```
093_HB3390
```

LRB093 08570 RLC 08796 b

AN ACT concerning recording of statements in criminal
 investigations.

3 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois,4 represented in the General Assembly:

5 Section 2. The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act 6 is amended by adding Section 7.5 as follows:

7 (20 ILCS 3930/7.5 new)

8 <u>Sec. 7.5. Grants for electronic recording equipment.</u>

9 <u>(a) The Authority, from appropriations made to it for</u> 10 <u>that purpose, shall make grants to local law enforcement</u> 11 <u>agencies for the purpose of purchasing equipment for</u> 12 <u>electronic recording of interrogations.</u>

13 (b) The Authority shall promulgate rules to implement
14 this Section.

Section 5. The Illinois Police Training Act is amended by adding Section 10.3 as follows:

17 (50 ILCS 705/10.3 new)

Sec. 10.3. Training of police officers to conduct electronic interrogations. From appropriations made to it for that purpose, the Board shall initiate, administer, and conduct training programs for permanent police officers, part-time police officers, and recruits on the methods and technical aspects of conducting electronic recordings of interrogations.

25 Section 10. The Juvenile Court Act of 1987 is amended by 26 adding Section 5-401.5 as follows:

27

(705 ILCS 405/5-401.5 new)

-2- LRB093 08570 RLC 08796 b

Sec. 5-401.5. When statements by minor may be used.
(a) In this Section, "custodial interrogation" means any
interrogation (i) during which a reasonable person in the
subject's position, innocent of any crime, would consider
himself or herself to be in custody and (ii) during which a
question is asked that is reasonably likely to elicit an
incriminating response.

8 <u>In this Section, "electronic recording" includes motion</u> 9 <u>picture, audiotape, or videotape.</u>

10 <u>In this Section, "place of detention" means a building</u> 11 <u>under the control of a law enforcement agency at which</u> 12 <u>persons are or may be held in detention in connection with</u> 13 <u>criminal charges against those persons or allegations that</u> 14 <u>those persons are delinquent minors.</u>

15 (b) An oral or sign language statement of a minor who, at 16 the time of the commission of the offense was under the age 17 of 17 years, made as a result of a custodial interrogation conducted at a police station or other place of detention on 18 or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 19 20 93rd General Assembly shall be presumed to be inadmissible as evidence against the minor in any criminal proceeding or 21 22 juvenile court proceeding, for an act that if committed by an adult would be brought under Section 9-1, 9-1.2, 9-2, 9-2.1, 23 24 <u>9-3, 9-3.2, 9-3.3, 12-13, 12-14, 12-14.1, 12-15, or 12-16 of</u> the Criminal Code of 1961 unless: 25

26 <u>(1) an electronic recording is made of the</u> 27 <u>custodial interrogation;</u>

28 (2) the recording is accurate and has not been
29 altered; and

30 (3) not later than the 20th day before the date of 31 any proceeding in criminal or juvenile court at which the 32 statement is to be admitted as evidence against the 33 minor, the attorney representing the minor is permitted 34 to review a true, complete, and accurate copy of all recordings of the minor made under this Section.

1

2 (c) Every electronic recording of any statement made by a 3 minor during a custodial interrogation at a police station or 4 other place of detention must be preserved until such time as 5 the minor's adjudication for any offense relating to the 6 statement is final and all direct and habeas corpus appeals 7 are exhausted, or the prosecution of such offenses is barred 8 by law.

9 (d) If the court finds, by a preponderance of the 10 evidence, that the minor was subjected to a custodial 11 interrogation at a police station or other place of detention prior to the custodial interrogation at a police station or 12 other place of detention and after the effective date of this 13 amendatory Act of the 93rd General Assembly that was the 14 subject of the electronic recording, and if that prior 15 16 custodial interrogation at a police station or other place of 17 detention relating to the same offense was not recorded as required by this Section, then any statements made by the 18 minor during or following that non-recorded custodial 19 interrogation at a police station or other place of 20 detention, even if otherwise in compliance with this Section, 21 22 are presumed to be inadmissible in any criminal proceeding or juvenile court proceeding against the minor except for the 23 24 purposes of impeachment.

