

97TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY State of Illinois 2011 and 2012 HB5161

Introduced 2/8/2012, by Rep. Kelly Burke

SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

740 ILCS 21/80 740 ILCS 22/213 750 ILCS 60/214

from Ch. 40, par. 2312-14

Amends the Stalking No Contact Order Act, the Civil No Contact Order Act, and the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986 concerning the educational placement of minor respondents. Provides that the change of educational placement of a student with a disability who has an individualized educational plan (IEP) or a Section 504 plan pursuant to the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 shall be governed by the procedures provided in those Acts, case law, federal regulations, the School Code and administrative rules adopted by the State Board of Education. In language making the parents or legal guardians responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the change of school by the respondent if the court orders a transfer of the respondent to another school, creates an exception if the court finds that the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent is unable to afford those costs and the imposition of costs would prohibit the respondent from attending school. Provides that: costs may not be imposed for special education and related services required under a respondent's IEP or Section 504 plan including transportation whether or not listed on the respondent's IEP as a related service; and the court should seek the advice of appropriate local school officials and personnel to ascertain the special education status of the petitioner and the respondent, to assess the feasibility and details of a safety plan designed to protect the petitioner during school hours, on school property and at school-sponsored events, and to weigh options for alternative educational programs or placements for the respondent. Deletes language providing that the respondent bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a transfer, change of placement, or change of program of the respondent is not available. Makes other changes. Effective immediately.

LRB097 18261 AJO 63487 b

1 AN ACT concerning civil law.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

- Section 5. The Stalking No Contact Order Act is amended by changing Section 80 as follows:
- 6 (740 ILCS 21/80)
- 7 Sec. 80. Stalking no contact orders; remedies.
- 8 (a) If the court finds that the petitioner has been a 9 victim of stalking, a stalking no contact order shall issue; provided that the petitioner must also satisfy the requirements 10 of Section 95 on emergency orders or Section 100 on plenary 11 orders. The petitioner shall not be denied a stalking no 12 13 contact order because the petitioner or the respondent is a 14 minor. The court, when determining whether or not to issue a stalking no contact order, may not require physical injury on 15 16 the person of the petitioner. Modification and extension of 17 prior stalking no contact orders shall be in accordance with this Act. 18
- (b) A stalking no contact order shall order one or more of the following:
- 21 (1) prohibit the respondent from threatening to commit 22 or committing stalking;
- 23 (2) order the respondent not to have any contact with

the petitioner or a third person specifically named by the court;

- (3) prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within a specified distance of the petitioner or the petitioner's residence, school, daycare, or place of employment, or any specified place frequented by the petitioner; however, the court may order the respondent to stay away from the respondent's own residence, school, or place of employment only if the respondent has been provided actual notice of the opportunity to appear and be heard on the petition;
- (4) prohibit the respondent from possessing a Firearm Owners Identification Card, or possessing or buying firearms; and
- (5) order other injunctive relief the court determines to be necessary to protect the petitioner or third party specifically named by the court.
- (b-5) When the petitioner and the respondent attend the same public, private, or non-public elementary, middle, or high school, the court when issuing a stalking no contact order and providing relief shall consider the severity of the act, any continuing physical danger or emotional distress to the petitioner, the educational rights guaranteed to the petitioner and respondent under federal and State law, the availability of a transfer of the respondent to another school, a change of placement or a change of program of the respondent,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the expense, difficulty, and educational disruption that would be caused by a transfer of the respondent to another school, and any other relevant facts of the case. The court may order that the respondent not attend the public, private, non-public elementary, middle, or high school attended by the petitioner, order that the respondent accept a change of placement or program, as determined by the school district or private or non-public school, or place restrictions on the respondent's movements within the school attended by the petitioner. The respondent bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a transfer, change of placement, or change of program of the respondent is not available. The respondent also bears the burden of production with respect to the expense, difficulty, and educational disruption that would be caused by a transfer of the respondent to another school. A transfer, change of placement, or change of program is not unavailable to the respondent solely on the ground that the respondent does not agree with the school district's or private or non-public school's transfer, change of placement, or change of program or solely on the ground that the respondent fails or refuses to consent to or otherwise does not take an action required to effectuate a transfer, change of placement, or change of program. When a court orders a respondent to stay away from the public, private, or non-public school attended by the petitioner and the respondent requests a transfer to another attendance center within the respondent's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

