97TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

State of Illinois

2011 and 2012

HB1056

Introduced 02/03/11, by Rep. Darlene J. Senger

SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

65 ILCS 5/11-13-25

Amends the Illinois Municipal Code. Establishes procedural rules that apply when a municipality makes a decision in regard to any petition or application for special use, variance, rezoning, or other amendment to a zoning ordinance that is subject to judicial review because of an action brought by an interest party. Defines interested party to mean the petitioner, the municipality, any unit of government having jurisdiction over the territory that is the subject of the petition, or any person or entity required to be given notice of the public hearing by mail. Sets forth the due process procedures concerning notice, subpoenas, the right to present evidence at a hearing, and meetings for purposes of case management. Effective immediately.

LRB097 06363 KMW 46444 b

HB1056

1

AN ACT concerning local government.

2 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, 3 represented in the General Assembly:

4 Section 5. The Illinois Municipal Code is amended by 5 changing Section 11-13-25 as follows:

6 (65 ILCS 5/11-13-25)

7 Sec. 11-13-25. Actions subject to de novo review; due 8 process.

9 (a) Any decision by the corporate authorities of any 10 municipality, home rule or non-home rule, in regard to any 11 petition or application for a special use, variance, rezoning, 12 or other amendment to a zoning ordinance shall be subject to de 13 novo judicial review as a legislative decision, regardless of 14 whether the process in relation thereto is considered 15 administrative for other purposes.

16 (b) Any action seeking the judicial review of such a 17 decision shall be brought by an interested party commenced not 18 later than 90 days after the date of the decision, and after 19 giving 5 days' written notice to the corporate authorities, by 20 filing a complaint for review of the zoning decision in the 21 circuit court. The case shall be set for early hearing as in 22 the case of a motion.

23

(c) (b) The principles of substantive and procedural due

process apply at all stages of the decision-making and review of all zoning decisions. <u>In accordance with those principles</u>, and notwithstanding the provisions of Section 11-13-7a of this Article:

5

HB1056

5 <u>(1) notice shall be given as required by the statute</u> 6 <u>governing the zoning relief requested, or as may otherwise</u> 7 be legally required;

8 (2) the Chairman of the Board, commission, or other 9 hearing body, or the hearing officer, shall consider any request for subpoenas, and may issue subpoenas that are 10 11 reasonably expected to lead to relevant documents or 12 testimony; subpoenas shall be enforceable only against persons or for documents which have a substantial 13 14 evidentiary connection with (i) the property that is the subject of the zoning petition, (ii) facts that support or 15 16 negate the requisite legal standards for granting the zoning relief sought in the petition, and (iii) facts that 17 support or negate the conclusion that property owned or 18 19 resided upon by interested parties will be substantially 20 affected by the outcome of the decision on the petition; all matters relating to subpoenas concerning particular 21 22 zoning relief, including all enforcement and motions to 23 quash, shall be heard in a single action to be filed not 24 later than 14 days after the date of a decision on the 25 issuance of subpoenas, however, the court obtaining 26 jurisdiction over any such matter may retain jurisdiction

- 3 - LRB097 06363 KMW 46444 b

1	until the disposition of the case by the municipality;
2	service of those subpoenas shall be made in the same manner
3	as summons in a civil action;
4	(3) at the hearing on the petition, all interested
5	parties shall have the right to present evidence and
6	witnesses on their behalf, subject to reasonable
7	limitations as to time, relevance to the legal standards in
8	issue applicable to the zoning relief sought in the
9	petition, redundancy, and the efficiencies of an orderly
10	proceeding;
11	(4) at the hearing on the petition, interested parties
12	shall have the right to question the petitioner and other
13	interested parties, subject to reasonable limitations as
14	to time, relevance to the legal standards in issue
15	applicable to the zoning relief sought in the petition,
16	redundancy, and the efficiencies of an orderly proceeding;
17	and
18	(5) the Chairman of the Board, commission, or other
19	hearing body, or the hearing officer, may, before or during
20	the hearing, convene a meeting of the interested parties
21	for purposes of case management and establishing a
22	pre-hearing or hearing plan to govern the presentation of
23	testimony and other evidence, questioning, relevance, time
24	limitations, and other reasonable considerations that
25	promote an efficient and orderly hearing; any and all
26	objections relating to a hearing plan or other rules

- 4 - LRB097 06363 KMW 46444 b

1	established for hearings, pursuant to this paragraph,
2	shall be waived unless made at the meeting, and shall be
3	subject to judicial review in a single action that must be
4	filed no later than 7 days after the date such hearing plan
5	or rules are established, however, the court obtaining
6	jurisdiction over any such matter may retain jurisdiction
7	until the disposition of the case by the municipality.
8	(d) For purposes of this Section, an "interested party"
9	means the petitioner, the municipality, any unit of government
10	having jurisdiction over the territory that is the subject of
11	the petition, or any person or entity required to be given
12	notice of the public hearing by mail.
13	(Source: P.A. 94-1027, eff. 7-14-06; 95-843, eff. 1-1-09.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.