(e) Nothing in this Section precludes the admission (i) 25 of a statement made by the minor in open court in any 26 criminal proceeding or juvenile court proceeding, before a 27 grand jury, or at a preliminary hearing, (ii) of a statement 28 made during a custodial interrogation that was not recorded 29 as required by this Section because electronic recording was 30 31 not feasible, (iii) of a voluntary statement, whether or not the result of a custodial interrogation, that has a bearing 32 on the credibility of the accused as a witness, (iv) of a 33 statement made under exigent circumstances, (v) of a 34

1 spontaneous statement that is not made in response to a question, (vi) of a statement made after questioning that is 2 3 routinely asked during the processing of the arrest of the 4 suspect, (vii) of a statement made during a custodial interrogation by a suspect who agrees, prior to making the 5 statement, to respond to the interrogator's questions only if 6 an electronic recording is not made of the statement, 7 provided that an electronic recording is made of the 8 9 statement of agreeing to respond to the interrogator's 10 question, only if a recording is not made of the statement, (viii) of a statement made during a custodial interrogation 11 12 that is conducted out-of-state, (ix) of a statement made by a suspect who is being interrogated simultaneously with other 13 suspects concerning the same offense, but only to the extent 14 15 that no electric recording equipment is available because it 16 is being utilized for the interrogations of the other 17 suspects for the same offense, (x) of a statement given at a time when the interrogators are unaware that a death or an 18 act of sexual assault or sexual conduct has in fact occurred, 19 or (xi) of any other statement that may be admissible under 20 law. The State shall bear the burden of proving, by a 21 22 preponderance of the evidence, that one of the exceptions 23 described in this subsection (e) is applicable. Nothing in 24 this Section precludes the admission of a statement, 25 otherwise inadmissible under this Section, that is used only for impeachment and not as substantive evidence. 26

27 (f) The presumption of inadmissibility of a statement 28 made by a suspect at a custodial interrogation may be 29 overcome by a preponderance of the evidence that the 30 statement was voluntarily given and is reliable, based on the 31 totality of the circumstances.

32 Section 15. The Criminal Code of 1961 is amended by 33 changing Section 14-3 as follows: -5- LRB093 08570 RLC 08796 b

1

(720 ILCS 5/14-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 14-3)

Sec. 14-3. Exemptions. The following activities shall
be exempt from the provisions of this Article:

4 (a) Listening to radio, wireless and television
5 communications of any sort where the same are publicly made;

6 (b) Hearing conversation when heard by employees of any 7 common carrier by wire incidental to the normal course of 8 their employment in the operation, maintenance or repair of 9 the equipment of such common carrier by wire so long as no 10 information obtained thereby is used or divulged by the 11 hearer;

12 (c) Any broadcast by radio, television or otherwise 13 whether it be a broadcast or recorded for the purpose of 14 later broadcasts of any function where the public is in 15 attendance and the conversations are overheard incidental to 16 the main purpose for which such broadcasts are then being 17 made;

(d) Recording or listening with the aid of any device to 18 any emergency communication made in the normal course of 19 operations by any federal, state or local law enforcement 20 21 agency or institutions dealing in emergency services, including, but not limited to, hospitals, clinics, ambulance 22 23 services, fire fighting agencies, any public utility, emergency repair facility, civilian defense establishment or 24 25 military installation;

26 (e) Recording the proceedings of any meeting required to27 be open by the Open Meetings Act, as amended;

(f) Recording or listening with the aid of any device to incoming telephone calls of phone lines publicly listed or advertised as consumer "hotlines" by manufacturers or retailers of food and drug products. Such recordings must be destroyed, erased or turned over to local law enforcement authorities within 24 hours from the time of such recording and shall not be otherwise disseminated. Failure on the part 1 of the individual or business operating any such recording or 2 listening device to comply with the requirements of this subsection shall eliminate any civil or criminal immunity 3 4 conferred upon that individual or business by the operation 5 of this Section;