school district or private or non-public school, the school district or private or non-public school shall have sole discretion to determine the attendance center to which the respondent is transferred. In the event the court order results in a transfer of the minor respondent to another attendance center, a change in the respondent's placement, or a change of the respondent's program, the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent is responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the transfer or change. However, the change of educational placement or program of a student with a disability who has an individualized educational plan (IEP) pursuant to the federal Individuals with Disabilities Educational Improvement Act of 2004 or a Section 504 plan pursuant to the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 shall be governed by the procedures provided in those Acts, relevant case law, applicable federal regulations, the School Code, and administrative rules adopted by the State Board of Education.

(b-6) The court may order the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of a minor respondent to take certain actions or to refrain from taking certain actions to ensure that the respondent complies with the order. In the event the court orders a transfer of the respondent to another school, the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent are responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the change of school by the respondent <u>unless the court finds</u>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- that the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent is unable to afford such costs and the imposition of costs would prohibit the respondent from attending school. Costs shall not be imposed for special education and related services required under a respondent's IEP or Section 504 plan including transportation whether or not listed on the respondent's IEP as a related service. The court should seek the advice of appropriate local school officials and personnel to ascertain the special education status of the petitioner and the respondent, to assess the feasibility and details of a safety plan designed to protect the petitioner during school hours, on school property and at school-sponsored events, and to weigh options for alternative educational programs or placements for the respondent.
 - (b-7) The court shall not hold a school district or private or non-public school or any of its employees in civil or criminal contempt unless the school district or private or non-public school has been allowed to intervene.
 - (b-8) The court may hold the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of a minor respondent in civil or criminal contempt for a violation of any provision of any order entered under this Act for conduct of the minor respondent in violation of this Act if the parents, quardian, or legal custodian directed, encouraged, or assisted the respondent minor in such conduct.
- (c) The court may award the petitioner costs and attorneys fees if a stalking no contact order is granted.

- 1 (d) Monetary damages are not recoverable as a remedy.
- 2 (Source: P.A. 96-246, eff. 1-1-10; 97-294, eff. 1-1-12.)
- 3 Section 10. The Civil No Contact Order Act is amended by
- 4 changing Section 213 as follows:
- 5 (740 ILCS 22/213)
- 6 Sec. 213. Civil no contact order; remedies.
- 7 (a) If the court finds that the petitioner has been a
- 8 victim of non-consensual sexual conduct or non-consensual
- 9 sexual penetration, a civil no contact order shall issue;
- 10 provided that the petitioner must also satisfy the requirements
- of Section 214 on emergency orders or Section 215 on plenary
- orders. The petitioner shall not be denied a civil no contact
- order because the petitioner or the respondent is a minor. The
- 14 court, when determining whether or not to issue a civil no
- 15 contact order, may not require physical injury on the person of
- 16 the victim. Modification and extension of prior civil no
- 17 contact orders shall be in accordance with this Act.
- 18 (b) (Blank).
- 19 (b-5) The court may provide relief as follows:
- 20 (1) prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming
- 21 within, or knowingly remaining within, a specified
- 22 distance from the petitioner;
- 23 (2) restrain the respondent from having any contact,
- including nonphysical contact, with the petitioner

directly, indirectly, or through third parties, regardless of whether those third parties know of the order;

