio of no more than 1:30.
- (D) Expand the use of drug prisons, modeled after the Sheridan Correctional Center, to provide sufficient drug treatment and other support services to non-violent inmates with a history of substance abuse.
- (E) Establish and implement the use of drug detecting devices for the scanning of all prisoner mail and packages for suspected drugs.
- (2) Participation and completion of programming by prisoners can impact earned time credit as determined under Section 3-6-3 of the Unified Code of Corrections.
- (3) The Department of Corrections shall provide its intensive and ongoing training employees with and professional development services to support the implementation of evidence-based practices. The training and professional development services shall include assessment techniques, case planning, cognitive behavioral training, risk reduction and intervention strategies, effective communication skills, substance abuse treatment education and other topics identified by the Department or its employees.
- (d) The Parole Division of the Department of Corrections

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- and the Prisoner Review Board shall provide their employees 1 2 ongoing training and professional with intensive and 3 development services to support the implementation evidence-based practices. The training and professional 5 development services shall include assessment techniques, case planning, cognitive behavioral training, risk reduction and 6 7 intervention strategies, effective communication skills, 8 substance abuse treatment education, and other 9 identified by the agencies or their employees.
  - (e) The Department of Corrections, the Prisoner Review Board, and other correctional entities referenced in the policies, rules, and regulations of this Act shall design, make public a system to evaluate implement, and effectiveness of evidence-based practices in increasing public safety and in successful reintegration of those supervision into the locality. Annually, each agency shall submit to the Sentencing Policy Advisory Council of comprehensive report on the success implementing evidence-based practices. The data compiled and analyzed by the Council shall be delivered annually to the Governor and the General Assembly.
    - (f) The Department of Corrections and the Prisoner Review Board shall release a report annually published on their websites that reports the following information about the usage of electronic monitoring and GPS monitoring as a condition of parole and mandatory supervised release during

Τ	the prior calendar year:
2	(1) demographic data of individuals on electronic
3	monitoring and GPS monitoring, separated by the following
4	categories:
5	(A) race or ethnicity;
6	(B) gender; and
7	(C) age;
8	(2) incarceration data of individuals subject to
9	conditions of electronic or GPS monitoring, separated by
10	the following categories:
11	(A) highest class of offense for which the
12	individuals are currently serving a term of release;
13	and
14	(B) length of imprisonment served prior to the
15	current release period;
16	(3) the number of individuals subject to conditions of
17	electronic or GPS monitoring, separated by the following
18	categories:
19	(A) the number of individuals subject to
20	monitoring under Section 5-8A-6 of the Unified Code of
21	Corrections;
22	(B) the number of individuals subject monitoring
23	under Section 5-8A-7 of the Unified Code of
24	Corrections;
25	(C) the number of individuals subject to
26	monitoring under a discretionary order of the Prisoner

1	Review Board at the time of their release; and
2	(D) the number of individuals subject to
3	monitoring as a sanction for violations of parole or
4	mandatory supervised release, separated by the
5	following categories:
6	(i) the number of individuals subject to
7	monitoring as part of a graduated sanctions
8	program; and
9	(ii) the number of individuals subject to
10	monitoring as a new condition of re-release after
11	a revocation hearing before the Prisoner Review
12	Board;
13	(4) the number of discretionary monitoring orders
14	issued by the Prisoner Review Board, separated by the
15	following categories:
16	(A) less than 30 days;
17	(B) 31 to 60 days;
18	(C) 61 to 90 days;
19	(D) 91 to 120 days;
20	(E) 121 to 150 days;
21	(F) 151 to 180 days;
22	(G) 181 to 364 days;
23	(H) 365 days or more; and
24	(I) duration of release term;
25	(5) the number of discretionary monitoring orders by
26	the Board which removed or terminated monitoring prior to

1	the completion of the original period ordered;
2	(6) the number and severity category for sanctions
3	imposed on individuals on electronic or GPS monitoring,
4	separated by the following categories:
5	(A) absconding from electronic monitoring or GPS;
6	(B) tampering or removing the electronic
7	monitoring or GPS device;
8	(C) unauthorized leaving of the residence;
9	(D) presence of the individual in a prohibited
10	area; or
11	(E) other violations of the terms of the
12	electronic monitoring program;
13	(7) the number of individuals for whom a parole
14	revocation case was filed for failure to comply with the
15	terms of electronic or GPS monitoring, separated by the
16	following categories:
17	(A) cases when failure to comply with the terms of
18	monitoring was the sole violation alleged; and
19	(B) cases when failure to comply with the terms of
20	monitoring was alleged in conjunction with other
21	alleged violations;
22	(8) residential data for individuals subject to
23	electronic or GPS monitoring, separated by the following
24	categories:
25	(A) the county of the residence address for
26	individuals subject to electronic or GPS monitoring as

a condition of their release; and

- (B) for counties with a population over 3,000,000, the zip codes of the residence address for individuals subject to electronic or GPS monitoring as a condition of their release:
- (9) the number of individuals for whom parole revocation cases were filed due to violations of paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of Section 3-3-7 of the Unified Code of Corrections, separated by the following categories:
  - (A) the number of individuals whose violation of paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of Section 3-3-7 of the Unified Code of Corrections allegedly occurred while the individual was subject to conditions of electronic or GPS monitoring;
  - (B) the number of individuals who had violations of paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of Section 3-3-7 of the Unified Code of Corrections alleged against them who were never subject to electronic or GPS monitoring during their current term of release; and
  - (C) the number of individuals who had violations of paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of Section 3-3-7 of the Unified Code of Corrections alleged against them who were subject to electronic or GPS monitoring for any period of time during their current term of their release, but who were not subject to such monitoring at the time of the alleged violation of paragraph (1)

- of subsection (a) of Section 3-3-7 of the Unified Code
- 2 of Corrections.
- 3 (Source: P.A. 102-558, eff. 8-20-21; 103-271, eff. 1-1-24.)