(g) With prior notification to the State's Attorney of 6 7 the county in which it is to occur, recording or listening 8 with the aid of any device to any conversation where a law enforcement officer, or any person acting at the direction of 9 law enforcement, is a party to the conversation and has 10 11 consented to it being intercepted or recorded under 12 circumstances where the use of the device is necessary for the protection of the law enforcement officer or any person 13 acting at the direction of law enforcement, in the course of 14 15 investigation of a forcible felony,-a-felony-violation-of an 16 the-Illinois-Controlled-Substances-Act,-a-felony-violation-of 17 the-Cannabis-Control-Act, or any "streetgang related" or "gang-related" felony as those terms are defined in the 18 19 Illinois Streetgang Terrorism Omnibus Prevention Act. Any recording or evidence derived as the result of this exemption 20 21 shall be inadmissible in any proceeding, criminal, civil or 22 administrative, except (i) where a party to the conversation 23 great bodily injury or is killed during such suffers conversation, or (ii) when used as direct impeachment of 24 а 25 witness concerning matters contained in the interception or 26 recording. The Director of the Department of State Police 27 shall issue regulations as are necessary concerning the use of devices, retention of tape recordings, and 28 reports 29 regarding their use;

30 (g-5) With approval of the State's Attorney of the county in which it is to occur, recording or listening with 31 32 the aid of any device to any conversation where a law enforcement officer, or any person acting at the direction of 33 34 law enforcement, is a party to the conversation and has

1 consented to it being intercepted or recorded in the course 2 of an investigation of any offense defined in Article 29D of this Code. In all such cases, an application for an order 3 4 approving the previous or continuing use of an eavesdropping device must be made within 48 hours of the commencement of 5 such use. In the absence of such an order, or upon its 6 7 denial, any continuing use shall immediately terminate. The 8 Director of State Police shall issue rules as are necessary concerning the use of devices, retention of tape recordings, 9 and reports regarding their use. 10

11 Any recording or evidence obtained or derived in the course of an investigation of any offense defined in Article 12 13 29D of this Code shall, upon motion of the State's Attorney or Attorney General prosecuting any violation of Article 29D, 14 15 be reviewed in camera with notice to all parties present by 16 the court presiding over the criminal case, and, if ruled by the court to be relevant and otherwise admissible, it shall 17 be admissible at the trial of the criminal case. 18

19 This subsection (g-5) is inoperative on and after January 20 1, 2005. No conversations recorded or monitored pursuant to 21 this subsection (g-5) shall be inadmissable in a court of law 22 by virtue of the repeal of this subsection (g-5) on January 23 1, 2005*i*=

(h) Recordings made simultaneously with a video recording of an oral conversation between a peace officer, who has identified his or her office, and a person stopped for an investigation of an offense under the Illinois Vehicle Code;

(i) Recording of a conversation made by or at the request of a person, not a law enforcement officer or agent of a law enforcement officer, who is a party to the conversation, under reasonable suspicion that another party to the conversation is committing, is about to commit, or has committed a criminal offense against the person or a member of his or her immediate household, and there is reason to believe that evidence of the criminal offense may be obtained by the recording; and

4 The use of a telephone monitoring device by either (j) 5 (1) a corporation or other business entity engaged in б marketing or opinion research or (2) a corporation or other 7 business entity engaged in telephone solicitation, as defined 8 in this subsection, to record or listen to oral telephone 9 solicitation conversations or marketing or opinion research conversations by an employee of the corporation or other 10 11 business entity when:

(i) the monitoring is used for the purpose of service quality control of marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, the education or training of employees or contractors engaged in marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, or internal research related to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation; and

(ii) the monitoring is used with the consent of at
least one person who is an active party to the marketing
or opinion research conversation or telephone
solicitation conversation being monitored.

23 No communication or conversation or any part, portion, or aspect of the communication or conversation made, acquired, 24 25 or obtained, directly or indirectly, under this exemption (j), may be, directly or indirectly, furnished to any law 26 enforcement officer, agency, or official for any purpose or 27 used in any inquiry or investigation, or used, directly or 28 29 indirectly, in any administrative, judicial, or other 30 proceeding, or divulged to any third party.