- (3) prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a specified distance from the petitioner's residence, school, day care or other specified location;
- (4) order the respondent to stay away from any property or animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner and forbid the respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, harming, or otherwise disposing of the property or animal; and
- (5) order any other injunctive relief as necessary or appropriate for the protection of the petitioner.
- (b-6) When the petitioner and the respondent attend the same public or private elementary, middle, or high school, the court when issuing a civil no contact order and providing relief shall consider the severity of the act, any continuing physical danger or emotional distress to the petitioner, the educational rights guaranteed to the petitioner and respondent under federal and State law, the availability of a transfer of the respondent to another school, a change of placement or a change of program of the respondent, the expense, difficulty, and educational disruption that would be caused by a transfer of the respondent to another school, and any other relevant facts of the case. The court may order that the respondent not attend the public, private, or non-public elementary, middle,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

or high school attended by the petitioner, order that the respondent accept a change of placement or program, determined by the school district or private or non-public school, or place restrictions on the respondent's movements within the school attended by the petitioner. The respondent bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a transfer, change of placement, or change of program of the respondent is not available. The respondent also bears the burden of production with respect to the expense, difficulty, and educational disruption that would be caused by a transfer of the respondent to another school. A transfer, change of placement, or change of program is not unavailable to the respondent solely on the ground that the respondent does not agree with the school district's or private or non-public school's transfer, change of placement, or change of program or solely on the ground that the respondent fails or refuses to consent to or otherwise does not take an action required to effectuate a transfer, change of placement, or change of program. When a court orders a respondent to stay away from the public, private, or non-public school attended by the petitioner and the respondent requests a transfer to another attendance center within the respondent's school district or private or non-public school, the school district or private or non-public school shall have sole discretion to determine the attendance center to which the respondent is transferred. In the event the court order results in a transfer of the minor

respondent to another attendance center, a change in the respondent's placement, or a change of the respondent's program, the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent is responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the transfer or change. However, the change of educational placement or program of a student with a disability who has an individualized educational plan (IEP) pursuant to the federal Individuals with Disabilities Educational Improvement Act of 2004 or a Section 504 plan pursuant to the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 shall be governed by the procedures provided in those Acts, relevant case law, applicable federal regulations, the School Code and administrative rules adopted by the State Board of Education.

(b-7) The court may order the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of a minor respondent to take certain actions or to refrain from taking certain actions to ensure that the respondent complies with the order. In the event the court orders a transfer of the respondent to another school, the parents or legal guardians of the respondent are responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the change of school by the respondent unless the court finds that the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent is unable to afford such costs and the imposition of costs would prohibit the respondent from attending school. Costs shall not be imposed for special education and related services required under a respondent's IEP or Section 504 plan including

1	transportation whether or not listed on the respondent's IEP as
2	a related service. The court should seek the advice of
3	appropriate local school officials and personnel to ascertain
4	the special education status of the petitioner and the
5	respondent, to assess the feasibility and details of a safety
6	plan designed to protect the petitioner during school hours, on
7	school property and at school-sponsored events, and to weigh
8	options for alternative educational programs or placements for
9	the respondent.

- (c) Denial of a remedy may not be based, in whole or in part, on evidence that:
 - (1) the respondent has cause for any use of force, unless that cause satisfies the standards for justifiable use of force provided by Article VII of the Criminal Code of 1961:
 - (2) the respondent was voluntarily intoxicated;
 - (3) the petitioner acted in self-defense or defense of another, provided that, if the petitioner utilized force, such force was justifiable under Article VII of the Criminal Code of 1961;
 - (4) the petitioner did not act in self-defense or defense of another;
 - (5) the petitioner left the residence or household to avoid further non-consensual sexual conduct or non-consensual sexual penetration by the respondent; or
 - (6) the petitioner did not leave the residence or

- 1 household to avoid further non-consensual sexual conduct
- or non-consensual sexual penetration by the respondent.
- 3 (d) Monetary damages are not recoverable as a remedy.
- 4 (Source: P.A. 96-311, eff. 1-1-10; 97-294, eff. 1-1-12.)
- 5 Section 15. The Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986 is
- 6 amended by changing Section 214 as follows:
- 7 (750 ILCS 60/214) (from Ch. 40, par. 2312-14)
- 8 Sec. 214. Order of protection; remedies.
- 9 (a) Issuance of order. If the court finds that petitioner
- 10 has been abused by a family or household member or that
- 11 petitioner is a high-risk adult who has been abused, neglected,
- 12 or exploited, as defined in this Act, an order of protection
- prohibiting the abuse, neglect, or exploitation shall issue;
- 14 provided that petitioner must also satisfy the requirements of
- one of the following Sections, as appropriate: Section 217 on
- 16 emergency orders, Section 218 on interim orders, or Section 219
- on plenary orders. Petitioner shall not be denied an order of
- 18 protection because petitioner or respondent is a minor. The
- 19 court, when determining whether or not to issue an order of
- 20 protection, shall not require physical manifestations of abuse
- on the person of the victim. Modification and extension of
- 22 prior orders of protection shall be in accordance with this
- 23 Act.
- 24 (b) Remedies and standards. The remedies to be included in

