

Judiciary I - Civil Law Committee

Filed: 10/14/2009

	09600HB4628ham001	LRB096 14542 KTG 29881 a
1	AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 4628	
2	AMENDMENT NO Amend House	Bill 4628 as follows:
3	on page 28, line 13, by deleting "ente	ered into on or"; and
4	on page 28, by deleting line 14; and	
5	on page 28, line 15, by deleting " <u>Gene</u>	eral Assembly"; and
6 7	on page 28, line 21, by deleting "th and replacing it with "January 1, 2009	_
8	on page 28, line 22, by deleting "amendatory Act of the 96th General Assembly"; and	
10	on page 29, by deleting lines 15 through 20; and	
11	on page 31, by replacing lines	19 through 26 with the

following:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"(3) The successor manufacturer proves that it would have had good cause to terminate the franchise agreement of the former franchisee, or the successor of the former franchisee under item (e) (10) in the event that the former franchisee is deceased or disabled. The determination of whether the successor manufacturer would have had good cause to terminate the franchise agreement of the former franchisee, or the successor of the former franchisee, shall be made by the Board under subsection (d) of Section 12. A successor manufacturer that seeks to assert that it would have had good cause to terminate a former franchisee, or the successor of the former franchisee, must file a petition seeking a hearing on this issue before the Board and shall have the burden of proving that it would have had good cause to terminate the former franchisee or the successor of the former franchisee. No successor dealer, other than the former franchisee, may be appointed or franchised by the successor manufacturer within the relevant market area of the former franchisee until the Board has held a hearing and rendered a determination on the issue of whether the successor manufacturer would have had good cause to terminate the former franchisee."; and

on page 32, by deleting lines 1 through 12.