When recording or listening authorized by this subsection (j) on telephone lines used for marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation purposes results in recording or listening to a conversation that does not relate to marketing -9- LRB093 08570 RLC 08796 b

1 or opinion research or telephone solicitation; the person 2 recording or listening shall, immediately upon determining 3 that the conversation does not relate to marketing or opinion 4 research or telephone solicitation, terminate the recording 5 or listening and destroy any such recording as soon as is 6 practicable.

Business entities that use a telephone monitoring or telephone recording system pursuant to this exemption (j) shall provide current and prospective employees with notice that the monitoring or recordings may occur during the course of their employment. The notice shall include prominent signage notification within the workplace.

Business entities that use a telephone monitoring or telephone recording system pursuant to this exemption (j) shall provide their employees or agents with access to personal-only telephone lines which may be pay telephones, that are not subject to telephone monitoring or telephone recording.

19 For the purposes of this subsection (j), "telephone 20 solicitation" means a communication through the use of a 21 telephone by live operators:

22

(i) soliciting the sale of goods or services;

23 (ii) receiving orders for the sale of goods or 24 services;

(iii) assisting in the use of goods or services; or
(iv) engaging in the solicitation, administration,
or collection of bank or retail credit accounts.

For the purposes of this subsection (j), "marketing or opinion research" means a marketing or opinion research interview conducted by a live telephone interviewer engaged by a corporation or other business entity whose principal business is the design, conduct, and analysis of polls and surveys measuring the opinions, attitudes, and responses of respondents toward products and services, or social or 1

political issues, or both<u>;</u>.

2 (k) Electronic recordings, including but not limited to, 3 a motion picture, videotape, or other visual and audio 4 recording, made of a custodial interrogation of an individual 5 at a police station or other place of detention by a law 6 enforcement officer under Section 5-401.5 of the Juvenile 7 Court Act of 1987 or Section 103-2.1 of the Code of Criminal 8 Procedure of 1963; and

9 (1) With prior notification to and verbal approval of 10 the State's Attorney or his or her designee of the county in 11 which the conversation is anticipated to occur, recording or listening with the aid of an eavesdropping device to a 12 conversation in which a law enforcement officer, or any 13 person acting at the direction of a law enforcement officer, 14 15 is a party to an undercover conversation and has consented to 16 the conversation being intercepted or recorded in the course of an investigation of a felony violation of the Illinois 17 Controlled Substances Act or a felony violation of the 18 19 Cannabis Control Act. The Director of State Police shall adopt any necessary rules concerning the use of devices, 20 retention of recording media, and reports regarding their 21 22 <u>use.</u>

23 (Source: P.A. 91-357, eff. 7-29-99; 92-854, eff. 12-5-02.)

24 Section 20. The Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 is 25 amended by adding Section 103-2.1 as follows:

26

(725 ILCS 5/103-2.1 new)

27	Sec. 103-2.1. When statements by accused may be used.
28	(a) In this Section, "custodial interrogation" means any
29	interrogation during which (i) a reasonable person in the
30	subject's position, innocent of any crime, would consider
31	himself or herself to be in custody and (ii) during which a
32	question is asked that is reasonably likely to elicit an

1 <u>incriminating response.</u>

2 In this Section, "place of detention" means a building 3 under the control of a law enforcement agency at which 4 persons are or may be held in detention in connection with 5 criminal charges against those persons.

In this Section, "electronic recording" includes motion
picture, audiotape, or videotape.

8 (b) An oral or sign language statement of an accused made 9 as a result of a custodial interrogation at a police station 10 or other place of detention shall be presumed to be 11 inadmissible as evidence against the accused in any criminal 12 proceeding brought under Section 9-1, 9-1.2, 9-2, 9-2.1, 9-3, 13 9-3.2, 9-3.3, 12-13, 12-14, 12-14.1, 12-15, or 12-16 of the 14 Criminal Code of 1961 unless:

15 (1) an electronic recording is made of the custodial 16 interrogation;

17 (2) the recording is accurate and has not been 18 <u>altered; and</u>

19 (3) not later than the 20th day before the date of 20 any criminal proceeding at which the statement is to be 21 offered as evidence against the defendant, the attorney 22 representing the defendant is permitted to review a true, 23 complete, and accurate copy of all recordings of the 24 defendant made under this Section.