- an order of protection shall be determined in accordance with this Section and one of the following Sections, as appropriate: Section 217 on emergency orders, Section 218 on interim orders, and Section 219 on plenary orders. The remedies listed in this subsection shall be in addition to other civil or criminal remedies available to petitioner.
 - (1) Prohibition of abuse, neglect, or exploitation. Prohibit respondent's harassment, interference with personal liberty, intimidation of a dependent, physical abuse, or willful deprivation, neglect or exploitation, as defined in this Act, or stalking of the petitioner, as defined in Section 12-7.3 of the Criminal Code of 1961, if such abuse, neglect, exploitation, or stalking has occurred or otherwise appears likely to occur if not prohibited.
 - (2) Grant of exclusive possession of residence. Prohibit respondent from entering or remaining in any residence, household, or premises of the petitioner, including one owned or leased by respondent, if petitioner has a right to occupancy thereof. The grant of exclusive possession of the residence, household, or premises shall not affect title to real property, nor shall the court be limited by the standard set forth in Section 701 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act.
 - (A) Right to occupancy. A party has a right to occupancy of a residence or household if it is solely

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

or jointly owned or leased by that party, that party's spouse, a person with a legal duty to support that party or a minor child in that party's care, or by any person or entity other than the opposing party that authorizes that party's occupancy (e.g., a domestic violence shelter). Standards set forth in subparagraph (B) shall not preclude equitable relief.

(B) Presumption of hardships. If petitioner and respondent each has the right to occupancy of a residence or household, the court shall balance (i) the hardships to respondent and any minor child or dependent adult in respondent's care resulting from entry of this remedy with (ii) the hardships to petitioner and any minor child or dependent adult in petitioner's care resulting from continued exposure to the risk of abuse (should petitioner remain at the residence or household) or from loss of possession of the residence or household (should petitioner leave to avoid the risk of abuse). When determining the balance of hardships, the court shall also take into account the accessibility of the residence or household. Hardships need not be balanced if respondent does not have a right to occupancy.

The balance of hardships is presumed to favor possession by petitioner unless the presumption is rebutted by a preponderance of the evidence, showing

that the hardships to respondent substantially outweigh the hardships to petitioner and any minor child or dependent adult in petitioner's care. The court, on the request of petitioner or on its own motion, may order respondent to provide suitable, accessible, alternate housing for petitioner instead of excluding respondent from a mutual residence or household.

- (3) Stay away order and additional prohibitions. Order respondent to stay away from petitioner or any other person protected by the order of protection, or prohibit respondent from entering or remaining present at petitioner's school, place of employment, or other specified places at times when petitioner is present, or both, if reasonable, given the balance of hardships. Hardships need not be balanced for the court to enter a stay away order or prohibit entry if respondent has no right to enter the premises.
 - (A) If an order of protection grants petitioner exclusive possession of the residence, or prohibits respondent from entering the residence, or orders respondent to stay away from petitioner or other protected persons, then the court may allow respondent access to the residence to remove items of clothing and personal adornment used exclusively by respondent, medications, and other items as the court directs. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

right to access shall be exercised on only one occasion as the court directs and in the presence of an agreed-upon adult third party or law enforcement officer.

