

## Judiciary I - Civil Law Committee

## Filed: 3/2/2005

09400HB0254ham001

LRB094 04965 BDD 41884 a

2 AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_. Amend House Bill 254 by replacing

AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 254

3 everything after the enacting clause with the following:

4 "Section 5. The Children and Family Services Act is amended

by changing Section 9.4 as follows:

6 (20 ILCS 505/9.4) (from Ch. 23, par. 5009.4)

Sec. 9.4. Investigation; waiver; and determination. The Department shall review the forms or questionnaires returned by each parent or guardian and supplement the information provided therein, where required, by such additional consultations with the parent or guardian and such other investigations as may be necessary and, applying the standard and regulations established by the Department, shall determine whether and the extent to which, the parent or guardian individually or together in any combination, are reasonably able to provide parental payment for care and training of their children.

The Department, by rule, may conduct periodic or other reinvestigations and redeterminations of the financial ability of parents or guardians. Any redeterminations shall have the effect of altering, amending, or modifying previous determinations. However, any redetermination which established liability for parental payment of reimbursement, or which increases the support or reimbursement liability specified in a prior order, shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9.9

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

in the administrative and judicial review procedures herein 1 2 provided for original orders.

The Department, by rule, must grant a waiver for future and past parental payments to:

- (1) a parent or quardian who regains custody where payment would cause a hardship to the family;
- (2) a parent or guardian who is making reasonable efforts and reasonable progress to regain custody of the child, where payment would impede reunification; or
- (3) a parent or guardian of a child who was adjudicated 10 11 dependent under subsection (c) of Section 2-4 of the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 solely because the child was 12 without proper medical or other remedial care recognized 13 under State law or other care necessary for his or her 14 well-being through no fault, neglect, or lack of concern by 15 his or her parents, quardian, or custodian. 16
  - No later than January 1, 2006, the Department shall file a proposed rule or a proposed amendment to an existing rule setting out the procedures for applying for a waiver and the standards for determining eligibility.
- 21 (Source: P.A. 83-1037.)
- Section 10. The Juvenile Court Act of 1987 is amended by 22 23 changing Section 2-18 as follows:
- 24 (705 ILCS 405/2-18) (from Ch. 37, par. 802-18)
- Sec. 2-18. Evidence. 25
- 26 (1) At the adjudicatory hearing, the court shall first 27 consider only the question whether the minor is abused, neglected or dependent. The standard of proof and the rules of 28 29 evidence in the nature of civil proceedings in this State are 30 applicable to proceedings under this Article. If the petition 31 also seeks the appointment of a guardian of the person with power to consent to adoption of the minor under Section 2-29, 32

the court may also consider legally admissible evidence at the adjudicatory hearing that one or more grounds of unfitness exists under subdivision D of Section 1 of the Adoption Act.

- (2) In any hearing under this Act, the following shall constitute prima facie evidence of abuse or neglect, as the case may be:
  - (a) proof that a minor has a medical diagnosis of battered child syndrome is prima facie evidence of abuse;
  - (b) proof that a minor has a medical diagnosis of failure to thrive syndrome is prima facie evidence of neglect;
  - (c) proof that a minor has a medical diagnosis of fetal alcohol syndrome is prima facie evidence of neglect;
  - (d) proof that a minor has a medical diagnosis at birth of withdrawal symptoms from narcotics or barbiturates is prima facie evidence of neglect;
  - (e) proof of injuries sustained by a minor or of the condition of a minor of such a nature as would ordinarily not be sustained or exist except by reason of the acts or omissions of the parent, custodian or guardian of such minor shall be prima facie evidence of abuse or neglect, as the case may be;
  - (f) proof that a parent, custodian or guardian of a minor repeatedly used a drug, to the extent that it has or would ordinarily have the effect of producing in the user a substantial state of stupor, unconsciousness, intoxication, hallucination, disorientation or incompetence, or a substantial impairment of judgment, or a substantial manifestation of irrationality, shall be prima facie evidence of neglect;
  - (g) proof that a parent, custodian, or guardian of a minor repeatedly used a controlled substance, as defined in subsection (f) of Section 102 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, in the presence of the minor or a sibling

of the minor is prima facie evidence of neglect. "Repeated use", for the purpose of this subsection, means more than one use of a controlled substance as defined in subsection (f) of Section 102 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act:

- (h) proof that a newborn infant's blood, urine, or meconium contains any amount of a controlled substance as defined in subsection (f) of Section 102 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, or a metabolite of a controlled substance, with the exception of controlled substances or metabolites of those substances, the presence of which is the result of medical treatment administered to the mother or the newborn, is prime facie evidence of neglect;
- (i) proof that a minor was present in a structure or vehicle in which the minor's parent, custodian, or guardian was involved in the manufacture of methamphetamine constitutes prima facie evidence of abuse and neglect.
- (3) In any hearing under this Act, proof of the abuse, neglect or dependency of one minor shall be admissible evidence on the issue of the abuse, neglect or dependency of any other minor for whom the respondent is responsible.
- (4) (a) Any writing, record, photograph or x-ray of any hospital or public or private agency, whether in the form of an entry in a book or otherwise, made as a memorandum or record of any condition, act, transaction, occurrence or event relating to a minor in an abuse, neglect or dependency proceeding, shall be admissible in evidence as proof of that condition, act, transaction, occurrence or event, if the court finds that the document was made in the regular course of the business of the hospital or agency and that it was in the regular course of such business to make it, at the time of the act, transaction, occurrence or event, or within a reasonable time thereafter. Any portion of a document that contains an opinion as to a respondent's ability to care for the minor or the minor's bond

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- to the respondent is not admissible under this Section unless 1 the individual who authored that opinion testifies as to that 2 opinion. A certification by the head or responsible employee of 3 4 the hospital or agency that the writing, record, photograph or 5 x-ray is the full and complete record of the condition, act, transaction, occurrence or event and that it satisfies the 6 7 conditions of this paragraph shall be prima facie evidence of the facts contained in such certification. A certification by 8 someone other than the head of the hospital or agency shall be 9 10 accompanied by a photocopy of a delegation of authority signed by both the head of the hospital or agency and by such other 11 employee. All other circumstances of the making of the 12 memorandum, record, photograph or x-ray, including lack of 13 14 personal knowledge of the maker, may be proved to affect the 15 weight to be accorded such evidence, but shall not affect its 16 admissibility.
  - (b) Any indicated report filed pursuant to the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act shall be admissible in evidence.
  - (c) Previous statements made by the minor relating to any allegations of abuse or neglect shall be admissible in evidence. However, no such statement, if uncorroborated and not subject to cross-examination, shall be sufficient in itself to support a finding of abuse or neglect.
  - (d) There shall be a rebuttable presumption that a minor is competent to testify in abuse or neglect proceedings. The court shall determine how much weight to give to the minor's testimony, and may allow the minor to testify in chambers with only the court, the court reporter and attorneys for the parties present.
  - (e) The privileged character of communication between any professional person and patient or client, except privilege between attorney and client, shall not apply to proceedings subject to this Article.
    - (f) Proof of the impairment of emotional health or

- impairment of mental or emotional condition as a result of the failure of the respondent to exercise a minimum degree of care toward a minor may include competent opinion or expert testimony, and may include proof that such impairment lessened during a period when the minor was in the care, custody or supervision of a person or agency other than the respondent.
  - (5) In any hearing under this Act alleging neglect for failure to provide education as required by law under subsection (1) of Section 2-3, proof that a minor under 13 years of age who is subject to compulsory school attendance under the School Code is a chronic truant as defined under the School Code shall be prima facie evidence of neglect by the parent or guardian in any hearing under this Act and proof that a minor who is 13 years of age or older who is subject to compulsory school attendance under the School Code is a chronic truant shall raise a rebuttable presumption of neglect by the parent or guardian. This subsection (5) shall not apply in counties with 2,000,000 or more inhabitants.
    - (6) In any hearing under this Act, the court may take judicial notice of prior sworn testimony or evidence admitted in prior proceedings involving the same minor if (a) the parties were either represented by counsel at such prior proceedings or the right to counsel was knowingly waived and (b) the taking of judicial notice would not result in admitting hearsay evidence at a hearing where it would otherwise be prohibited.
- 27 (Source: P.A. 93-884, eff. 1-1-05.)".