- 1 AN ACT in relation to criminal law.
- 2 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois,
- 3 represented in the General Assembly:
- 4 Section 5. The Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 is
- 5 amended by changing Section 115-10.1 and adding Section
- 6 115-10.6 as follows:
- 7 (725 ILCS 5/115-10.1) (from Ch. 38, par. 115-10.1)
- 8 Sec. 115-10.1. Admissibility of Prior Inconsistent
- 9 Statements. In all criminal cases, evidence of a statement
- 10 made by a witness is not made inadmissible by the hearsay
- 11 rule if
- 12 (a) the statement is inconsistent with his testimony at
- 13 the hearing or trial, and
- 14 (b) the witness is subject to cross-examination
- 15 concerning the statement, and
- 16 (c) the statement--
- 17 (1) was made under oath at a trial, hearing, or other
- 18 proceeding, or
- 19 (2) narrates, describes, or explains an event, or
- 20 condition, or statement made by a defendant of which the
- 21 witness had personal knowledge, and
- 22 (A) the statement is proved to have been written or
- 23 signed by the witness, or
- 24 (B) the witness acknowledged under oath the making of
- 25 the statement either in his testimony at the hearing or trial
- in which the admission into evidence of the prior statement
- is being sought, or at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding,
- 28 or
- 29 (C) the statement is proved to have been accurately
- 30 recorded by a tape recorder, videotape recording, or any
- 31 other similar electronic means of sound recording.

- 1 Nothing in this Section shall render a prior inconsistent
- 2 statement inadmissible for purposes of impeachment because
- 3 such statement was not recorded or otherwise fails to meet
- 4 the criteria set forth herein.
- 5 (Source: P.A. 83-1042.)
- 6 (725 ILCS 5/115-10.6 new)
- 7 <u>Sec. 115-10.6. Admissibility of prior violent acts by</u>
- 8 the victim.
- 9 <u>(a) In a prosecution for an offense involving the use of</u>
- 10 force by an accused when the accused asserts that he or she
- 11 was legally justified in the use of force and when there is
- 12 <u>evidence presented at trial on that issue</u>, the accused may
- 13 present evidence of the victim's prior violent acts if those
- 14 prior acts are relevant to the issue of who was the initial
- 15 <u>aggressor whether or not the accused was aware of those prior</u>
- 16 acts at the time of occurrence of the incident for which the
- 17 <u>accused is on trial.</u>
- (b) Upon the introduction of any evidence described in
- 19 <u>subsection</u> (a) by the accused, the State shall be allowed to
- 20 <u>introduce evidence of any prior violent acts committed by the</u>
- 21 <u>accused that are relevant to the issue of who was the initial</u>
- 22 <u>aggressor in the case on trial whether or not the accused</u>
- 23 <u>testifies at trial.</u>
- 24 (c) Nothing in this Section prevents the introduction of
- 25 <u>evidence of other acts for relevant and proper reasons.</u>
- 26 Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon
- 27 becoming law.