(B) When the petitioner and the respondent attend the same public, private, or non-public elementary, middle, or high school, the court when issuing an order of protection and providing relief shall consider the severity of the act, any continuing physical danger or emotional distress to the petitioner, the educational rights guaranteed to the petitioner and respondent under federal and State law, the availability of a transfer of the respondent to another school, a change of placement or a change of program of the respondent, the expense, difficulty, and educational disruption that would be caused by a transfer of the respondent to another school, and any other relevant facts of the case. The court may order that the respondent not attend the public, private, or non-public elementary, middle, or high school attended by the petitioner, order that the respondent accept a change of placement or change of program, as determined by the school district or private or non-public school, or place restrictions on the respondent's movements within the school attended by the petitioner. The respondent bears the burden of proving by a preponderance

25

26

evidence that a transfer, change of placement, or change of program of the respondent is not available.

The respondent also bears the burden of production with respect to the expense, difficulty, and educational disruption that would be caused by a transfer of the respondent to another school. A transfer, change of placement, or change of program is not unavailable to the respondent solely on the ground that the respondent does not agree with the school district's or private or non-public school's transfer, change of placement, or change of program or solely on the ground that the respondent fails or refuses to consent or otherwise does not take an action required to effectuate a transfer, change of placement, or change of program. When a court orders a respondent to stay away from the public, private, or non-public school attended by the petitioner and the respondent requests a transfer to another attendance center within the respondent's school district or private or non-public school, the school district or private or non-public school shall have sole discretion to determine the attendance center to which the respondent is transferred. In the event the court order results in a transfer of the minor respondent to another attendance center, change in the respondent's placement, or a change of the respondent's program, the parents, guardian, or

legal custodian of the respondent is responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the transfer or change. However, the change of educational placement or program of a student with a disability who has an individualized educational plan (IEP) pursuant to the federal Individuals with Disabilities Educational Improvement Act of 2004 or a Section 504 plan pursuant to the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 shall be governed by the procedures provided in those Acts, relevant case law, applicable federal regulations, the School Code, and administrative rules adopted by the State Board of Education.

(C) The court may order the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of a minor respondent to take certain actions or to refrain from taking certain actions to ensure that the respondent complies with the order. The court may order the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of a minor respondent to take certain actions or to refrain from taking certain actions to ensure that the respondent complies with the order. In the event the court orders a transfer of the respondent to another school, the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of the respondent is responsible for transportation and other costs associated with the change of school by the respondent unless the court finds that the parents, guardian, or legal custodian of

the respondent is unable to afford such costs and the imposition of costs would prohibit the respondent from attending school. Costs shall not be imposed for special education and related services required under a respondent's IEP or Section 504 plan including transportation whether or not listed on the respondent's IEP as a related service. The court should seek the advice of appropriate local school officials and personnel to ascertain the special education status of the petitioner and the respondent, to assess the feasibility and details of a safety plan designed to protect the petitioner during school hours, on school property and at school-sponsored events, and to weigh options for alternative educational programs or placements for the respondent.

(4) Counseling. Require or recommend the respondent to undergo counseling for a specified duration with a social worker, psychologist, clinical psychologist, psychiatrist, family service agency, alcohol or substance abuse program, mental health center guidance counselor, agency providing services to elders, program designed for domestic violence abusers or any other guidance service the court deems appropriate. The Court may order the respondent in any intimate partner relationship to report to an Illinois Department of Human Services protocol approved partner abuse intervention program for an assessment and to follow

all recommended treatment.

(5) Physical care and possession of the minor child. In order to protect the minor child from abuse, neglect, or unwarranted separation from the person who has been the minor child's primary caretaker, or to otherwise protect the well-being of the minor child, the court may do either or both of the following: (i) grant petitioner physical care or possession of the minor child, or both, or (ii) order respondent to return a minor child to, or not remove a minor child from, the physical care of a parent or person in loco parentis.

If a court finds, after a hearing, that respondent has committed abuse (as defined in Section 103) of a minor child, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that awarding physical care to respondent would not be in the minor child's best interest.

(6) Temporary legal custody. Award temporary legal custody to petitioner in accordance with this Section, the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, the Illinois Parentage Act of 1984, and this State's Uniform Child-Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.