25 (c) Every electronic recording of any statement made by 26 an accused during a custodial interrogation at a police 27 station or other place of detention must be preserved until 28 such time as the defendant's conviction for any offense 29 relating to the statement is final and all direct and habeas 30 corpus appeals are exhausted, or the prosecution of such 31 offenses is barred by law.

32 (d) If the court finds, by a preponderance of the 33 evidence, that the defendant was subjected to a custodial 34 interrogation at a police station or other place of detention

1 prior to the custodial interrogation at a police station or 2 other place of detention and after the effective date of this 3 amendatory Act of the 93rd General Assembly that was the 4 subject of the electronic recording, and if that prior 5 custodial interrogation at a police station or other place of detention relating to the same offense was not recorded as 6 7 required by this Section, then any statements made by the 8 defendant during or following that non-recorded custodial 9 interrogation at a police station or other place of 10 detention, even if otherwise in compliance with this Section, 11 are presumed to be inadmissible in any criminal proceeding against the defendant except for the purposes of impeachment. 12 13 (e) Nothing in this Section precludes the admission (i) of a statement made by the accused in open court at his or 14 15 her trial, before a grand jury, or at a preliminary hearing, 16 (ii) of a statement made during a custodial interrogation 17 that was not recorded as required by this Section, because electronic recording was not feasible, (iii) of a voluntary 18 statement, whether or not the result of a custodial 19 interrogation, that has a bearing on the credibility of the 20 21 accused as a witness, (iv) of a statement made under exigent 22 circumstances, (v) of a spontaneous statement that is not made in response to a question, (vi) of a statement made 23 24 after questioning that is routinely asked during the processing of the arrest of the suspect, (vii) of a statement 25 made during a custodial interrogation by a suspect who 26 agrees, prior to making the statement, to respond to the 27 interrogator's questions only if an electronic recording is 28 not made of the statement, provided that an electronic 29 recording is made of the statement of agreeing to respond to 30 the interrogator's question, only if a recording is not made 31 of the statement, (viii) of a statement made during a 32 custodial interrogation that is conducted out-of-state, (ix) 33 of a statement made by a suspect who is being interrogated 34

1 simultaneously with other suspects concerning the same 2 offense, but only to the extent that no electronic recording 3 equipment (video or audio) is available because it is being 4 utilized for the interrogations of the other suspects for the same offense, (x) of a statement given at a time when the 5 interrogators are unaware that a death or an act of sexual 6 7 assault or sexual conduct has in fact occurred, or (xi) of 8 any other statement that may be admissible under law. The 9 State shall bear the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that one of the exceptions described in this 10 subsection (e) is applicable. Nothing in this Section 11 precludes the admission of a statement, otherwise 12 inadmissible under this Section, that is used only for 13 impeachment and not as substantive evidence. 14

15 <u>(f) The presumption of inadmissibility of a statement</u> 16 <u>made by a suspect at a custodial interrogation may be</u> 17 <u>overcome by a preponderance of the evidence that the</u> 18 <u>statement was voluntarily given and is reliable, based on the</u> 19 <u>totality of the circumstances.</u>

20 Section 95. The State Mandates Act is amended by adding 21 Section 8.27 as follows:

22 (30 ILCS 805/8.27 new)

23 <u>Sec. 8.27. Exempt mandate. Notwithstanding Sections 6</u> 24 and 8 of this Act, no reimbursement by the State is required 25 for the implementation of any mandate created by this 26 amendatory Act of the 93rd General Assembly.

27 Section 99. Effective date. Sections 2, 5, 15, and 95 28 of this Act and this Section 99 take effect upon becoming 29 law. Sections 10 and 20 of this Act take effect 2 years 30 after becoming law.

1	INDEX
2	Statutes amended in order of appearance
3	20 ILCS 3930/7.5 new
4	50 ILCS 705/10.3 new
5	705 ILCS 405/5-401.5 new
6	720 ILCS 5/14-3 from Ch. 38, par. 14-3
7	725 ILCS 5/103-2.1 new
8	30 ILCS 805/8.27 new