If a court finds, after a hearing, that respondent has committed abuse (as defined in Section 103) of a minor child, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that awarding temporary legal custody to respondent would not be in the child's best interest.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(7) Visitation. Determine the visitation rights, if any, of respondent in any case in which the court awards physical care or temporary legal custody of a minor child petitioner. The court shall restrict or respondent's visitation with a minor child if the court finds that respondent has done or is likely to do any of the following: (i) abuse or endanger the minor child during visitation; (ii) use the visitation as an opportunity to abuse or harass petitioner or petitioner's family or household members; (iii) improperly conceal or detain the minor child; or (iv) otherwise act in a manner that is not in the best interests of the minor child. The court shall not be limited by the standards set forth in Section 607.1 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. If the court grants visitation, the order shall specify dates and times for the visitation to take place or other specific parameters or conditions that are appropriate. No order for visitation shall refer merely to the term "reasonable visitation".

Petitioner may deny respondent access to the minor child if, when respondent arrives for visitation, respondent is under the influence of drugs or alcohol and constitutes a threat to the safety and well-being of petitioner or petitioner's minor children or is behaving in a violent or abusive manner.

If necessary to protect any member of petitioner's

family or household from future abuse, respondent shall be prohibited from coming to petitioner's residence to meet the minor child for visitation, and the parties shall submit to the court their recommendations for reasonable alternative arrangements for visitation. A person may be approved to supervise visitation only after filing an affidavit accepting that responsibility and acknowledging accountability to the court.

- (8) Removal or concealment of minor child. Prohibit respondent from removing a minor child from the State or concealing the child within the State.
- (9) Order to appear. Order the respondent to appear in court, alone or with a minor child, to prevent abuse, neglect, removal or concealment of the child, to return the child to the custody or care of the petitioner or to permit any court-ordered interview or examination of the child or the respondent.
- (10) Possession of personal property. Grant petitioner exclusive possession of personal property and, if respondent has possession or control, direct respondent to promptly make it available to petitioner, if:
 - (i) petitioner, but not respondent, owns the property; or
 - (ii) the parties own the property jointly; sharing it would risk abuse of petitioner by respondent or is impracticable; and the balance of hardships favors

temporary possession by petitioner.

If petitioner's sole claim to ownership of the property is that it is marital property, the court may award petitioner temporary possession thereof under the standards of subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph only if a proper proceeding has been filed under the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, as now or hereafter amended.

No order under this provision shall affect title to property.

- (11) Protection of property. Forbid the respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, damaging or otherwise disposing of any real or personal property, except as explicitly authorized by the court, if:
 - (i) petitioner, but not respondent, owns the property; or
 - (ii) the parties own the property jointly, and the balance of hardships favors granting this remedy.

If petitioner's sole claim to ownership of the property is that it is marital property, the court may grant petitioner relief under subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph only if a proper proceeding has been filed under the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, as now or hereafter amended.

The court may further prohibit respondent from improperly using the financial or other resources of an

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

aged member of the family or household for the profit or advantage of respondent or of any other person.

- (11.5) Protection of animals. Grant the petitioner the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either the petitioner the respondent or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either the petitioner or the respondent and order the respondent to stay away from the and animal forbid t.he respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, harming, or otherwise disposing of the animal.
- (12) Order for payment of support. Order respondent to pay temporary support for the petitioner or any child in the petitioner's care or custody, when the respondent has a legal obligation to support that person, in accordance with the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, which shall govern, among other matters, the amount of support, payment through the clerk and withholding of income to secure payment. An order for child support may be granted to a petitioner with lawful physical care or custody of a child, or an order or agreement for physical care or custody, prior to entry of an order for legal custody. Such a support order shall expire upon entry of a valid order granting legal custody to another, unless otherwise provided in the custody order.
 - (13) Order for payment of losses. Order respondent to

pay petitioner for losses suffered as a direct result of the abuse, neglect, or exploitation. Such losses shall include, but not be limited to, medical expenses, lost earnings or other support, repair or replacement of property damaged or taken, reasonable attorney's fees, court costs and moving or other travel expenses, including additional reasonable expenses for temporary shelter and restaurant meals.

- (i) Losses affecting family needs. If a party is entitled to seek maintenance, child support or property distribution from the other party under the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, as now or hereafter amended, the court may order respondent to reimburse petitioner's actual losses, to the extent that such reimbursement would be "appropriate temporary relief", as authorized by subsection (a) (3) of Section 501 of that Act.
- (ii) Recovery of expenses. In the case of an improper concealment or removal of a minor child, the court may order respondent to pay the reasonable expenses incurred or to be incurred in the search for and recovery of the minor child, including but not limited to legal fees, court costs, private investigator fees, and travel costs.
- (14) Prohibition of entry. Prohibit the respondent from entering or remaining in the residence or household

while the respondent is under the influence of alcohol or drugs and constitutes a threat to the safety and well-being of the petitioner or the petitioner's children.

(14.5) Prohibition of firearm possession.

- (a) Prohibit a respondent against whom an order of protection was issued from possessing any firearms during the duration of the order if the order:
 - (1) was issued after a hearing of which such person received actual notice, and at which such person had an opportunity to participate;
 - (2) restrains such person from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner of such person or child of such intimate partner or person, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the partner or child; and
 - (3) (i) includes a finding that such person represents a credible threat to the physical safety of such intimate partner or child; or (ii) by its terms explicitly prohibits the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against such intimate partner or child that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury.

Any Firearm Owner's Identification Card in the possession of the respondent, except as provided in subsection (b), shall be ordered by the court to be

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

turned over to the local law enforcement agency for safekeeping. The court shall issue a warrant for seizure firearm and Firearm Owner's of any Identification Card in the possession respondent, to be kept by the local law enforcement agency for safekeeping, except as provided subsection (b). The period of safekeeping shall be for the duration of the order of protection. The firearm or firearms and Firearm Owner's Identification Card shall be returned to the respondent at the end of the order of protection.

- (b) If the respondent is a peace officer as defined in Section 2-13 of the Criminal Code of 1961, the court shall order that any firearms used by the respondent in the performance of his or her duties as a peace officer be surrendered to the chief law enforcement executive of the agency in which the respondent is employed, who shall retain the firearms for safekeeping for the duration of the order of protection.
- (c) Upon expiration of the period of safekeeping, if the firearms or Firearm Owner's Identification Card cannot be returned to respondent because respondent cannot be located, fails to respond to requests to retrieve the firearms, or is not lawfully eligible to possess a firearm, upon petition from the local law enforcement agency, the court may order the local law

enforcement agency to destroy the firearms, use the firearms for training purposes, or for any other application as deemed appropriate by the local law enforcement agency; or that the firearms be turned over to a third party who is lawfully eligible to possess firearms, and who does not reside with respondent.

- (15) Prohibition of access to records. If an order of protection prohibits respondent from having contact with the minor child, or if petitioner's address is omitted under subsection (b) of Section 203, or if necessary to prevent abuse or wrongful removal or concealment of a minor child, the order shall deny respondent access to, and prohibit respondent from inspecting, obtaining, or attempting to inspect or obtain, school or any other records of the minor child who is in the care of petitioner.
- (16) Order for payment of shelter services. Order respondent to reimburse a shelter providing temporary housing and counseling services to the petitioner for the cost of the services, as certified by the shelter and deemed reasonable by the court.
- (17) Order for injunctive relief. Enter injunctive relief necessary or appropriate to prevent further abuse of a family or household member or further abuse, neglect, or exploitation of a high-risk adult with disabilities or to effectuate one of the granted remedies, if supported by the

balance of hardships. If the harm to be prevented by the injunction is abuse or any other harm that one of the remedies listed in paragraphs (1) through (16) of this subsection is designed to prevent, no further evidence is necessary that the harm is an irreparable injury.

(c) Relevant factors; findings.

- (1) In determining whether to grant a specific remedy, other than payment of support, the court shall consider relevant factors, including but not limited to the following:
 - (i) the nature, frequency, severity, pattern and consequences of the respondent's past abuse, neglect or exploitation of the petitioner or any family or household member, including the concealment of his or her location in order to evade service of process or notice, and the likelihood of danger of future abuse, neglect, or exploitation to petitioner or any member of petitioner's or respondent's family or household; and
 - (ii) the danger that any minor child will be abused or neglected or improperly removed from the jurisdiction, improperly concealed within the State or improperly separated from the child's primary caretaker.
- (2) In comparing relative hardships resulting to the parties from loss of possession of the family home, the court shall consider relevant factors, including but not

1	limited to the following:
2	(i) availability, accessibility, cost, safety,
3	adequacy, location and other characteristics of
4	alternate housing for each party and any minor child or
5	dependent adult in the party's care;
6	(ii) the effect on the party's employment; and
7	(iii) the effect on the relationship of the party,
8	and any minor child or dependent adult in the party's
9	care, to family, school, church and community.
10	(3) Subject to the exceptions set forth in paragraph
11	(4) of this subsection, the court shall make its findings
12	in an official record or in writing, and shall at a minimum
13	set forth the following:
14	(i) That the court has considered the applicable
15	relevant factors described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of
16	this subsection.
17	(ii) Whether the conduct or actions of respondent,
18	unless prohibited, will likely cause irreparable harm

or continued abuse.

- (iii) Whether it is necessary to grant the requested relief in order to protect petitioner or other alleged abused persons.
- (4) For purposes of issuing an ex parte emergency order of protection, the court, as an alternative to or as a supplement to making the findings described in paragraphs (c) (3) (i) through (c) (3) (iii) of this subsection, may use

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the following procedure:

When a verified petition for an emergency order of protection in accordance with the requirements of Sections 203 and 217 is presented to the court, the court shall examine petitioner on oath or affirmation. An emergency order of protection shall be issued by the court if it appears from the contents of the petition and the examination of petitioner that the averments are sufficient to indicate abuse by respondent and to support the granting of relief under the issuance of the emergency order of protection.

(5) married Never parties. No rights or responsibilities for a minor child born outside of marriage attach to a putative father until a father and child relationship has been established under the Illinois Parentage Act of 1984, the Illinois Public Aid Code, Section 12 of the Vital Records Act, the Juvenile Court Act of 1987, the Probate Act of 1985, the Revised Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, the Interstate Family Support Act, the Expedited Child Support Act of 1990, any judicial, administrative, or other act of another state or territory, any other Illinois statute, or by any foreign nation establishing the father and child relationship, any other proceeding substantially in conformity with the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-193),

or where both parties appeared in open court or at an administrative hearing acknowledging under oath or admitting by affirmation the existence of a father and child relationship. Absent such an adjudication, finding, or acknowledgement, no putative father shall be granted temporary custody of the minor child, visitation with the minor child, or physical care and possession of the minor child, nor shall an order of payment for support of the minor child be entered.

- (d) Balance of hardships; findings. If the court finds that the balance of hardships does not support the granting of a remedy governed by paragraph (2), (3), (10), (11), or (16) of subsection (b) of this Section, which may require such balancing, the court's findings shall so indicate and shall include a finding as to whether granting the remedy will result in hardship to respondent that would substantially outweigh the hardship to petitioner from denial of the remedy. The findings shall be an official record or in writing.
- (e) Denial of remedies. Denial of any remedy shall not be based, in whole or in part, on evidence that:
 - (1) Respondent has cause for any use of force, unless that cause satisfies the standards for justifiable use of force provided by Article VII of the Criminal Code of 1961;
 - (2) Respondent was voluntarily intoxicated;
 - (3) Petitioner acted in self-defense or defense of another, provided that, if petitioner utilized force, such

4

6

7

8

9

- force was justifiable under Article VII of the Criminal 1 2 Code of 1961;
 - (4) Petitioner did not act in self-defense or defense of another;
 - (5) Petitioner left the residence or household to avoid further abuse, neglect, or exploitation by respondent;
 - (6) Petitioner did not leave the residence or household avoid further abuse, neglect, or exploitation by respondent;
- 10 (7) Conduct by any family or household member excused 11 the abuse, neglect, or exploitation by respondent, unless 12 that same conduct would have excused such abuse, neglect, 13 or exploitation if the parties had not been family or household members. 14
- (Source: P.A. 96-701, eff. 1-1-10; 96-1239, eff. 1-1-11; 15 16 97-158, eff. 1-1-12; 97-294, eff. 1-1-12; revised 10-4-11.)
- Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon 17 18 becoming law.